

**RIIO-ED1 RIGs Environment and Innovation  
Commentary, version 6.0**

**2019/20**

**SSEN**

## Contents

Summary – Information Required	1
Worksheet by worksheet commentary	1
E1 – Visual Amenity	2
E2 – Environmental Reporting	2
E3 –BCF	4
E4 – Losses Snapshot	12
E5 – Smart Metering	17
E6 – Innovative Solutions	18
E7 – LCTs	33

## Summary – Information Required

One Commentary document is required per DNO Group. Respondents should ensure that comments are clearly marked to show whether they relate to all the DNOs in the group or to which DNO they relate.

Commentary is required in response to specific questions included in this document. DNO's may include supporting documentation where they consider it necessary to support their comments or where it may aid Ofgem's understanding. Please highlight in this document if additional information is provided.

The purpose of this commentary is to provide the opportunity for DNOs to set out further supporting information related to the data provided in the Environment and Innovation Reporting Pack. It also sets out supporting data submissions that DNOs must provide to us.

## Worksheet by worksheet commentary

At a worksheet by worksheet level there is one standard question to address, where appropriate, as follows:

- **Allocation and estimation methodologies:** DNOs should detail estimates, allocations or apportionments used in reaching the numbers submitted in the worksheets.

This is required for all individual worksheets (ie not an aggregate level), where relevant. Not all tables will have used allocation or estimation methods to reach the numbers. Where this is the case simply note "NA".

Note: this concerns the methodology and assumptions and not about the systems in place to check their accuracy (that is for the NetDAR). This need to be completed for all worksheets, where an allocation or estimation technique was used.

In addition to the standard commentary questions, some questions specific to each worksheet are asked.

## E1 – Visual Amenity

**Allocation and estimation methodologies:** detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

### **SSEH and SSES**

Project costs have been allocated on a project by project basis in Harmony. The total expenditure for these projects has been allocated based upon the appropriate activity driver with no apportionment or estimations.

Explanation of the increase or decrease in the total length of OHL inside designated areas for reasons other than those recorded in worksheet E1. For example, due to the expansion of an existing, or creation of a new, Designated Area.

### **SSEH and SSES**

There has been no increase or decrease in the total length of OHL inside designated areas other than those referenced in the E1 – Visual Amenity submission.

## E2 – Environmental Reporting

**Allocation and estimation methodologies:** detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

### **SSEH & SSES**

Project costs have been allocated in Harmony on a project by project basis. Total expenditure for projects is allocated to the appropriate investment driver, identifiable down to the individual cell level in the E2 table.

### **Data obtained from our Asset Management Systems**

The following information provided in the table has been taken from our asset management system (Maximo):

- Fluid used to top-up cables
- SF<sub>6</sub> Bank
- SF<sub>6</sub> Emitted

We do not currently have a process to capture the volume of fluid recovered from fluid filled cables.

Activity volumes have been derived from the actual projects completed during 2019/20.

The following activity volume information was tracked from our investment process based on actual projects completed in 2019/20:

- Oil Pollution Mitigation Scheme - Cables
- Oil Pollution Mitigation Scheme - Operational Sites
- Oil Pollution Mitigation Scheme - Non Operational Sites
- SF<sub>6</sub> Emitted Mitigation Schemes
- Noise Pollution
- Contaminated Land Clean Up

DNOs must provide some analysis of any emerging trends in the environmental data and any areas of trade-off in performance.

**SSEH & SSES**

From the start of RIIO-ED1, we have reported an increase in the volume of oil used to top up fluid filled cables reported in both licence areas. However, in 2019/20 for both SSEH & SSES, there has been a decrease (81% & 17% respectively). This is largely due to work in 2018/19 to update processes surrounding collection of data resulting in more cables being tagged. Data helped identify circuits requiring replacement and targeted intervention.

In SSEH from 2017/18, there has been an increase every year of SF6 emitted and in SSES, an increase since the start of RIIO-ED1 until 2019/20. A new strategy was introduced within SSEN in 2019/20 to minimise leakage from switchgear. Intervention focused on targeted removal / repair. This had an impact straight away with a reduction in SF6 emissions within SSES of 19% relative to 2018/19. The benefit to SSEH was reduced slightly following a few specific incidents.

For BCF, both networks experienced a slight increase in 2019/20 relative to 2018/19. This was associated with Fugitive Emissions and Fuel Combustion from diesel generation used during outages in SSEH and Business Transport and Fuel Combustion in SSES in line with an increase in capital work.

Where reported in the Regulatory Year under report, DNOs must provide discussion of the nature of any complaints relating to Noise Pollution and the nature of associated measures undertaken to resolve them.

**SSEH & SSES**

Noise complaints in 2019/20 for both SSEH and SSES included complaints relating to substation noise or noise from transformers. There were 2 complaints in our SSEH licence area and 6 in SSES.

Where reported in the Regulatory Year under report, DNOs must provide details of any Non-Undergrounding Visual Amenity Schemes undertaken.

**SSEH & SSES**

No Non-Undergrounding Visual Amenity Schemes were undertaken.

Any Undergrounding for Visual Amenity should be identified including details of the activity location, including whether it falls within a Designated Area.

**SSEH & SSES**

There is no undergrounding within a Designated Area reported in E2. Any undergrounding is reported in Table E1.

Where reported in the Regulatory Year under report, DNOs must provide discussion of details of any reportable incidents or prosecutions associated with any of the activities reported in the worksheet.

N/A

Where reported in the Regulatory Year under report, DNOs must provide discussion of details of any Environmental Management System (EMS) certified under ISO or other recognised accreditation scheme.

N/A

DNOs must provide a brief description of any permitting, licencing, registrations and permissions, etc related to the activities reported in this worksheet that you have purchased or obtained during the Regulatory Year.

#### **SSEH and SSES**

Environmental permits were required to store hazardous waste oil which has been removed from our substation plant during routine maintenance. The waste oil is removed by tanker and sent for reprocessing which is part of our oil pollution mitigation activities. The conditions on this permit require a TCP to regularly audit our sites to ensure we are compliant.

DNOs must include a description of any SF6 and Oil Pollution Mitigation Schemes undertaken in the Regulatory Year including the cost and benefit implications and how these were assessed.

#### **SSEH**

##### **SF6 Emitted Mitigation Schemes**

There were no SF6 mitigation schemes in SSEH in 2019/20.

##### **Oil Pollution Mitigation Scheme – Cables, Operational and Non-Operational Sites**

There was 1 cable oil pollution mitigation scheme in SSEH in 2019/20 which was the Persley-Northfield FFC Dismantlement. The FFCs are leaking at a rate of 13L/month therefore the decision was made to decommission these cables & Northfield Primary Substation & connect existing customers to the Springhill & Woodhill Primary Substations instead at a total estimated cost of £559k. This was the preferred option to mitigate the leaking cables as it was considerably cheaper to reroute than to replace all assets involved. Also, the FFC replacement is in line with SSEH's strategic investment policy and the RIIO-ED1 commitment to replace 21km of FFC.

#### **SSES**

##### **SF6 Emitted Mitigation Schemes**

There were no SF6 mitigation schemes in SSES in 2019/20.

##### **Oil Pollution Mitigation Scheme – Cables, Operational and Non-Operational Sites**

There were a total of 12 schemes undertaken across cable, operational and non-operational sites. The schemes were undertaken to mitigate the risk of discharging insulating oil into the environment. The combined total cost for all schemes was £0.88m.

### **E3 –BCF**

**Allocation and estimation methodologies:** detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

#### **SSEH and SSES**

Energy and fuel consumption figures used to calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions submitted for SSEH and SSES have been extracted from a number of sources which include our asset management system Maximo, and our expenses and travel systems. The only area where we have used estimation principles is in calculating the electrical load for each substation in SSEH & SSES areas which

are then used to derive the CO<sub>2</sub> emitted. The estimating principle is described in this narrative under Building energy usage.

#### **BCF reporting boundary and apportionment factor**

DNOs that are part of a larger corporate group must provide a brief introduction outlining the structure of the group, detailing which organisations are considered within the reporting boundary for the purpose of BCF reporting.

Any apportionment of emissions across a corporate group to the DNO business units must be explained and, where the method for apportionment differs from the method proposed in the worksheet guidance, justified.

#### **SSEH & SSES**

SSEN is part of the wider corporate group SSE plc, which includes generation, transmission, supply, retail, telecoms and contracting activities.

There is no apportionment of emissions across the corporate group. BCF reporting relates to SSEH and SSES only.

#### **BCF process**

The reporting methodology for BCF must be compliant with the principles of the Greenhouse Gas Protocol.<sup>1</sup> Accounting approaches, inventory boundary and calculation methodology must be applied consistently over time. Where any processes are improved with time, DNOs should provide an explanation and assessment of the potential impact of the changes.

We have followed Ofgem's classification of carbon sources. We have been developing the capability to report our carbon footprint for several years, leading to more accurate identification of relevant equipment and its associated emissions.

All conversion rates are extracted from specific annexes listed in the Defra/DECC Greenhouse Gas (GHG) conversion factors for company reporting.

The latest (2019) Defra GHG conversion factors have been used throughout in the calculation of SSEN's 2019/20 BCF.

Table E3 of the Environment and Innovation pack requires a single GHG factor to be reported for each DNO GHG emission activity. However, in some cases, there are multiple GHG conversion factors used for GHG emission activity (e.g for business air travel there are conversion factors for domestic, international short haul and international long haul). In these instances, a simple back calculation approach was used to derive a single GHG conversion factor which was applied to the total volume reported under the category concerned. The process involved applying the conversion factor (2019 Defra GHG conversion factors) to the volume of each GHG activity to derive the tCO<sub>2</sub>e. The tCO<sub>2</sub>e of the individual components are then combined and divided by the total volume of GHG activities to derive a single GHG conversion factor. The back calculated GHG conversion factor is used in Ofgem's table E3 summary table to derive an overall tCO<sub>2</sub>e value for the GHG activity concerned.

---

<sup>1</sup> [Greenhouse gas protocol](#)

Please refer to our accompanying tables in the Environment and Innovation pack for the data for each respective source.

### **Commentary required for each category of BCF**

For **each** category of BCF in the worksheet (ie Business Energy Usage, Operation Transport etc) DNOs must, where applicable, provide a description of the following information, ideally at the same level of granularity as the Defra conversion factors:

- the methodology used to calculate the values, outlining and explaining any specific assumptions or deviations from the Greenhouse Gas Protocol
- the data source and collection process
- the source of the emission conversion factor (this shall be Defra unless there is a compelling case for using another conversion factor. Justification should be included for any deviation from Defra factors. )
- the Scope of the emissions ie, Scope 1, 2 or 3
- whether the emissions have been measured or estimated and, if estimated the assumptions used and a description of the degree of estimation
- any decisions to exclude any sources of emissions, including any fugitive emissions which have not been calculated or estimated
- any tools used in the calculation
- where multiple conversion factors are required to calculate BCF (eg, due to use of both diesel and petrol vehicles), DNOs should describe their methodology in commentary
- where multiple units are required for calculation of volumes in a given BCF category (eg, a mixture of mileage and fuel volume for transport), DNOs should describe their methodology in commentary, including the relevant physical units, eg miles.

DNOs may provide any other relevant information here on BCF, such as commentary on the change in BCF, and should ensure the baseline year for reference in any description of targets or changes in BCF is the Regulatory Year 2014-15. DNOs should make clear any differences in the commentary that relate to DNO and contractor emissions.

### **Building Energy usage**

All relevant distribution buildings have been identified using the same office/depot/store log provided to Ofgem's property consultants.

Energy usage (both electricity and gas) within shared buildings is allocated using our Corporate Recharge model which is consistent in all submissions to Ofgem. The 'Grid Rolling Average' conversion factor was used to provide the buildings electricity section. The gross calorific value has been applied consistently for the conversion of gas figures.

	2018/19			2019/20			
	Electricity (kWh)	Gas (kWh)	tCO2e	Electricity (kWh)	Gas (kWh)	tCO2e	% Change (tCO2e)
SSEH	2,246,440.46	192,449.98	671.30	1,840,135.36	723,548.13	603.36	-10.12%
SSES	2,613,650.06	499,757.29	831.78	1,907,561.35	80,635.70	502.40	-39.60%

### Substation Energy

Substations have been separated into three categories for energy usage estimations:

- HV: 6.6kV – 20kV
- EHV: 22kV – 66kV
- 132kV (SSES only), as 132kV is a Transmission voltage in Scotland

All SSEN substations are registered as unmetered supplies so in calculating the total BCF, the substation energy consumed which is calculated from our own estimate framework (detailed below) is deducted from the total system losses to avoid double counting. A best estimate framework for the energy consumption at these sites has been used. Principles and assumptions used in this estimation are detailed below:

### Substation Numbers

The number of substations in each category is taken from our plant database Maximo. The numbers are split between our licensees to give figures for both SSEH and SSES. Out of area substations are excluded.

### Estimating Principles

Electrical demand in a substation is a combination of factors such as space heating, panel heaters, lighting of buildings, battery chargers, mains, transformer coolers & site security equipment (flood lighting, CCTV cameras etc). Between SSEH and SSES usage described above can vary due to the geographical location and different climates they both face.

Last year, a decision was taken to update the methodology around substation electricity to use the total number of substations that were classified as indoor from our asset management system Maximo in our electricity consumption calculation. However, on reflection this does not give us a realistic view on the substation electricity usage as we believe not all our indoor substations would use the factors described above. Therefore, we have changed our methodology back to the previous one, which uses 3.5% of all HV substations (excluding third party) within the calculations instead of the total number of HV indoor substations. We have also restated our historical usage of electricity back to the start of RIIO-ED1 as this is in line with the industry and our previous price control methodology.

## Operational Transport Road

The volume of fuel (litres) consumed by operational vehicles is captured using fuel cards and is reported separately for SSEH and SSES. We do not report freight separately from passenger operational transport, so all operational travel has been reported under passenger transport. The appropriate Defra conversion factor has been used to convert the volume of fuel consumed into tCO<sub>2</sub>e. The volume figures are shown below:

		Petrol (litres)	Diesel (litres)	Gas Oil (litres)	LPG (litres)	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	% Change (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
SSEH	2018/19	5,994.30	1,654,264.85	4,193.74	54.40	4,371.40	-9.32%
	2019/20	5,680.86	1,517,535.57	3,716.43	2,983.94	3,964.00	
SSES	2018/19	15,693.46	2,818,418.76	296,050.60	-	8,317.81	-4.79%
	2019/20	16,637.85	2,741,380.75	279,511.66	284.11	7,919.58	
TOTAL	2018/19	21,687.76	4,472,683.61	300,244.34	54.40	12,689.21	-6.35%
	2019/20	22,318.71	4,258,916.32	283,228.09	3,268.05	11,883.58	

## Operational Road Transport Contractors

The transport spend from our contractors has been converted into miles travelled using SSE's mileage rate of £0.35 per mile. The mileage is then converted into kms which has then been converted into tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> using the appropriate conversion factor.

	2018/19		2019/20		% Change (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
	KM	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	KM	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	
SSEH	2,249,772.90	577.74	386,519.32	97.45	-83.13%
SSES	4,430,551.69	1,137.77	5,043,242.32	1,271.55	11.76%
TOTAL	6,680,324.58	1,715.51	5,429,761.64	1,369.01	-20.20%

This year, there has been a decrease in emissions of 20.20% overall for both licence areas from 2018/19. This is due to a decrease in fuel costs related to operational transport by contractors mainly within the SSEH licence due to more work being carried out within Networks, where there has been an ongoing efficiency drive regarding numbers of staff and vehicles. With this now being done inhouse, we have been able to keep usage in this area under tight control.

### Rail

Any operational rail journeys have been included in the business travel section of the report.

### Sea

Any operational sea journeys have been included in the business travel section of the report.

## Air

An average fuel consumption rate of 160 l/hr (single squirrel helicopters) and 212 l/hr (for twin squirrel helicopters) and a petrol Defra conversion factor has been used to convert the hours into mass of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. These figures are shown below:

	2018/19		2019/20		% Change (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
	KM	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	KM	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	
SSEH	79,840.00	182.50	31,966.40	73.24	-59.87%
SSES	36,586.00	83.63	48,400.00	110.89	32.59%

The hours of helicopter hire in SSEH has decreased hence the 59.87% decrease in emissions between 2018/19 and 2019/20 due to less activity regarding line patrol. For SSES, there was an increase in helicopter hire and emissions of 32.59% due to 132kV Overhead Tower Line inspections.

## Business Transport Road

Business transport miles are captured through our expenses department. The distance travelled by both petrol and diesel vehicles are used to calculate the relevant CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

## Rail

Journeys made for business travel by rail are recorded through our travel department. The distance travelled is used to calculate the relevant CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

## Sea

Journeys made for business travel by sea are recorded through our travel department. The distance travelled is used to calculate the relevant CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

## Air

Emissions for business travel by air are recorded and broken down into SSEH or SSES. Class of travel is not recorded. All flights taken between UK locations have been recorded as domestic, flights from the UK to Europe as Short-Haul International and flights from the UK to non-European destinations as Long Haul International. Internal flights in countries other than the UK have been recorded as domestic flights.

		Road (miles)	Rail (km)	Sea (km)	Air (km)	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	% Change (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
SSEH	2018/19	3,003,951.62	420,504.01	107,296.18	1,308,746.10	1,089.30	-23.15%
	2019/20	2,348,139.00	404,517.00	2,530.00	1,193,155.00	837.15	
SSES	2018/19	4,170,150.00	342,572.36	2,736.50	563,869.00	1,300.35	59.09%
	2019/20	7,014,246.00	485,891.00	-	547,766.00	2,068.67	
TOTAL	2018/19	7,174,101.62	763,076.37	110,032.68	1,872,615.10	2,389.65	21.60%
	2019/20	9,362,385.00	890,408.00	2,530.00	1,740,921.00	2,905.81	

## Fugitive Emissions

### SF<sub>6</sub>

Emissions of SF<sub>6</sub> are calculated by combining the volume of SF<sub>6</sub> used in routine maintenance and the volume used during fault repair. These figures are extracted from our Asset Management System and represent the amount of SF<sub>6</sub> used to top-up assets during fault repair, routine maintenance or commissioning of assets that use SF<sub>6</sub> as an insulating medium. The appropriate Defra conversion factor was used to transform this combined figure of SF<sub>6</sub> lost to tCO<sub>2</sub>e.

	2018/19		2019/20		% Change (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
	SF <sub>6</sub> (kg)	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	SF <sub>6</sub> (kg)	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	
SSEH	8.47	193.12	10.96	249.89	29.40%
SSES	200.15	4,563.47	161.34	3,678.55	-19.39%

### Fuel Combustion

We record the volume of fuel used to provide back up generation on our distribution networks.

### Mobile Generation

Mobile generation is primarily required as backup to ensure continuity of supply when works requiring a network outage are taking place. Diesel fuel is used primarily in SSEH, while SSES use a combination of diesel and gas oil

		Diesel (litres)	Gas Oil (litres)	Petrol (litres)	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	% Change (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
SSEH	2018/19	5,308,162.62	11,591.32	141.69	13,978.97	13.15%
	2019/20	6,084,368.61	11,894.66	240.15	15,816.86	
SSES	2018/19	215,261.84	1,302,082.35	-	4,433.30	51.07%
	2019/20	239,940.67	2,202,563.39	-	6,697.56	
TOTAL	2018/19	5,523,424.46	1,313,673.67	141.69	18,412.27	22.28%
	2019/20	6,324,309.28	2,214,458.05	240.15	22,514.42	

### Fixed Generation (Diesel)

Our fixed (embedded) generation is primarily required as a backup in the event of network faults. Our 7 fixed sites are located on the islands off the North of Scotland. No fixed generation sites are located in SSES's area.

		Diesel (litres)	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	% Change (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
SSEH	2018/19	4,427,222	11,630	30.16%
	2019/20	5,835,425	15,138	

### Losses

Figures for network losses have a two year lag, but an estimate is produced at the end of the reporting year and converted to tCO<sub>2</sub>e.

	2018/19		2019/20		% Change (kWh)	% Change (tCO2e)
	Losses (kWh)	tCO2e	Losses (kWh)	tCO2e		
SSEH	521,327,066.55	147,572.05	516,527,859.69	132,024.52	-0.92%	-10.54%
SSES	1,608,616,665.39	455,351	1,591,532,473.60	406,796	-1.06%	-10.66%

### **Contractors**

When reporting BCF emissions due to contractors in the second half of the worksheet please:

- Explain, and justify, the exclusion of any contractors and any thresholds used for exclusion.
- Provide an indication of what proportion of contractors have been excluded. This figure could be calculated based on contract value.

Please provide a description of contractors' certified schemes for BCF where a breakdown of the calculation for their submitted values is not provided in the worksheet.

If a DNO's accredited contractor is unable to provide a breakdown of the calculation and has entered a dummy volume unit of '1' in the worksheet please provide details of the applicable accredited certification scheme which applies to the reported values.

BCF emissions due to contractors are reported under operation transport and is related to fuel used in vehicles for business activities. The source of the emissions is vehicles owned by others i.e. non SSEN vehicles. The SSEN's contractor mileage rate of £0.35/mile is applied to convert transport spend into miles travelled. This is then converted into tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> using the appropriate conversion factor under Scope 3 of the Defra conversion factors.

**Building energy usage**

Natural gas, Diesel and other fuels are all categorised as fuel combustion and must be converted to tCO<sub>2</sub>e on either a Gross Calorific Value (Gross CV) or Net Calorific Value (Net CV) basis. The chosen approach should be explained, including whether it has been adapted over time.

Substation Electricity must be captured under Buildings Energy Usage. Please explain the basis on which energy supplied has been assessed.

**SSEH and SSES**

Please refer to the paragraph on Buildings Energy Usage under the section titled "Commentary required for each category of BCF".

**E4 – Losses Snapshot**

**Allocation and estimation methodologies:** detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

**SSEH and SSES****LV & 11kV upsizing minimum cables**

The bullet points below detail workings used to calculate losses savings from increasing the size of cables:

- Purchase order details have been extracted from our financial system detailing exact quantity of cables purchased each year in RIIO-ED1.
- 2015/16 has been used as the baseline year as the losses policy on cables was implemented after this year.
- Increase in percentage of cable quantity procured is attributed to losses policy e.g. 92.4% 95 sqmm: 7.6% 185 sqmm procured in 2015/16 (baseline) vs 89.4% 95sqmm: 10.6% 185 sqmm procured in 2016/17 = 2.98% increase in 185 sqmm cable attributed to losses policy
- It is assumed, for the purpose of calculating the ratio of cable upsized, that purchase orders for cables within each regulatory year correspond to installation of cables in the year of purchase.
- Losses reductions from upsizing minimum cable size have been calculated using actual LV and 11kV demand data along with cable resistance data. This is represented in MWhr figures. Upsizing LV cable from 95 sqmm to 185 sqmm saves 13.83 MWhrs per kilometre per annum. Upsizing 11kV cables from 70 sqmm to 150 sqmm saves 12.37 MWhrs per kilometre per annum.
- Losses reduction figures are divided by two in the first year of implementation. This is because installation of cables occurs throughout the year. To account for this the final MWh figure has been divided by two in the first year of implementation only. E.g. In Estimated Distribution Losses benefits over 'Baseline Scenario', for 2016/17 (the first year where larger cables were installed due to the losses policy) the final MWh figure has been divided by 2. In 2017/18 this figure is no longer divided by 2. This is because all cables from 2016/17 have been installed and therefore are reaping full losses benefits. The MWh savings for cables installed in 2017/18 however are now divided by 2 instead, as this is their year of installation.

- E4 Volumes figures: Cable volume figures are taken from Regulatory Reporting tables. A percentage modifier is applied to the volume each year based on the percentage increase in cable upsizing versus the baseline (2015/16) (the modifier is taken from cable sales data summary report, see "Cost benefit analysis additional information"). This means that volumes stated in the E4 table represent the amount of cable that has been upsized over the baseline scenario each year.
- E4 Estimated total costs: Multiplier figure is calculated as the average cost per km for procuring the larger cable size.
- E4 Estimated Distribution Justified Costs: Multiplier figure is calculated as the difference in cost between procuring the larger cable size vs the smaller cable size. E.g the cost of 185 sqmm vs 95 sqmm
- E4 Estimated Distribution Losses benefits over 'Baseline Scenario': Multiplier figure is calculated as the MWh losses reduction for installing the larger cable size e.g 185 sqmm vs 95 sqmm. Losses reductions are cumulative and multiplied up over consecutive years in the price control. This has been estimated based on a typical load profile for both an HV and LV feeder.

## **SSES**

### **6.6kV to 11kV upgrade**

The bullet points below detail workings used to calculate losses savings from upgrading the network from 6.6kV to 11kV.

- Legacy 6.6kV networks in SSES are being upgraded to 11kV. Historically, 6.6kV networks were commonly used but are not appropriate for modern power demands and are gradually being replaced by more modern equipment. The increase in voltage will result in a reduction in current, which will have a positive impact on losses, for the same load. The volume of cable replaced is reported by the regions. It is assumed that the upgraded network is operational in the scheduled year of completion and the legacy network is removed.
- As 6.6kV cable has not been widely utilised for many years, it is no longer part of our procurement portfolio. Therefore, the costs have been estimated to be approximately the same as 70sqmm 11kV conductors. This is used to create a cost for the Baseline scenario.
- Losses reductions are attributed to the volume of cable upgraded. This has been calculated at 6.9MWh per km per year based on a typical load profile. This calculation assumes conductor sizes and therefore resistances are similar, but a reduction in current of 40% is achieved from increasing the voltage from 6.6kV to 11kV.
- The losses reduction is halved in the first year of implementation as it is assumed that a scheme becomes operational on average half way through the year. Subsequent years have the full losses reduction attributed.

## **SSEH and SSES**

### **Relevant theft of electricity**

The bullet points below detail workings used to calculate losses savings for reducing energy theft and unmetered properties.

- Volume of MPAN rectification is based on the number of resolved energy theft (or identification of unmetred property) cases per year. One MPAN = One resolved case. This is broken down further into domestic and non-domestic cases.
- Domestic and Non-Domestic MWhr savings are taken from the Common Distribution Charging Methodology (CDCM) model. Table "1053: Volume forecasts for the charging year" is used to estimate the MWhr savings per MPAN.
- Losses reduction figures are divided by two in the first year of implementation. This is because rectification of MPANS occurs throughout the year. To account for this the final MWhr figure has been divided by two in the first year of rectification only.

### **Programme/Project Title**

Please provide a brief summary and rationale for each of the activities in column C which you have reported against.

## **SSEH and SSES**

### **LV & 11kV upsizing minimum cables**

LV cables have been upsized from 95 sqmm to 185 sqmm and 11kV cables have been upsized from 70 sqmm to 150 sqmm specifically to reduce losses. Upsizing cables is more expensive but due to the reduced losses over the life span of the cable it makes financial sense as shown in the CBAs.

### **6.6kV to 11kV upgrade**

There are still some legacy 6.6kV circuits on our network. These are being upgraded to 11kV for capacity reasons and to meet current standards. This upgrade delivers a reduction in losses as shown in the CBA.

### **Relevant theft of electricity**

Theft of electricity is a major cause of losses. We have a team within SSEN that is specifically dedicated to identifying electricity theft in order to reduce these losses. It is by far the largest activity within SSEN that contributes to the reduction in losses.

**Primary driver of activity**

If, in column E, you have selected 'Other' as the primary driver of the activity, please provide further explanation.

**SSEH and SSES**

Other is selected in LV and 11kV cable technical losses. It is made up of multiple data sources i.e. Connections (V3) and Other Asset Movement (V4), which is not included in the drop down menu on the E4 table. In 2019/20 additional cable volumes have been added to the "Other" category. This includes cables procured for Visual Amenity (CV20), Diversions (CV5), OH Clearances (CV18) and Faults (CV26).

Other is selected for Relevant theft of electricity. This is not included in the E4 drop down menu either.

**Baseline Scenario**

Please provide a brief description of the 'Baseline Scenario' inputted in column K for each activity.

**SSEH and SSES****LV & 11kV upsizing minimum cables**

The baseline scenario used is based on the previous policy of using smaller standard cable sizes i.e. 95 sqmm cables for LV and 70 sqmm cables for 11kV. These are standard cable sizes that are used in SSEN.

**6.6kV to 11kV upgrade**

The baseline scenario would be to replace the 6.6kV network, like for like. Whilst this is not an option (due to the minimum standard now being 11kV), the baseline scenario is built on 6.6kV for illustrative purposes to show the losses benefit of upgrading to 11kV.

**Relevant theft of electricity**

There is no baseline as each year electricity theft is discovered we reduce losses. It is therefore not applicable to include a baseline.

**Use of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool**

DNOs should use the latest version of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool for each of the activities reported in column C. Where the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool cannot be used to justify an activity, DNOs should explain why and provide evidence for how they have derived the equivalent figures for the worksheet. The most up-to-date CBA for each activity reported in the Regulatory Year under report must be submitted.

**SSEH and SSES**

CBA tool is used for LV and 11kV cables, as well as the 6.6 to 11kV upgrade. No CBA exists for relevant theft of electricity as this is settled on the number of MPAN resolutions as detailed in the first section.

### **Changes to CBAs**

If, following an update to the CBA used to originally justify the activity in column C, the updated CBA shows:

- a negative net benefit for an activity, but the DNO decides it is in the best interests of consumers to continue the activity, or
- a substantively different NPV from that used to justify an activity that has already begun.

the DNO should include an explanation of what has changed and why the DNO is continuing the activity.

For example, where the carbon price used in the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool has changed from that used to inform the decision such that the activity no longer has a positive NPV.

### **SSEH and SSES**

#### **2019/20**

#### **Cable Data**

No changes have been made to the CBA methodology.

Additional cable volumes as detailed in the "primary driver of activity" section have been added to the "Other" categories for both LV and 11kV cables. These additional volumes have been backdated to 2016/17 to reflect the most up to date review of cable upsizing. The additional cable volumes identified have resulted in a slight increase in the MWh losses savings for LV and 11kV cables (+207MWh total for LV cables between 2016/17 and 2018/19 for SSES and SSEH, and +216MWh total for 11kV cables for SSES and SSEH in the same 3 year period. See change log for further info).

Multipliers in columns R, AA and AI have been updated to reflect current cable procurement ratios and costs.

#### **6.6kv to 11kv upgrade**

A CBA has been created to present the losses reductions associated with upgrading the 6.6kV network. This has been backdated to the start of ED1 to account for schemes completed in 2015/16.

### **Cost benefit analysis additional information**

Please include a reference to the file name and location of any additional relevant evidence submitted to support the costs and benefits inputted into this worksheet. This should include the most recent CBA for each activity reported in column C in the Regulatory Year under report.

### **SSEH and SSES**

2019.20 LV Cable Upsizing CBA  
2019.20 11kV Cable upsizing CBA  
Cable Sales Data Summary 2019.20  
Cable losses reductions calculations v4 2020 update  
6.6 to 11kV upgrade CBA 2019.20  
SEPD.SHEPD Electricity Theft Calculation 2019.20

## E5 – Smart Metering

**Allocation and estimation methodologies:** detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

### **SSEH and SSES**

#### Smart Meter Communication Licensee Costs (pass through)

Values submitted relate to actual costs incurred as invoiced by the Data Communications Company (DCC) and costs associated with external audits as defined in the Smart Energy Code.

#### Smart Meter Information Technology Costs (pass through)

The values submitted relate to actual expenditure incurred on additional IT assets and services (including hardware and software ongoing costs) which are specifically associated with the systems required to access, store, process and use smart meter derived data.

### **Actions to deliver benefits**

Detail what activities have been undertaken in the relevant regulatory year to produce benefits of smart metering where efficient and maximise benefits overall to consumers. At a minimum this should include:

- A description of what the expenditure reported under Smart Meter Information Technology Costs is being used to procure and how it expects this to deliver benefits for consumers.
- A description of the benefits expected from the non-elective data procured as part of the Smart Meter Communication Licensee Costs. The DNO should set out how it has used this data.
- A description of the Elective Communication Services being procured, how it has used these services, and a description of the benefits the DNO expects to achieve.

### **SSEH and SSES**

No benefits have been delivered in this regulatory year due to the limited volume of SMETS2 meters available to us and consequent lack of data available.

### **Calculation of benefits**

Explain how the benefits have been calculated, including all assumptions used and details of the counterfactual scenario against which the benefits are calculated.

N/A

### **Use of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool**

DNOs should use the latest version of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool for each solution reported in the worksheet in the Regulatory Year under report. Where the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool cannot be used to justify a solution, DNOs should explain why and provide evidence for how they have derived the equivalent figures for the worksheet. The most up-to-date CBA for each activity reported in the Regulatory Year under report which are used to complete the worksheet must be submitted.

N/A

**Cost benefit analysis additional information**

Please include a reference to the file name and location of any additional relevant evidence submitted to support the costs and benefits inputted into this worksheet. This should include the most recent CBA for each solution reported in the Regulatory Year under report.

N/A

**E6 – Innovative Solutions**

**Allocation and estimation methodologies:** detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

**SSEH and SSES****General E6 Assumptions for All Technologies**

Costs represent the cost of the technology only i.e. it doesn't include associated costs in the CBA such as reinforcement costs.

MVA released represents the MVA released by the technology only i.e. it doesn't include associated MVA released by reinforcement as shown in the CBAs.

Estimated gross avoided costs are the gross costs avoided by the technology plus the actual cost of implementing the technology. It doesn't include NPV costs e.g. for ANM.

**Hybrid Generators - SSES**

This is a temporary mobile generator that is a combination of a diesel generator and a battery storage system (see the "General" section for more details). Assumptions are as follows:

Diesel consumption is assumed to be 40 litres per 24 hours for a standard 30kVA diesel generator and 86 litres per 24 hours for a hybrid Hygen MX generator based on information from our mobile generation teams.. Hybrid generators consume more diesel per hour than diesel generators as they charge the battery at higher loading levels to optimise efficiency. Over a 24 hour period however the hybrid generators use less diesel than a standard diesel generator (as they are compensated by the battery).

Fuel savings are calculated based on the loading levels of the hybrid generators provided by Victron Energy who provide IT support for the generators. The time the hybrid is in "bulk" mode (or charging the battery) is used to calculate fuel consumption at the above rate. This is compared with running a diesel generator for the entire time the hybrid is active, i.e in bulk mode or "inverting" mode (running off the battery).

Refuel savings are based on the fuel consumed per month in relation to the fuel tank size, e.g Hygen MX generators have a 100 litre fuel tank – if 158 litres of fuel is consumed in a month this will result in one refuel. It is assumed that each refuel costs £180, based on information from Offgrid who supply the generators

Purchase costs are assumed to be the difference in cost between purchasing 5 Hybrid HX generators versus 5 30kVA diesel generators.

CO2e calculation = Number of litres of fuel (red-diesel) used x 2.68697. This figure has been taken from DECC carbon calculation factors.

### **Live Line Tree Harvesting - SSEH**

This is where tree felling occurs by a specialised machine working adjacent to a live line.

Conventional Harvesting under outage with generation

CI & CMLs: Benefit is calculated based on 50% of the unplanned value given this work is carried out during a planned shut down only.

As customers must be disconnected from mains supply onto generator supply while carrying out this work, then disconnected from generator supply to go back onto main supply this means CIs are doubled when calculating the cost of CIs.

Staff costs: These include staff, senior authorised personnel and standby staff. They are calculated by using estimated daily costs multiplied by the number of days they are required for.

Generation costs: This includes estimated generator equipment costs based on size/type of generator and number of days used.

CI / CML Generator trip costs: The CI / CML costs are calculated assuming that when a generator trips, it will trip for a 4 hour period before power is restored. Staff generator trip costs are incurred as staff are required to attend faulty generators. This is calculated by multiplying £500 (average staff costs to attend and fix faulty generators) by the percentage likelihood that the generator will trip (approximately 2% per day).

Live Line Harvester Costs: Based on operational costs incurred by SSES and costs to rent the harvester from the contractor.

Potential system security

This includes CIs and CMLs that could occur if a fault developed on a nearby circuit that usually has the ability to be back-fed. However, without a live line harvester, the circuit that usually has the ability to back-feed has manual tree cutting taking place and can't be used to supply power. Manual tree felling work must be done during a planned shutdown over several weeks. This puts other customers at risk if a fault develops as supply can't be back-fed. As a result an additional 5% of CI/CML values is added to take account of this additional risk.

### **Forestry Mulcher - SSEH**

This is a specialised machine that is designed to clear small trees and shrubs underneath OHL (see the "General" section for more details). Assumptions are as follows:

Hand felling: Assumptions must be made in order to calculate how much the forestry mulcher costs vs the traditional hand felling methods:

Hand felling labour costs are estimated at an average of £225 per day.

Hand felling costs also include the hiring of a chipper machine at £225 per week and vehicle hire estimated at £1,171 per month.

Chainsaw fuel costs are estimated at £15 per day.

Chipper fuel costs are estimated at £22.80 per day.

Number of days work estimated by tree cutting manager.

Forestry mulcher: Labour & vehicle hire costs are the same as hand felling costs.

Cost of the Mulchers has been incurred via NIA project. 10% of project costs have been included here to reflect costs.

Mulcher fuel costs are estimated to be £103 per day (higher cost estimate). All costs, above, have been obtained from consulting the tree cutting team manager who has access to costs.

### **Active Network Management (ANM)– SSEH & SSES**

This is where SSEN deploys flexible solutions to overcome network capacity issues (see the “General” section for more details). SSEN have multiple ANM schemes. The benefits of these schemes, set out below, have been calculated against assumptions in set out in the relevant CBAs.

### **Western Isles (WI) ANM - SSEH**

**Option Baseline:** This is the do nothing scenario. It is assumed that no investment or network upgrades are considered, meaning new generation cannot connect. As this scenario is unlikely to occur the benefits of ANM have been calculated against Option 2 (Traditional Reinforcement).

**Option 2 (Traditional reinforcement):** As we are at the limits of our network’s capacity on the island, new generation will require network reinforcement which will have a cost and time impact for the generator.

In this scenario generators can’t operate until 2020, once the subsea cable reinforcement is complete. The £20m reinforcement releases an additional 9MVA of capacity, once works have completed (approximately 3 years).

MWhrs of renewable generation have been calculated by using actual generation export values from WI ANM generators & accounts for the fact that this generator was constrained by 0.09% over the one year period it was operational.

**Option 3 (Install ANM and defer reinforcement):** Instead of going ahead with the traditional reinforcement proposed above in Option 2, we have implemented single generator ANM on the WI. ANM allows us to offer generators requesting a connection to be given a constrained connection instead. ANM has freed up an additional 9MVA of constrained capacity on the WI network without the need for expensive reinforcement.

It is forecast that more generators will want to connect to the WI network throughout the RIIO-ED1 period. A full ANM scheme will be implemented when the next request for generation occurs. This is forecast to occur in 2023. However, this will only release an additional 9MVA of capacity. Any more generators requesting connections after this point will then trigger the £20m reinforcement to be implemented. This has been forecast to be triggered in 2024 and not be completed until the end of RIIO-ED2.

In this scenario ANM is in place, which allows increased capacity on the network of 18MVA over RIIO-ED1. Around 9MVA of capacity has already been filled and another 9MVA of capacity if forecast to be filled in 2023 alongside the completion of a full ANM scheme. The £20m reinforcement will then be triggered by demand for new connections in 2024. This will be completed during RIIO-ED2 and release an additional 9MVA of capacity by 2028.

If the subsea cables connecting WI to mainland Scotland are replaced, it is assumed that the new cables will be higher capacity to allow more firm connections of generation to connect to the network. Once this occurs the

benefits of ANM will have to be reassessed as it may not be necessary if enough capacity is made available by new subsea cables.

### **Orkney ANM**

This has been operational since before the start of RIIO-ED1. Running costs have been recorded against each year where they were incurred. Reinforcement avoided occurred pre RIIO-ED1 and so benefits and MVA released have not been counted again here. The main benefit in ED1 is from reduced emissions as a result of renewable generation being connected via ANM.

No new capacity has been freed up in RIIO-ED1 on Orkney.

### **Isle Of Wight (IoW) Active Network Management (ANM) - SSES**

Option Baseline: This is the traditional reinforcement option and assumes a £38m reinforcement is triggered in 2018 to allow 100MVA renewable generation to connect from 2022 onwards.

Option 2 (Install ANM and defer reinforcement): This option installs ANM which allows an increased capacity on the network of 45MVA. It is assumed the £38m reinforcement is deferred to 2028.

Costs of the ANM solution are the actual costs incurred.

Only the MVA released by ANM scheme has been included. The CBA will be updated each time capacity is filled by generators.

Estimated Gross Avoided costs: As new generators connect to the IoW ANM scheme the costs of avoided traditional reinforcement are calculated

### **3rd Party ANM - SSEH**

SSEN currently have four 3<sup>rd</sup> Party ANM Schemes. This is where generators connect earlier than planned, on a flexible basis, and therefore create carbon benefits by increasing the amount of renewables on the network.

Carbon benefits are calculated by taking total MWhrs of operation over the regulatory year and using the Ofgem conversion methodology within the CBA.

Costs are Generator connection costs.

### **Constraint Managed Zones - SSEH**

This is where flexible solutions are used to offer security of supply during times of peak demand, planned maintenance or fault conditions (see the "General" section for more details). Assumptions are as follows:

CMZ has been used on the Isle of Islay. The generation costs of the CMZ scheme are based on actual costs from the generator. It is assumed that had the scheme not been in place, temporary diesel generation would have been required to cover the equivalent generation that was provided by the generator. This is assumed to cost £198 per MWh for temporary diesel generation, using information from our mobile generation team

Avoided carbon emissions are based on the renewable MWh generated multiplied by the GHG conversion Factor in the fixed data tab of the ofgem CBA.

## **Bidoyng - SSES**

This technology is based on a smart fuse auto recloser which can also assist in the location of LV underground cable faults via fault location services (see the "General" section for more details). The assumptions are as follows:

BD1 calculated data: BD1 faults cause a primary fuse rupture. This is where a fuse ruptures once and the bidoyng unit activates preventing customers from going off-supply. In this situation we get both CI and CML savings as an outage was prevented.

BD2 calculated data: BD2 faults cause a secondary fuse rupture. This is where a fuse ruptures, is replaced by the bidoyng unit and then the replacement fuse also ruptures. In this situation customers are off-supply so there are only CML savings to be made. As field staff are alerted to the fault by the bidoyng unit, restoration times are generally faster than with a standard fuse rupture. CML savings are therefore calculated by subtracting the time taken to restore the power from the average time taken to replace a fuse (134mins).

BD3 calculated data: BD3 faults use Kalvatec's location services to identify rogue circuits before the fault has become permanent. In this situation we have CI and CML savings for up to 4 fuse ruptures and CML savings at half cost for one permanent cable fault repair.

# of CIs: This is the total number of customers that could be affected by an LV fault. It is calculated by taking the total number of customers on each feeder and dividing it by 3 to find the average number of customers per phase. As the fault is likely to occur on one of the phases not all customers lose supply. If faults occur on more than one phase these additional affected customers are added to obtain a final figure of how many customers could have been interrupted. The number of customers is multiplied by £11.64 for 2019/20 (exact value depends on the year) to obtain a customer interruption figure.

# of CMLs: This is the number of customers that have been interrupted as calculated by BD1, BD2 and BD3 methodology.

BD3 calculated data: BD3 CIs and CMLs occur where Bidoyng fuses are available and a fault has been located using Kelvatec's "go locate" location services.

It is assumed that circuits prone to faulting, where Bidoyng equipment is located, will have an average of 4 fuse ruptures on them before the fault is located and fixed.

If a cable problem is located before a circuit faults and causes a fuse operation then the maximum number of CIs and CMLs are saved i.e. CI / CML multiplied by 4 (the average number of times the fuse would have ruptured because of the fault). The more fuse ruptures that occur, the less CI / CML savings occur. Once 4 fuse operations have occurred no more CI / CML savings can be gained (as it is assumed 4 is the number of times a fuse will rupture before the fault is fixed).

On top of this there is a CML saving associated with fixing the fault identified with Kalvatec's location services before it becomes permanent. As the fault has been located before customers go off supply, a preventative repair can be planned. This planned outage is assumed to be at half the CML cost of an unplanned outage (but will occur the same number of CIs).

Calculation details below:

0 fuse operations = Number of CIs and CMLs multiplied by 4  
1 fuse operation = Number of CIs and CMLs multiplied by 3  
2 fuse operations = Number of CIs and CMLs multiplied by 2  
3 fuse operations = Number of CIs and CMLs multiplied by 1  
4 or more fuse operations = Number of CIs and CMLs multiplied by 0  
Fault repair = Number of CIs multiplied by 1, number of CMLs divided by two

CMLs (fuse operation): It is assumed that one fuse rupture will cause an outage of 134 mins (Previous estimate was 130 mins). This has been reviewed for 2019/20 and is based on average fuse restoration times for LV faults.

CIs (fuse operation): It is assumed that one fuse rupture will cause an interruption for all customers on that particular circuit.

CMLs (fault repair): It is assumed that a transient fault located will result in a repair. This will cause an outage of 180 mins which is based on the average fault restoration time for 2019/20 for permanent cable faults.

CIs (fault repair): It is assumed that each fault located and repaired will cause an interruption for all customers on that particular circuit.

#### CBA Data

# of CIs: This is the total number of customers multiplied by the CI fine of £11.64 for 2019/20 (the exact value changes depending on the year) and then multiplied again by the number of fuse operations.

# of CMLs: This is the number of customers multiplied by £37.19. This is the average cost of a 134 minute outage i.e.  $134 * £0.283$  (the current CML cost). The resulting figure is multiplied again by the number of fuse operations to obtain a final figure. As well as this there is a CML cost for the fault repair. This is the number of customer multiplied by £25.47. This is the cost of a 180 minute outage at half IIS cost (due to a planned outage) i.e.  $180 * (£0.283/2)$

Additional costs: These include PSI costs, backfeed costs, operations costs and excavation costs as explained below:

PSI costs: These are planned supply interruption costs. It is estimated that an average cost of £995.96 will be incurred as a result of planned interruptions being necessary due to specific faults on specific circuits. This is an average figure taken from the Bidoyng business case, which takes into account average PSI costs.

Backfeed costs: These are average costs incurred as a result of backfeeding being necessary. It is estimated that an average cost of £1991.93 will be incurred if backfeeding is required. This figure has been derived from the Bidoyng business case, which takes into account average costs of backfeeding. Backfeeding savings only occur on BD3 faults i.e. faults that are transient in nature and are cleared by the automatic replacement of fuses due to Bidoyng technology. This is because it prevents the need for backfeeding being necessary.

Operations costs: This is the cost of labour required to change a fuse. As stated above there are on average 4 fuse ruptures before a fault on a rogue circuit is located and fixed. Identifying fault locations using Kalvatec's location services will avoid these fuse ruptures. We assume a 2 hour saving for each fuse rupture avoided at a cost of £32.20 per hour, based on average staff costs

Excavation costs: Bidoyng creates an average estimated saving of £1250, per fault, in terms of reduced excavation costs. This figure comes from the TOUCAN NIA project. This is because it can pinpoint underground faults more effectively, reducing the need for multiple excavations

BD1 Duplicate Removal: On occasion a fault can trigger both BD3 and BD1 operations. When this happens CI and CML savings will be recorded for both BD1 and BD3. These duplicate savings are deducted to prevent double counting.

Total costs: This is a summation of all costs stated above, removing the double counting.

Total Bidoyng contract spend: This is the amount of money spent on the Bidoyng contract specifically for fault location and fuse replacement services (refer to workings template tab in CBA).

Bidoyng incentive spend: This is the total amount of money that Kelvatec, the Bidoyng contractors, are awarded on top of the normal contract due to accurately locating faults. This cost is covered operationally so is not included in the CBA due to double counting (refer to workings template tab in CBA).

Total Bidoyng spend is the addition of these two spends.

2019/20 Update: Average time to change a fuse increased from 130 to 134mins based on 2019/20 data. Operations savings for avoiding fuse ruptures and CML savings for fault location and planned repair are now included.

### **Thermal Cameras - SSES**

Thermal cameras are used to assist in LV underground cable fault location where they reduce CMLs and occasionally CIs if defects are located prior to a fault occurring (see the "General" section for more details). Assumptions are as follows:

CML savings are calculated depending on the type of circuit the fault occurs on.

Rogue circuit: Where 5 or more faults have previously occurred. It is assumed this type of circuit will fault at least 4 more times prior to becoming a permanent fault i.e. replacing fuses no longer restores supply. A multiplier of 4 is used when calculating faults located and repaired on these types of circuits. Faults located and repaired are assumed to save 90 mins, based on NIA: TOUCAN field trial experiments.

Non Rogue circuit: No multiplier occurs to the 90 min CML saving.

CI savings occur if a defect is discovered before a fault becomes permanent. Savings are based on the number of customers on the circuit multiplied by the CI fine e.g. £11.64 for 2019/20 (varies each year).

Excavation savings are estimated at £1250 per excavation avoided (max 1 per fault attended). These figures have been calculated by our automation team.

**General**

For each of the solutions please explain:

- In detail what the solution is, linking to external documents where necessary.
- How this is being used, and how it is delivering benefits.
- What the volume unit is and what you have counted as a single unit.
- How each of the impacts have been calculated, including what assumptions have been relied upon.

**Hybrid Generators – SSES**

1) The Hybrid Generator (HG) technology is offered as a solution for off-grid power supply requirements in remote locations and can be used to provide power for residential, construction, telecom towers and disaster relief applications. It is a temporary mobile generator and not utilised full time.

The HG is a combination of a diesel generator (DG) and a power-electronic converter with integrated battery storage. Hybrid generators use diesel to charge a battery which is then used to cover an outage. This is efficient as the battery can be charged at optimal loading levels and then the diesel generator can be switched off, meaning less diesel is consumed overall than with a standard diesel generator. In conventional DG applications, generators provide electricity to meet customer demand. As this demand is often at a lower loading than the generator is specced for, the DG is constantly running, but operating at off-optimal conditions for the vast majority of time. The battery system of the Hybrid Generator alleviates this requirement, as the HG can use the battery to meet low load customer demand.

Other benefits include low/no noise through noise insulation and operation in battery-only mode, less carbon emissions through operation of the DG under optimal conditions and use of battery, generally more efficient operation than that of the DG and reduced cost of ownership since the engine has to run less often.

As of June 2019 SSES have procured 5 new model "Hygen MX" hybrid generators using BAU funds. The Hygen MX generators are more reliable, more user friendly and smaller than the old model generators and are easier to manoeuvre and use.

The closedown report is located here: ED\_SSE - RRP - 2016-16 RRP\2011\_14 Hybrid Generator LTI Close down report

- 2) The 5 new units have been in use since June 2019 and are delivering benefits in fuel savings, refuel savings and reduced emissions compared to traditional mobile diesel generators as detailed in the "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section.
- 3) The volume is based on one generator equalling one unit.
- 4) The assumptions and calculations are described in the "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section of this report.

### **Live Line Tree Harvester - SSEH**

1) Tree harvesting adjacent to our overhead network presents increasing challenges to SSEN particularly in SSEH. Volumes of timber available to be harvested by third parties will continue to rise over the next 20 years and we have increased ESQCR obligations to gain enhanced (falling distance) clearances over the next 25 years.

Current guidance and practice on tree felling within falling distance of the network is to either provide an outage, or to fell and dismantle the trees using manual techniques.

Providing an outage has obvious disadvantages:

- significant CI/CML consequences
- hazards associated with switching and provision of generation
- reduction in network security
- time constraints on shutdowns could result in failure to complete works
- machinery breakdown might result in further outages being required

The use of manual methods adjacent to a live line for large numbers of trees also has significant drawbacks:

- unacceptable exposure to hazard to operatives over long periods from working at height, chainsaws, falling trees and electricity
- drain on highly trained resources needed to carry out programmed maintenance work

The objective of the project was to fully investigate the scope of the issue, evaluate potential methods and machinery that could be employed and to develop safe systems of work to carry out mechanised harvesting adjacent to a live network.

The close down report is located here:

ED\_SSE - RRP - 2016-16 RRP Returns\Live Line Harvesting Closedown Report

2) Currently two live line harvesters are in operation. Harvesters have only been used in the SSEH. The harvester works by felling trees adjacent to live lines. It produces benefits as it is a far less costly method of harvesting vs conventional hand felling methods. It is also far more efficient. Benefits come from reduced CIs / CML, improved security of supply (also CI CML benefits) and lower generation costs. Unquantifiable safety benefits also exist, as hand felling of trees for long periods of time carries risks..

3) The volume of units are the number of live line harvesters owned by SSEN.

4) Assumptions and how they have been calculated are mentioned in the "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section of this report

### **Forestry Mulcher - SSEH**

1) The forestry mulcher is a machine designed to remove small shrubs and woody species underneath OHL. More details can be found in the close

down report:

[https://www.smarternetworks.org/project/nia\\_ssepd\\_0018/](https://www.smarternetworks.org/project/nia_ssepd_0018/)

- 2) The mulcher is currently being used in SSEH where there is a higher proportion of vegetation. The mulcher can't cut vegetation too large or mature and so its prime purpose is for controlling new growth. It is estimated to be 3.8 to 3.4 times more productive than hand felling based on time savings experienced during the NIA trial. This means more spans can be cut per £ spent, improving unit costs.
- 3) Units are the number of Bushfighter machines that are in use i.e. 2. These 2 machines were moved into BaU in 16/17 after successfully proving their benefit as part of an NIA project.
- 4) Assumptions have been detailed in the "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section of this report.

#### **ANM – SSEH & SSES**

- 1) The ANM solution is deployed where renewable generators may otherwise have been unable to connect to the distribution network due to network capacity issues resulting in excessive reinforcement costs or timescales. It allows a greater number of generators to connect on a flexible basis and allows export up to a certain limit before generators are constrained in merit order. The system uses Information Communication Technology (ICT) architecture that monitors, in real time, the pre-identified network constraint points and ensures that no generators connected through it can breach the network's operational limits. If those limits are threatened then the system sends control signals to the generator to reduce their export until the network limits are no longer threatened, then the generators are released back to a safe operating state. The key governing principles are described in the ENA produced ANM Good Practice Guide, which can be found at the following link:

[http://www.energynetworks.org/assets/files/news/publications/1500205\\_ENA\\_ANM\\_report\\_AW\\_online.pdf](http://www.energynetworks.org/assets/files/news/publications/1500205_ENA_ANM_report_AW_online.pdf)

The report was created by the ENA ANM Working Group where the relevant subject matter experts meet to share learning and to tackle industry wide issues affecting the wider roll out of ANM.

- 2) The volume unit on this is 1 ANM scheme.
- 3) Reinforcement costs for each ANM scheme have been calculated by system planners based on the reinforcement required to ensure additional capacity is available for new connections.
- 4) The assumptions for each scheme have been detailed in the "Allocation and Estimation Methodologies" section.

### **3rd Party ANM - SSEH**

1) There are two types of 3rd party ANM connections for the customer to consider - shared capacity and demand management. Both of which are installed and managed by the customer.

Shared capacity example: An existing generator may have a contracted capacity of 10MW but only have 6MW of connected generation. Therefore, there is the potential for a customer to approach this generator and make use of the spare capacity. The customers will install a system that will ensure the combined export of both generators does not exceed the contracted capacity.

Demand Management example: A new 250kW generator wishes to connect to the distribution network. However due to transmission constraint upstream the generator has a limited export of 50kW. The generator develops a proposal to increase the minimum demand by changing gas boilers to electric boilers on the same circuit as the constrained asset. The generator has calculated this will increase the minimum demand by 200kW. The generator must then ensure that when the 50kW limit is breached that suitable demand is brought onto the network.

2) 3rd Party ANM allows renewables to connect more cheaply and faster. It also allows generators to benefit from government subsidies that are time bound.

3) 1 ANM generator counts as 1 scheme.

4) Calculations are explained in the "Allocation and Estimation Methodologies" section of this report

### **Constraint Managed Zones – SSEH**

1) Constraint Managed Zones (CMZ) offer flexible connections on either a temporary or longer term basis to provide support to the distribution network. SSEN offer three types of CMZ:

- CMZ Prepare – support the network during planned maintenance work
- CMZ Respond – support the network during fault conditions as a result of maintenance work
- CMZ Restore – support the network during faults that occur as a result of equipment failure

These solutions consider renewable generators and energy storage as an alternative to traditional generation or network reinforcement when planning backup for a network outage.

2) SSEN's first CMZ contract was operational between October 2019 and March 2020 utilising both Prepare and Respond services to provide flexible generation to cover a network outage on the Isle of Islay. The contract provided renewable generation from a hydro plant on the island in place of diesel generation.

The main benefit is associated with the CMZ contract providing a more cost effective option for supporting the network outage than traditional temporary

generation services. As traditional generation would be diesel based, there are also reduced carbon emissions associated with the CMZ contract.

3) The volume relates to the number of CMZ schemes deployed. As the Islay CMZ scheme was deployed for 6 months only, there is one addition and one removal for 2019/20 associated with this one scheme.

4) MWhs of flexibility provided during the outage has been given by the hydro generation plant. This is multiplied by the contract rate to determine the cost of flexibility services provided. Diesel generation is assumed to cost £189 per MWh based on information from our flexible connections team. Carbon savings are based on the Lfgem conversion rate per MWh generated (currently 0.445 tonnes per MWh).

### **Bidoynng - SSES**

1) Bidoynng is a smart fuse that provides us with remote fault reclosing, accurate demand data, pre-fault detection and location, post fault location and cable condition assessment.

Kelvatek are the vendor who supplies the Bidoynng fault detection and automatic re-close equipment. They also provide a fault analysis service which rapidly interprets data from the devices and sends details of fault location to the SSES Supply Restoration Teams.

Bidoynng fault detection equipment is designed to be mobile. It is placed on rogue circuits (i.e. circuits with high numbers of faults) to maintain security of supply through the fuse auto-reclose feature, whilst whilst working to pinpoint the location of the fault. Once a fault is identified and resolved, the Bidoynng equipment is moved to the next location in order to detect and prevent as many faults as possible.

When the SSES Bidoynng team are notified of a fault by Kelvatek, a team of field staff are sent out to locate the fault using initial location data provided by Kelvatec. In order to establish a detailed location based on the information from Kelvatek a device from EATL called a 'Sniffer' is used. Sniffers are able to detect underground faults by identifying gases that are emitted from arcing and heated cables. Once a fault is located the area must be excavated in order to fix the fault. If the fault is not located the devices continue to gather intelligence gradually building up a more accurate indication of the location of the fault.

2) Before Bidoynng technology was available, finding faults in the underground network was difficult. This often resulted in multiple excavation attempts in order to identify the fault location. In addition, the length of time taken to locate and repair the fault had a customer impact and resulted in CML penalties.

The main purpose of the Bidoynng project is to locate faults before they cause a customer interruption along with associated customer minutes lost. It does this by identifying pre fault signals, once enough signals have been

recorded and analysed it is possible to identify potential fault locations with an associated level of confidence. A team is dispatched to the pre-fault location when the analysis predicts a fault location with an estimated accuracy of +/-10 metres. The team can then locate and repair the faulty cable before it becomes a network fault, in most cases avoiding any unplanned interruptions to customers. In addition to this ability to identify and locate faults before an outage occurs, the devices also provide detailed locational information for difficult to locate faults which allows the DNO to respond more quickly to minimise customer disruption.

Uniquely, the device also gives the DNO the ability to reclose the circuit remotely in the case of an intermittent fault.

- 3) The volume unit is the number of Bidoyng contracts, which is one.
- 4) Assumptions and how they have been calculated are mentioned in the "Allocation and Estimation Methodologies" section of this report.

### **Thermal Cameras - SSES**

1) Thermal cameras, trialled as part of the TOUCAN NIA project, are used for assisting in the location of LV underground faults. They locate thermal hot spots caused by cables that have faulted or from cables that are likely to fault, as they leave a heat residue behind that the thermal camera is able to locate. This allows SSEN field staff to locate and repair faults quicker, thereby restoring power quicker to customers or even preventing faults from occurring in some cases.

The TOUCAN NIA close down report details the technology in more detail: [https://www.smarternetworks.org/project/nia\\_ssepd\\_0021/documents](https://www.smarternetworks.org/project/nia_ssepd_0021/documents)

2) Thermal cameras have been rolled out across our southern network and are currently assisting with LV cable fault location, enabling field engineers to restore power quicker to customers, thereby reducing CMLs.

3) The volume of units is expressed as the number of thermal imaging cameras that have been purchased.

4) CI and CML saving calculations are described in the "Allocation and Estimation Methodologies" section of this report.

### **Use of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool**

DNOs should use the latest version of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool for each solution reported in the Regulatory Year under report. Where the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool cannot be used to justify a solution, DNOs should explain why and provide evidence for how they have derived the equivalent figures for the worksheet. The most up-to-date CBA for each solution reported in the Regulatory Year under report which are used to complete the worksheet must be submitted.

### **SSEH & SSES**

RIIO-ED1 CBA tool used for all technologies.

**Changes to CBAs**

If, following an update to the CBA used to originally justify the activity in column C, the updated CBA shows a negative net benefit for an activity, but the DNO decides it is in the best interests of consumers to continue the activity, the DNO should include an explanation of what has changed and why the DNO is continuing the activity.

**SSEH & SSES**

There have been no updates to the CBA assumptions causing negative net benefits in 2019/20.

The hybrid generators are currently showing negative benefits due to the benefits realised not yet covering the purchase price of the units.

**Calculation of benefits**

Explain how the benefits have been calculated, including all assumptions used and details of the counterfactual scenario against which the benefits are calculated.

**Live Line Tree Cutter**

Refer to "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section

**Forestry Mulcher**

This is simply the traditional cost of hand felling vs the cost of mulching per span. Assumptions are detailed in the "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section.

**Western Isles ANM**

NPV calculations are demonstrated in the CBA and assumptions explained in the "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section.

**Orkney ANM**

Benefit of ANM is shown as a positive NPV value over time. Costs of operating the ANM scheme each year to SSEH are included.

**Isle of Wight ANM**

Refer to "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section

**3<sup>rd</sup> Party ANM**

Refer to "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section

**CMZ**

Refer to "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section

**Bidoynng**

Refer to "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section

**Thermal Cameras**

Refer to "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section

**Hybrid Generators**

Refer to "Allocation and estimation methodologies" section

**Cost benefit analysis additional information**

Please include a reference to the file name and location of any additional relevant evidence submitted to support the costs and benefits inputted into this worksheet. This should include the most recent CBA for each solution reported in the Regulatory Year under report.

**Live Line Tree Cutter**

CBA Location:

SSEH – Live Line Tree Cutting CBA 2019-20

**Forestry Mulcher**

CBA Location:

SSEH Forestry Mulcher CBA 2019-20

**Western Isles ANM**

CBA Location:

SSEH Western Isles ANM Benefits 2019.20

**Orkney ANM**

CBA Location:

SSEH Orkney ANM Benefits 2019.20

**Isle of Wight ANM**

RIIO-ED1 Isle of Wight Development Plan with reinforcement costs location:

RIIO-ED1\_SSEPD\_BP2\_Mar14\_sp16\_LI\_sepd\_Fawley-IOW\_132kV\_Circuit\_Reinforcement\_MPGP633

CBA Location:

SSES Isle of Wight ANM Benefits 2019.20

**3rd Party ANM**

CBA Location:

SSEH 3rd Party and Early Access ANM Benefits 2019.20

**CMZ**

CBA Location:

SSEH Islay CMZ CBA 2019.20

**Bidoynng**

BD3 information for reporting 2019-20 v2

CBA Location:

SSES CBA Bidoynng 2019-20

## Thermal Cameras

Thermal camera master data sheet location:  
MASTER DATA SHEET 2019-20

TOUCAN close down report location:  
2018-19\_SSEPD\_TOUCAN Closedown report

CBA Location:  
SSES CBA Thermal Cameras 2019-20

## Hybrid Generators

CBA Location:  
SSES CBA Hybrid Generator 2019-20

## E7 – LCTs

**Allocation and estimation methodologies:** detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

Where we are notified that a connection has been made under connect and notify and the installer has not provided all of the information, then we determine the kilowatts based on other details provided.

We have used CC4 of the Connections Pack to calculate the volume of DG connections that are not G83, consistent with previous years. This reports projects which have been financially closed in the Regulatory year. Other projects, that may have been connected in the year but have not been financially closed, will be reported in future years.

### LCT – Processes used to report data

- (i) Please explain processes used to calculate or estimate the number and size of each type of LCT.
- (ii) If any assumptions have been made in calculating or estimating either of these values, these must be noted and explained.

The Electric Vehicle (EV) figures are based on the submissions that we received from the installers of EV. The assumptions are that anything reported as 100A or less is assumed to be single phase and anything >100A is assumed to be three phase.

Work is ongoing to facilitate the recording of Heat Pump information, however this is incomplete and we will look to improve this.

In previous years we have used the Feed In Tarriff (FITs) register to provide the figures for G83 DG however due to the closure of this scheme in April 2019 we have been unable to use this data for this reporting year. We have instead used internal data which is reliant on customers following the Connect and Notify process. G83 has been superseded by G98 throughout 2019/20 and these figures have both been included in the G83 fields.

**LCT - Uptake**

Please explain how the level of LCT uptake experienced compares to the forecast in your RIIIO-ED1 Business Plan and the DECC low carbon scenarios. This must also include any expectation of changes in the trajectory for each LCT over the next Regulatory Year in comparison to actuals to date.

This year we have seen a decrease in PV uptake and we expect this is due to the to the closure of the Feed In Tarriff scheme to new applicants as of 01/04/2019.

In 2019/20 we are continuing to see an increase in EV fast chargers being installed with a 40% increase in our SSEH network and 151% increase in our SSES network in comparison to 2018/19.