# Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment Phase 1: Optioneering Studies Report

Document no: B2413737-JAC-10-XX-ST-E-0001 Version: 3

Scottish & Southern Electricity Networks

Outer Hebrides Whole System Project Hebrides and Orkney Whole System RIIO-ED2 Re-opener 19 Jan 2024



Client name:	Scottish & Southern Electricity Netwo	rks	
Project name:	Outer Hebrides Whole System Project	:	
Client reference:	SSEN	Project no:	B2413737
Document no:	B2413737-JAC-10-XX-ST-E-0001	Project manager:	Hakmi Eiad
Version:	03	Prepared by:	Vijay Prasan Kumar Mufeed Pathan
Date:	19/01/2024	File name:	B2413737-JAC-10-XX-ST-E- 0001

Document status: Final Issue

#### Document history and status

Version	Date	Description	Author	Checked	Reviewed	Approved
01	09/11/2023	Original	Vijay Vuppu Mufeed Pathan	Sarah Carter	Ramana B	Hakmi Eiad
02	05/01/2024	Original	Vijay Vuppu Mufeed Pathan	Sarah Carter	Simon Terry	Hakmi Eiad
03	19/01/2024	Original	Vijay Vuppu Mufeed Pathan	Sarah Carter	Ramana B	Hakmi Eiad

#### **Distribution of copies**

Version	Issue approved	Date issued	Issued to	Comments
01	Initial Issue	09/11/2023	SSEN- Andrew Harvey	
02	Final Issue	05/01/2024	SSEN- Andrew Harvey	
03	Final Issue	19/01/2024	SSEN- Andrew Harvey	

#### Jacobs UK Ltd

7th Floor, 2 Colmore Square 38 Colmore Circus, Queensway, Birmingham, B4 6BN United Kingdom T +44 (0)121 237 4000 www.jacobs.com

Copyright Jacobs UK Ltd © 2024.

All rights reserved. The concepts and information contained in this document are the property of the Jacobs group of companies ("Jacobs Group"). Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Jacobs Group constitutes an infringement of copyright. Jacobs, the Jacobs logo, and all other Jacobs Group trademarks are the property of Jacobs Group.

NOTICE: This document has been prepared exclusively for the use and benefit of Jacobs Group client. Jacobs Group accepts no liability or responsibility for any use or reliance upon this document by any third party.

#### **Executive summary**

Due to uncertainties in respect of the development of demand, renewable generation, and the status of the HVDC Western Isles transmission link at the time of submission of the RIIO-ED2 business plans a Hebrides & Orkney Whole Systems (HOWS) bespoke Uncertainty mechanism is in place until March 2025. The SSEN Island documentation provided to Ofgem during the ED2 submission process stated "Western Isles (Uist, Eriskay, Barra & Skye): We need to consider interactions with transmission proposals such as the proposed HVDC cable from Beauly to Lewis alongside meeting resilience and local capacity requirements; as well as decarbonising emissions from diesel generation units. Alternative network considerations need to be explored alongside a role for local flexibility services."

Jacobs has been engaged to assess the Outer Hebrides energy system using the Whole System approach to support investment proposals to enable SSEN to obtain Ofgem's approval when they make their re-opener application. The focus of this report is the Initial Optioneering and Power System Studies.

The Outer Hebridean islands are currently fed from Skye using two subsea cables, one feeds the Isles of Uist and is at the end of its life and the other which feeds the Isle of Lewis and Harris and has been recently replaced. There is no connection between the Isle of Lewis and Harris and the Isles of Uist. SSENs overarching strategy is "to decarbonise the Western Isles, meet security of supply standards, drive least worst regret investment to facilitate island net zero ambitions and deliver a coordinated approach that meets stakeholder, customer, and consumer needs".

This report which expands the optioneering undertaken in the initial EJPs developed for the RIIO-ED2 business plan to consider:

- Alternative connection options,
- The latest Distribution Future Energy Scenario (DFES) demand and generation data,
- The impact of the 1.8GW HVDC transmission line between Lewis and mainland Scotland (approved for pre-engineering works).
- SSEN strategy to reduce the use of Diesel Embedded Generation assets (DEG),
- Viable options based on a Whole System solution approach.

#### **Options Overview**

This report considers a number of options to improve the security of the existing connection arrangements to the Hebrides and ensure the system capacity is suitable for the predicted 2050 demand and generation profile. This includes the consideration of the future SSEN Transmission HVDC link landing near Stornoway and the possible addition of a 132 kV connection from Skye to the Isle of Lewis and Harris which will contribute to the security of supply to the islands.

Having two connections to the Isle of Lewis and Harris from the mainland with N-1 security will reduce the need for diesel embedded generation (DEG) under one circuit outage thus saving the cost of running the standby DEGs. Likewise, having two connections to the Isles of Uist (North and South) from the mainland, or establishing a connection between the Isle of Lewis and Harris and North Uist with one connection to the Isles of Uist from the mainland will reduce the need for DEG under outage conditions. It is noted that there are no current plans to upgrade the single circuit overhead line between Edinbane, Dunvegan and Ardmore. Hence if all the connections to the Hebrides from the mainland are from Skye via Ardmore and / or Dunvegan this portion of the connection will remain as a single point of failure.

A number of different supply configurations have been considered, considering the existing and proposed new 33 kV subsea cables from Skye to Harris, North Uist and South Uist, the future HVDC cable that will connect to the Isle of Lewis and Harris and a new 132 kV subsea cable between Skye and Harris.

The seasonal nature of the local renewable generation means that studies have been undertaken for both summer and winter demand and generation. This has resulted in the identification of options which meet acceptable voltages and circuit loading for both normal and N-1 security of supply.

Some local constraints have been identified resulting in recommendations to uprate the cable ratings & transformers and additional reactive power compensation requirements. Additionally, procurement of flexibility services is considered as an option to meet the future demand scenarios. The amount of flexibility that would need to be procured to prevent the need for reinforcement by 2050 is large, hence it is not proposed that flexibility is used as an enduring solution. However, it is possible that smaller amounts of flexibility could be used to defer the need for the reinforcement by a few years and it is suggested that this is considered in the next stage of the study with the CBA assessment.

#### **Preferred Options**

The fourteen options which are recommended to be taken forward to the Cost Benefit Analysis are summarised in Section 6 and tabulated in Table 1-1. In all cases the existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable is decommissioned.

The overall security of supply to the islands will depend on the option selected, the dependency on the Skye network arrangements and the use of a 132 kV or HVDC link to the mainland. It is noted that the options using two separate sources of supply (Skye and the HVDC link) should provide inherent higher reliability to the Hebrides.

Local upgrades to the distribution systems on the islands have been identified as necessary. Table 4-2 details the local upgrades required for each option.

#### Table 1-1 Whole System feasible options

Feasible Options
Option-8
Add two new Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cables.
<ul> <li>Add new subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Harris.</li> </ul>
Option-9
Add two new Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cables.
Add new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris.
Option-11
Add new Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable.
<ul> <li>Add new subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Clachan.</li> </ul>
Add new subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Harris.
Option-12
<ul> <li>Add new Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Add new subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Clachan.</li> </ul>
Add new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris.
Option-14
<ul> <li>Add Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Add Ardmore – Clachan OHL/subsea cable.</li> </ul>
Add new subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Harris.



#### **Feasible Options**

0.	tion 15
Οp	
•	Add Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable.
•	Add Ardmore – Clachan OHL/subsea cable.
•	Add new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris.
Ор	tion-18
•	Add Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable.
•	Add Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan.
•	Add new subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Harris.
Ор	tion-19
•	Add Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable.
•	Add Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan.
•	Add new subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Harris.
Ор	tion-20
•	Add Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable.
•	Add Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan.
•	Add new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris.
Ор	tion-23
•	Add new Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable.
•	Add Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable.
•	Add new subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Harris.
Ор	tion-24
•	Add new Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable.
•	Add Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable.
•	Add new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris.
Ор	tion-26
•	Add Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable.
•	Add new subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Harris.
•	Add Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan.
Ор	tion-28
•	Add Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable.
•	Add Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable.
•	Add Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan.
•	Add new subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Harris
Ор	tion-29
•	Add Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable.
•	Add Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable.
•	Add Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan.
1	

• Add new 132 kV subsea cable/OHL from Admore to Harris

#### Contents

Exect	utive su	ummary	i
Acro	nyms a	and abbreviations	ix
1.	Intro	duction	10
	1.1	Project background	. 10
	1.2	Study aims	.11
	1.3	Project scope	.11
	1.4	Initial Optioneering and Power System Studies overview	. 11
	1.5	Report Structure	.11
2.	Overv	view of the existing arrangements	13
	2.1	Introduction	.13
	2.2	Technical observations	. 14
	2.3	Commercial observations	. 14
	2.4	Regulatory observations	. 14
	2.5	Economic observations	. 15
3.	Optio	on development	16
	3.1	Overview discussion	. 16
	3.2	Power system model	. 16
		3.2.1 PSS/E model	. 16
		3.2.2 Demand forecast	. 16
		3.2.3 Generation forecast	. 17
		3.2.4 Flexibility provision	. 18
		3.2.5 Design limits	. 19
		3.2.6 Fault Contribution	. 19
		3.2.7 Other Considerations	. 19
	3.3	Options for supply / evacuation to / from the Hebrides	.21
		3.3.1 Overview of Options	.21
		3.3.2 Option 1: Retain existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable	.31
		3.3.3 Option 2: Replace existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with same size cable	.32
		3.3.4 Option 3: Replace existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with larger cable	.33
		3.3.5 Option 4: Maintain existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and add a new cable of the same size	of 34
		3.3.6 Option 5: Maintain existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and add a new larger cable	size 35
		3.3.7 Option 6: Maintain existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and add a new larger subsea cable from Ardmore – Lochmaddy with new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan	size . 36
		3.3.8 Option 7: Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with two larger cables	.37
		3.3.9 Option 8: Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with two larger cables plus new subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris	/ 38



3.3.10 Option 9: Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan su	ubsea cable with two larger cables plus new
132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris	
3.3.11 Option 10: Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan	subsea cable with larger cable and add a new
larger subsea cable from Ardmore to Lochma	addy with new OHL from Lochmaddy –
Clachan	
3.3.12 Option 11: Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan s	subsea cable with larger cable and add a new
larger subsea cable from Ardmore to Lochma	addy with new OHL from Lochmaddy –
Clachan plus new subsea/OHL from Admore	to Harris41
3.3.13 Option 12 : Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan	subsea cable with larger cable and add a new
larger subsea cable from Ardmore to Lochma	addy with new OHL from Lochmaddy –
Clachan plus new 132kV subsea/OHL from A	dmore to Harris42
3.3.14 Option 13: Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan	subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan –
Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Ardmore	– Lochmaddy subsea cable with new OHL
from Lochmaddy to Clachan	43
3.3.15 Option 14 : Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan	subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan –
Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Ardmore	– Lochmaddy subsea cable with new OHL
from Lochmaddy to Clachan plus new subsea	/OHL from Admore to Harris
3.3.16 Option 15: Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan	subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan –
Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Ardmore	– Lochmaddy subsea cable with new OHL
from Lochmaddy to Clachan plus new 132kV	subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris
3.3.17 Option 16: Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan	subsea cable and replace with two Ardmore –
Lochmaddy subsea cables with two new OHL	from Lochmaddy to Clachan
3.3.18 Option 17: Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan	subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan –
Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Harris – I	_ochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from
Lochmaddy to Clachan	47
3.3.19 Option 18 (Overground): Remove Ardmore -	- Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with
Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable a	and Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus
new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan plus ne	ew subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris 48
3.3.20 Option 19 (Underground): Remove Ardmore	– Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with
Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable a	and Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus
new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan plus ne	ew subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris 49
3.3.21 Option 20: Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan	subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan –
Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Harris – I	_ochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from
Lochmaddy to Clachan plus new 132kV subse	ea/OHL from Admore to Harris
3.3.22 Option 21: Existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan s	subsea cable and additional Dunvegan – Loch
Carnan OHL/subsea cable	51
3.3.23 Option 22: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subs	sea cable and additional Dunvegan – Loch
Carnan OHL/subsea cable	52
3.3.24 Option 23: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subs	sea cable and additional Dunvegan – Loch
Carnan OHL/subsea cable plus new subsea/C	DHL from Admore to Harris53
3.3.25 Option 24: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subs	sea cable and additional Dunvegan – Loch
Carnan OHL/subsea cable plus new 132kV su	Ibsea/OHL from Admore to Harris54
3.3.26 Option 25: Existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan s	subsea cable and additional Harris –
Lochmaddy subsea cabe with OHL from Loch	maddy to Clachan55
3.3.27 Option 26: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subs	sea cable, additional Ardmore to Harris and
new Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL	

		3.3.28 Option 27: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and additional Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL	57
		3.3.29 Option 28: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and additional Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL plus new subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris	.58
		3.3.30 Option 29: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and additional Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL plus new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris	.59
		3.3.31 Option 30: Existing Ardmore – Harris subsea cable and Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with larger cable	.60
		3.3.32 Option 31: Existing and new Ardmore – Harris subsea cables	61
		3.3.33 Option 32: New 132kV feeder and the retention of existing 33kV feeder from Ardmore Harris subsea cables	- 62
4.	Optio	neering studies and results	.62
	4.1	Overview	62
	4.2	Results summary	63
		4.2.1 Load flow results summary profiles	87
		4.2.2 Summary voltage profile for the summer minimum	88
		4.2.3 Summary voltage profile for the winter maximum	89
		4.2.4 Summary cable loadings for the summer minimum	90
		4.2.5 Summary cable loadings for the winter maximum	91
		4.2.6 Summary transformer loadings for the summer minimum	92
		4.2.7 Summary transformer loadings for the winter maximum	93
5.	Short	Circuit Analysis	.95
	5.1	Definition of Terms	95
	5.2	Short Circuit Study Methodology	95
	5.3	Short Circuit Study Cases	96
		5.3.1 Case-1: Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with two larger cables (Option 7)	96
		5.3.2 Case-2: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and additional Harris – Clachan subsection cable / OHL (Option 13A)	ea .97
		5.3.3 Case-3: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and additional Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL (Option 14)	.98
		5.3.4 Case-4: Existing and new Ardmore – Harris subsea cables (Option 16)	99
	5.4	Summary of short circuit results 1	00
		5.4.1 Case-1 Short Circuit study results 1	00
		5.4.2 Case-2 Short Circuit study results 1	04
		5.4.3 Case-3 Short Circuit study results 1	08
		5.4.4 Case-4 Short Circuit study results 1	12
	5.5	Short circuit study summary 1	16
6.	Optio	n assessment1	17
7.	Refer	ences 1	26

#### **Appendices**

Table 1-1 Whole System feasible options	ii
Table 3-1 Load generation summary for summer minimum and winter_maximum scenarios	
Table 3-2 Machine Impedance values for various generation types	19
Table 3-3 Subsea cable landing points	21
Table 3-4 Summary of cable & OHL connection options	22
Table 4-1 Summary of Load Flow results for Summer and Winter Scenarios by Option	63
Table 4-2 Summary of Load Flow results for Summer and Winter Scenarios by Option	64
Table 5-1 IEC 60909 Voltage factor C	95
Table 5-2 Case 1: Short Circuit Results for Summer Scenario	100
Table 5-3 Case 1: Short Circuit Results for winter Scenario	102
Table 5-4 Case 2: Short Circuit Results for Summer Scenario	104
Table 5-5 Case 2: Short Circuit Results for Winter Scenario	106
Table 5-6 Case 3: Short Circuit Results for Summer_Min Scenario	108
Table 5-7 Case 3: Short Circuit Results for Winter_Max Scenario	110
Table 5-8 Case 4: Short Circuit Results for Summer_Min Scenario	112
Table 5-9 Case 4: Short Circuit Results for Winter_Max Scenario	114
Table 6-1 Summary of options assessment for further consideration	117
Table 6-2 CBA issued Option wise local upgrades	120

#### **Figures**

Figure 1-1 Proposed two new circuits to Uist in ED2 submission	10
Figure 2-1 Existing circuits connecting Outer Hebrides with the Mainland inc, proposed HVDC link to Lewis.	13
Figure 3-1 Load demand forecast for the 2050 summer scenario	17
Figure 3-2 Load demand forecast for the 2050 winter scenario	17
Figure 3-3 Generation demand forecast for 2050 summer scenario	18
Figure 3-4 Generation demand forecast for 2050 winter scenario	18
Figure 3-5 Indicative location of Edinbane substation	20
Figure 3-6 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 1)	31
Figure 3-7 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 2)	32
Figure 3-8 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 3)	33
Figure 3-9 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 4)	34
Figure 3-10 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 5)	35
Figure 3-11 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 6)	36
Figure 3-12 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 7)	37
Figure 3-13 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 8)	38
Figure 3-14 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 9 )	39
Figure 3-15 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 10)	40
Figure 3-16 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 11)	41
Figure 3-17 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 12)	42
Figure 3-18 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 13)	43
Figure 3-19 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 14)	44
Figure 3-20 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 15)	45
Figure 3-21 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 16)	46
Figure 3-22 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 17)	47
Figure 3-23 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 18)	48
Figure 3-24 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 19)	49

Figure 3-25 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 20)	50
Figure 3-26 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 21)	51
Figure 3-27 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 22)	52
Figure 3-28 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 23)	53
Figure 3-29 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 24)	54
Figure 3-30 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 25 )	55
Figure 3-31 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 26)	56
Figure 3-32 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 27)	57
Figure 3-33 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 28)	58
Figure 3-34 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 29)	59
Figure 3-35 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 30)	60
Figure 3-36 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 31)	61
Figure 3-37 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 32)	62
Figure 4-1 Box and Whisker chart interpretation	87
Figure 4-2 Load flow summary voltages profile for the summer minimum	88
Figure 4-3 Load flow summary voltages profile for the winter maximum	89
Figure 4-4 Load flow summary cable loading for summer minimum	90
Figure 4-5 Load flow summary cable loading for winter maximum	91
Figure 4-6 Load flow transformer loading summary for the summer minimum	92
Figure 4-7 Load flow transformer loadings summary for the winter maximum	93
Figure 5-1 Case1: Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides	96
Figure 5-2 Case-2 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides	97
Figure 5-3 Case-3 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides	98
Figure 5-4 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides	99

Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment Phase 1: Optioneering Studies Report

### Acronyms and abbreviations

BS	British Standard
CBA	Cost Benefit Analysis
DEG	Diesel Embedded Generation
DFES	Distribution Future Energy Scenario
EJP	Engineering Justification Paper
HOWS	Hebrides & Orkney Whole Systems
HV	High Voltage
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
ISO	International Standards Organization
kA	Kilo Ampere
Km	Kilometre
kV	Kilo Volt
kV	Kilovolt
Max	Maximum
Min	Minimum
MVA	Mega-volt-ampere
MVAr	Mega-volt-ampere-reactive
MW	Mega-Watt
NG	National Grid UK
NPV	Net Present Value
OHL	Overhead Line
SHEPD	Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution
SS	Sub-Station
SSE	Scottish and Southern Energy
SSEN	Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks
UK	United Kingdom

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Project background

Due to uncertainties in respect of the development of demand, renewable generation and the status of the HVDC Western Isles transmission link at the time of submission of RIIO-ED2 business plans a Hebrides & Orkney Whole Systems (HOWS) bespoke Uncertainty mechanism is in place until March 2025. The SSEN Island documentation provided to Ofgem during the ED2 submission process stated "Western Isles (Uist, Eriskay, Barra & Skye): We need to consider interactions with transmission proposals such as the proposed HVDC cable from Beauly to Lewis alongside meeting resilience and local capacity requirements; as well as decarbonising emissions from diesel generation units. Alternative network considerations need to be explored alongside a role for local flexibility services."

The Outer Hebridean Islands under consideration are Vatersay, Barra, Eriskay, South Uist, Benbecula, North Uist, Harris and Lewis.

At present there is a Skye to South Uist, 46km, 33 kV subsea cable which is over 30 years old, and which connects over 4,400 customers and 7.5 MW of generation. The existing cable has the worst Health Index score (HI of 5), indicating it is at the end of its life and accounts for 70% of the total monetised risk of all the SSEN subsea cables. There is also a recently replaced 33 kV subsea cable from Skye to the Isle of Lewis and Harris, and a 1.8 GW HVDC cable (subsea and onshore) from Stornoway to Dundonnell on the mainland, which is scheduled for after 2030.

There is currently no electrical connection between the Isle of Lewis and Harris and the North Uist.

SSEN developed Engineering Justification Papers (EJPs) for the RIIO-ED2 business plan which considered 7 options. The installation of two subsea cables between Skye and North Uist and between Skye and South Uist was identified as the preferred planned intervention option as illustrated in Figure 1-1.



Figure 1-1 Proposed two new circuits to Uist in ED2 submission<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Diagram from SSEN Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment Terms of Reference

#### 1.2 Study aims

Jacobs has been engaged to assess the Outer Hebrides energy system using the Whole System approach to support investment proposals to enable SSEN to obtain Ofgem's approval when they make their re-opener application.

SSENs overarching strategy is "to decarbonise the Western Isles, meet security of supply standards, drive least worst regret investment to facilitate island net zero ambitions and deliver a coordinated approach that meets stakeholder, customer, and consumer needs".

#### 1.3 Project scope

The project scope is divided into three stages:

Stage1: Initial Optioneering and Power System Studies – the focus of this report.

**Stage 2**: Cost Benefit Analysis - a risk assessment of all the options and a Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA) to determine which option has the highest Net Present Value (NPV) and is the Least Worse Regret Option.

**Stage 3**: Reopener Submission Support - provision of support to SSEN with completion of the Hebrides & Orkney Whole System (HOWS) resubmission.

#### 1.4 Initial Optioneering and Power System Studies overview

This is the Stage 1 report which expands the optioneering undertaken in the initial EJPs to consider:

- Alternative connection options,
- The latest Distribution Future Energy Scenario (DFES) demand and generation data,
- The impact of the 1.8GW HVDC transmission line between Lewis and mainland Scotland (approved for pre-engineering works),
- SSEN strategy to reduce the use of Diesel Embedded Generation assets (DEG),
- Other viable options based on a Whole System solution approach.

The technical assessment considers cable and equipment loadings, system voltages, reactive power compensation and security of supply as well as short circuit ratings. Full details of the study basis and assumptions are given in section 3.

Updated DFES data 2025 – 2050 for demand and generation was provided, the studies have been undertaken for Customer Transformation (CT) 2050 data using both summer minimum and winter maximum scenarios. Peak renewable generation data was considered in the analysis as appropriate. No Diesel Embedded Generation (DEG) was included. A set of options to facilitate long term demand and generation growth have been identified.

#### 1.5 Report Structure

This report comprises of the following sections:

Section 2: Overview of the existing arrangements





- Section 3: Option development
- Section 4: Optioneering studies and results
- Section 5. Short Circuit Analysis
- Section 6. Option assessment
- Section 7. References
- Appendix A, PSS/E overview diagram
- Appendix B, PSS/E option results
- Appendix C, Sub-sea cable data sheets

### 2. OVERVIEW OF THE EXISTING ARRANGEMENTS

#### 2.1 Introduction

The Outer Hebrides or Western Isles is a chain of islands located off the West Coast of Scotland. Under consideration in this assessment are Vatersay, Barra, Eriskay, South Uist, Benbecula, North Uist, Harris and Lewis Islands.

As illustrated in Figure 2-1 there is a single existing Skye (Ardmore Grid) to South Uist, 46km, 33 kV subsea cable which is over 30 years old, and which connects over 4,400 customers and 7.5 MW of generation. The existing cable has the worst Health Index score (HI of 5), indicating it is at the end of its life and accounts for 70% of the total monetised risk of all the SSEN subsea cables.

There is also a recently replaced 33 kV subsea cable from Skye (Ardmore Grid) to Harris and Isle of Lewis. Planned transmission reinforcements are:

- A 1.8 GW, 81 km, HVDC subsea cable and 80 km onshore cable from Stornoway to Dundonnell (mainland).
- Upgrade of the 132 kV network on the Isle of Lewis and Harris, between Stornoway and Harris, using an H pole design, whilst the capacity will be increased this will still be single circuit.

Figure 2-1 Existing circuits connecting Outer Hebrides with the Mainland inc, proposed HVDC link to Lewis.<sup>2</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Diagram from SSEN Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment Terms of Reference

#### 2.2 Technical observations

Previous EJPs considered the need to reinforce the network due to load and DEG growth. At present if there is a failure of one of the subsea cables between Ardmore (Skye) and Loch Carnan (South Uist) or Harris substations there will be an outage to the supply on the affected islands until standby diesels are used.

There is currently no electrical connection between North Uist and the Isle of Lewis and Harris.

The existing 95 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan will currently be overloaded when the demand on North Uist and South Uist is at maximum and there is no generation operating on the islands.

#### 2.3 Commercial observations

Despite regular inspection non-planned faults will occur on subsea cables which incurs costs associated with alternative provision of electricity to the consumers and the cost of repair.

The cost of repairing a subsea cable can be significant as specialist vessels are needed to lay a non-standard cable and poor weather can prevent or hamper the repair. This means the outage time can be prolonged and the standby DEG used to provide an alternative supply to the Islands can run for over six months.<sup>3</sup>

The RIIO-ED2 business case submission<sup>4</sup> identifies the installation of two new subsea cables between Skye and Uist (Cost business but includes Pentland Firth West to Orkney). There are also additional ancillary costs related to the additional management required for subsea cables compared to conventional onshore cables.

Having two connections to the Isle of Lewis and Harris from the mainland with N-1 security will reduce the need for diesel embedded generation (DEG) under one circuit outage thus saving the cost of running the standby DEGs. Likewise, having two connections to the Isles of Uist (North and South) from the mainland, or establishing a connection between the Isles of Lewis and Harris and North Uist with a connection to the Isles of Uist from the mainland will reduce the need for DEG under outage conditions.

It is noted that there are no current plans to upgrade the single circuit overhead line between Edinbane, Dunvegan and Ardmore. Hence if all the connections to the Hebrides from the mainland are from Skye via Ardmore and / or Dunvegan this portion of the connection will remain as a single point of failure.

#### 2.4 Regulatory observations

Due to uncertainties in respect of the development of demand, renewable generation, and the status of the HVDC Western Isles transmission link at the time of submission of RIIO-ED2 business plans, a Hebrides & Orkney Whole Systems (HOWS) bespoke Uncertainty mechanism is in place until March 2025. The Uncertainty mechanism allows time for some of the factors that affect the supply to the Hebrides, such as the HVDC link from Lewis to mainland Scotland and the advancements in the procurement of flexibility, to become more certain. The SSEN Island documentation provided to Ofgem during the ED2 submission process stated "Western Isles (Uist, Eriskay, Barra & Skye): We need to consider interactions with transmission proposals such as the proposed HVDC cable from Beauly to Lewis alongside meeting resilience and local capacity requirements; as well as decarbonising emissions from diesel generation units. Alternative network considerations need to be explored alongside a role for local flexibility services." This allows this work to be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> SSEN Distribution, Scottish Islands Strategy, RIIO\_ED2 Business Plan Annex 8.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> SSEN Distribution, Scottish Islands Strategy, RIIO\_ED2 Business Plan Annex 8.1

undertaken to determine the best whole system option for the Hebrides to be determined as there is greater information about local generation connection, the HVDC link from Lewis to mainland Scotland and the advancements in the procurement of flexibility.

SHEPD (The SSEN Scottish distribution licence area) operate the DEG units on the island under special licence conditions, the Medium Combustion Plant Directive and for Battery Point, a Pollution Prevention Control licence. Reduction in the use of DEG as a backup solution will assist with decarbonising the Hebrides.

#### 2.5 Economic observations

There are already a number of wind generators connected to the networks in the Hebrides and it is noted that there is significant wind resource available as demonstrated by the 200 MW Stornoway windfarm which was successful in the 2022 Contract for Difference auction, and which will be connecting to the transmission network. Improved connection to the mainland will provide additional capacity (headroom) on the distribution network and is expected to enable additional generation to connect to the distribution network, assisting local community economic development.

#### 3. OPTION DEVELOPMENT

#### 3.1 Overview discussion

We have considered a number of options to improve the security of the existing connection arrangements to the Hebrides and ensure the system capacity is suitable for the predicted 2050 demand and generation profile. This has considered the future HVDC link, which will contribute to the security of supply to the islands. Specific considerations of the power system model are detailed in Section 3.2, and an overview of the options is given in Section 3.3.

#### 3.2 Power system model

#### 3.2.1 PSS/E model

Base models for the Loch Carnan and Stornoway distribution systems were provided by SSEN. In order to perform a whole system assessment, we have merged the models and the resulting model has been verified by SSEN. For option identification we have considered the summer minimum demand and the winter maximum demand in 2050 as agreed with SSEN [1].

SSEN provided the Dunvegan grid parameters, which were used in the analysis.

Where new cables, overhead line and transformers are required the models are taken from the existing PSS/E data, SSEN standards, typical manufacturers catalogues or past project experience . In option 17, a 60MVA, 132/33 kV transformer is assumed at the Harris substation.

#### 3.2.2 Demand forecast

The demand forecast was taken from the Customer Transformation 2050 DFES. The Clachan load forecast was considered as per the revised Clachan load forecast by SSEN [6]. A summary of the 2023 load and generation is given in Table 3-1. The total DFES consumer transformation load demand forecast at Loch Carnan and Stornoway grids for the summer and winter scenarios are shown Figure 3-1 and Figure 3-2. The load power factors at each demand bus in future years are maintained as per existing load power factors.

Load_Generation Summary for Summer_Min and Winter_Max in MW						
lelen de	Existing Summer Min		Existing_Winter_Max			
Islands	Load	Generation	Load	Generation		
Loch Carnan	1.73	11.43	10.25	0		
Stornoway	5.65	42.05	25.84	42.05		

<b>T I I A 4 I</b>		<i>c</i>	• •		•	•
Table 3-1 Load	d generation	summary for si	ummer minimum	and winter	maximum	scenarios
14010 0 1 2040	generation	5 anninary 101 50		and miller_		seemanos

#### Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment Phase 1: Optioneering Studies Report









#### 3.2.3 Generation forecast

The Customer Transformation DFES provided peak DER forecasts to 2050 which was used in the model. The maximum generation over 48 intervals was considered. The total DFES Consumer Transformation generation forecast at Loch Carnan and Stornoway grids for both summer and winter scenarios are shown in the Figure 3-3 and Figure 3-4 and the maximum and minimum reactive power limit for inverter-based generation was considered as +/- 0.33xPmax [4].

#### Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment Phase 1: Optioneering Studies Report





Figure 3-4 Generation demand forecast for 2050 winter scenario



There are some large generators which will be connected to the transmission network on the Isle of Lewis and Harris. From the perspective of this study, they can be considered to supply the distribution network in the same way as the planned HVDC link between Stornoway to Dundonnell on the mainland as detailed in Section 3.2.7.1.

#### 3.2.4 Flexibility provision

When considering demand and generation increases SSEN are considering the use of the procurement of flexibility services to prevent or defer reinforcement. The load and generation growth on the Hebrides is such that flexibility services are unlikely to be able to prevent the need for reinforcement. We have quantified the amount of flexibility that would be needed for each option instead of reinforcement.



#### 3.2.5 Design limits

Design limits considered in the studies, in line with SSEN standards, were:

- Voltage variations
  - Normal Running Arrangements:
     0.940 1.012pu for networks with 33kV/LV connections
     0.940 1.03pu for 33kV networks
  - N-1 Conditions:
     0.9 1.012pu for networks with 33kV/LV connections
     0.9 1.03pu for 33kV networks
- 100% of the equipment's rating

Transformer nominal taps are considered for the analysis.

#### 3.2.6 Fault Contribution

PSS/E models included a representation of the fault infeed at the 132 kV Ardmore substation (Skye).

SSEN provided the machine impedance values for different generation types which were used in the short circuit studies, as shown in Table 3-2.

Туре	PV	Storage	Wind
R Source (pu)	0.05	0.05	0.005
X Source (pu)	1	1	0.24
RTran (pu)	0.05	0.05	0.002
XTran (pu)	0.5	0.5	0.06
Positive R (pu)	0.05	0.05	0.005
Subtransient X (pu)	1	1	0.24
Transient X (pu)	1	1	0.24
Synchronous X (pu)	1	1	0.24
Negative R (pu)	0.05	0.05	0.005
Negative X (pu)	1	1	0.24
Zero R (pu)	0.05	0.05	0.005
Zero X (pu)	1	1	0.24
Grounding Z units	P.U. (Per Unit)	P.U. (Per Unit)	P.U. (Per Unit)
Grounding R	0	0	0
Grounding X	0	0	0
Wind machine Control Mode	Not a wind machine	Not a wind machine	Not a wind machine
Wind Machine Power factor	1	1	1

 Table 3-2 Machine Impedance values for various generation types

#### 3.2.7 Other Considerations

#### 3.2.7.1 HVDC link from Isle of Lewis to mainland Scotland

To represent the future HVDC link we have assumed:

- A 35 MW, ±11.9 MVAr power infeed at 33kV Harris substation and
- A 10kA symmetrical short circuit contribution with an X/R of 15 at Harris 33 kV substation.

#### 3.2.7.2 Planned reinforcement on Skye

Around 2027 it is expected that the transmission network on Skye will be reinforced with a double circuit to a new GSP Edinbane, Southeast of Dunvegan. From Edinbane there will be a new single circuit wood pole Trident OHL to Dunvegan and Ardmore. It should be noted therefore that an outage between Edinbane and Dunvegan would cause loss of the connection from Skye to any subsea cable between Skye and the Western Isles. The indicative location of Edinbane substation is noted in

Figure 3-5. However, the supply to the Hebrides will be maintained from the HVDC link feeding Harris substation.



Figure 3-5 Indicative location of Edinbane substation<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Figure provided by SSEN



#### 3.2.7.3 Subsea cable options

The SHEPD subsea cable team have undertaken offshore desktop studies which have identified possible landing sites for subsea cables on Skye, Uist and Harris as detailed in Table 3-3.

Table 3-3 Sul	bsea cable	landing	points

Location	Comment
Skye	Ardmore – 2 or 3 suitable landing points, multiple cables, minimal onshore to Ardmore Grid
	Dunvegan – 3 landing locations identified around Loch Pooltiel to the West, all have challenges with charted anchorages.
	Likely that there is only one suitable onshore route from Dunvegan to Loch Pooltiel, circa 17km from landing point to Dunvegan substation
South Uist	Loch Carnan - likely only one cable can land in Glomar Bay. It may be possible to obtain a second landing if the existing cable shore end is removed
North Uist	Lochmaddy – one suitable cable route for landing of one cable, circa 16km onshore to connect to Clachan substation
Harris	2 possible landing locations around Rodel and St Clements, marine and onshore challenges including the need for the new cables to cross each other, if both locations are used
	Require significant onshore OHL construction to Harris Grid which may only allow a single circuit

#### 3.3 Options for supply / evacuation to / from the Hebrides

#### 3.3.1 Overview of Options

The 17 options (plus 15 sub options, totalling 32 options) considered are summarised Table 3-4. Overview diagrams of each option are provided in the following sections.

Option	New/Existing	Cable/OHL	Cable/OHL	Length km	
	Cable/OHL	from	to	Onshore (OHL)	Offshore cable
Option-1	Existing Subsea cable 95mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
Option-2	New Subsea cable 95mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
Option-3	Summer Min New Subsea cable185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable185mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
Option-4	Existing Subsea cable 95mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	New Subsea cable 95mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
Option-5	Existing Subsea cable 95mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
Option-6	Existing 95mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm², 129mm² OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 185mm², 129mm² OHL	Ardmore	Lochmaddy		33
		Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
Option-7	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
Option -8	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Existing Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3

#### Table 3-4 Summary of cable & OHL connection options



Option	New/Existing	Cable/OHL	Cable/OHL	Length km	
	Cable/OHL	from	to	Onshore	Offshore
				(OHL)	cable
	New Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
Option-9	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	New Subsea cable 185 mm²(132 kV)	Admore	Harris End		33
	New 175mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Line(132 kV)	Harris End	Harris substation	5.6	
Option-10	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm²	Ardmore	Lochmaddy		33
New Subsea cable 185mi 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mi 150mm OHL	129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
Option-11 Sum New Win New	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min	Ardmore	Lochmaddy		33
	New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2,</sup> 150mm OHL	Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
	Existing Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
	New Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
Option-12	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
		Ardmore	Lochmaddy		33



Option	New/Existing	Cable/OHL	Cable/OHL	Length km	
	Cable/OHL	from	to	Onshore (OHL)	Offshore cable
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm², 129mm² OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm², 150mm OHL	Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
	New Subsea cable 185 mm²(132 kV)	Admore	Harris End		33
	New 175mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Line(132 kV)	Harris End	Harris substation	5.6	
Option-13	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	38.5
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Lochmaddy		33
New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm2 OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	129mm2 OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
Option-14 Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ,	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	38.5	
	Summer Min	Ardmore	Lochmaddy		33
N 1 V N	New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHI	Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
	Existing Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
	New Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
Option-15	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	38.5

Option	New/Existing	Cable/OHL	Cable/OHL	Length km	
	Cable/OHL	from	to	Onshore (OHL)	Offshore cable
	Summer Min	Ardmore	Lochmaddy		33
	129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
	New Subsea cable 185 mm²(132 kV)	Admore	Harris End		33
	New 175mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Line(132 kV)	Harris End	Harris substation	5.6	
Option-16	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Lochmaddy		33
New Subsea cable 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 150mm OHI	129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Ardmore	Lochmaddy		33
		Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
Option-11	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	38.5
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Harris	Lochmaddy	-	25
				16	
		Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
Option-18	Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm², 150mm OHL	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5 (15km- overground (OHTL),1.5k m- undergroun d)	38.5
Option-19	Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm², 150mm OHL	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5(16.5k m-	38.5

Option	New/Existing	Cable/OHL Cable/OHL		Length km	
	Cable/OHL	from	to	Onshore (OHL)	Offshore cable
				Undergroun d)	
	Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> .	Harris	Lochmaddy	-	25
	150mm OHL			16	
		Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
	Existing Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
	New Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
Option-20	Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm², 150mm OHL	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	38.5
	Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm², 150mm OHL	Harris	Lochmaddy	-	25
				16	
		Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
	New Subsea cable 185 mm²(132 kV)	Admore	Harris End		33
	New 175mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Line(132 kV)	Harris End	Harris substation	5.6	
Option-21	Existing Subsea cable 95mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup>	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	38.5
	129mm2 OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm2 OHL				

Option New/Existing Cable/OHL	New/Existing	Cable/OHL	Cable/OHL	Length km	
	from	το	Onshore (OHL)	Offshore cable	
Option-22	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	

Option	New/Existing	Cable/OHL	Cable/OHL	Length km	
	Cable/OHL	from	to	Onshore (OHL)	Offshore cable
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm2, 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	
Option-23	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm2, 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2,</sup> 150mm OHL	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	38.5
	Existing Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
	New Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
Option-24	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	
	185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL				38.5
	New Subsea cable 185 mm²(132 kV)	Admore	Harris End		33
	New 175mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Line(132 kV)	Harris End	Harris substation	5.6	
Option-25	Existing Subsea cable 95mm²	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47

Option	New/Existing Cable/OHL	Cable/OHL from	Cable/OHL to	Length km	
				Onshore (OHL)	Offshore cable
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm², 129mm² OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 185mm², 129mm² OHL	Harris	Lochmaddy	- 16	25
		Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
Option-26	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2,</sup> 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Harris	Lochmaddy	- 6	25
		Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
Option-27	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm², 129mm² OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm², 150mm OHL	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	38.5
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2,</sup> 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Harris	Lochmaddy	- 16	25
		Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
Option-28	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm², 129mm² OHL	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	38.5

Option	New/Existing Cable/OHL	Cable/OHL from	Cable/OHL to	Length km	
				Onshore (OHL)	Offshore cable
	Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm², 150mm OHL				
	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL	Harris	Lochmaddy	-	25
				16	
		Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
	Existing Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
	Existing Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
Option-29	Summer Min New Subsea cable 185mm <sup>2</sup> Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Loch Carnan	-	47
	Summer Min New Subsea cable	Dunvegan	Loch Carnan	16.5	
	185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , 150mm OHL				38.5
	Summer Min New Subsea cable	Harris	Lochmaddy	-	25
	185mm <sup>2</sup> , 129mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Winter Max New Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2,</sup> 150mm OHL			16	
		Lochmaddy	Clachan	16	
	New Subsea cable 185 mm²(132 kV)	Admore	Harris End		33
	New 175mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Line(132 kV)	Harris End	Harris substation	5.6	
Option-30	Existing Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
Option-31	Existing Subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup>	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
	New Subsea cable 500mm²	Ardmore	Harris	5.6	32.3
Option-32	New Subsea cable 185 mm²(132 kV)	Admore	Harris End		33

Option	New/Existing Cable/OHL	Cable/OHL from	Cable/OHL to	Length km	
				Onshore (OHL)	Offshore cable
	New 175mm <sup>2</sup> OHL Line(132 kV)	Harris End	Harris substation	5.6	

#### 3.3.2 Option 1: Retain existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable

Option 1, illustrated in Figure 3-6, is the retention of the existing 47 km, 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) to either repair or replace it on failure.

The green solid lines are the existing 33 kV subsea cable (rated capacity-14MVA) from Ardmore to Loch Carnan (South Uist) and the recently replaced 33 kV cable between Ardmore and Harris

Figure 3-6 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 1)



# 3.3.3 Option 2: Replace existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with same size cable

Option 2, illustrated in Figure 3-7, is the decommission of the existing 47km, 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

• A new 95mm<sup>2</sup> (capacity: -16MVA) subsea cable using a similar route between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)

The new circuit is 33 kV and shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing Ardmore to Harris cable.



Figure 3-7 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 2)

Option 3, illustrated in Figure 3-8, is the decommission of the existing 47km, 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

• A larger 185mm<sup>2</sup> (capacity:-21.94MVA as per SSEN) subsea cable using a similar route between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)

The new circuit is 33 kV and shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing Ardmore – Harris cable.



Figure 3-8 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 3)

# 3.3.5 Option 4: Maintain existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and add a new cable of the same size

Option 4, illustrated in Figure 3-9 is the retention of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) and the addition of:

• A 47km, 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable on a similar route between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)

The new circuit is 33 kV and is shown with a dashed green line. The green solid lines are the existing Armore – Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Harris cables.



Figure 3-9 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 4)
# 3.3.6 Option 5: Maintain existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and add a new larger size cable

Option 5, illustrated in Figure 3-10 is the retention of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) and the addition of:

• A 47km,185mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable on the same route between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)

The new circuit is 33 kV and is shown with a dashed green line. The green solid lines are the existing Ardmore to Loch Carnan and Ardmore – Harris cables.

Lewis and Harris Grianan Clashnessie Callanish Cradhlastadh Clachtoll Achmelvi Lochinve Achiltibuie sehall Ardmain Ullapoo Scalpay Blamalearoch Laid Grosebay Leverburgh Big Sand Bada Kilmaluag Hunglader Craffie Tigharry Lochmadd Kinloc Uig North Uist A117 Torridor Shieldaio Edinbane Balivanich Acha ork Strathca Creagorry Raasav Lochcarron Drumnadroc Carbo Kyle of ochaish Dornie Staoinebrig Borna Shiel Bridge Glenbrittle Daliburgh Boisdale Teangue SMA.

Figure 3-10 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 5)

Option 6, illustrated in Figure 3-11**Figure 3-11**, is the retention of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) and the addition of:

• A 33km,185mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Lochmaddy and a 16km overhead line between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)

The new circuit is 33 kV and is shown with a dashed green line. The green solid lines are the existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan and Ardmore – Harris cables.



Figure 3-11 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 6)

Option 7, illustrated in Figure 3-12, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

• Two 47km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cables between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.





# 3.3.9 Option 8: Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with two larger cables plus new subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 8, illustrated in Figure 3-13, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- Two 47km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cables between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- Retention of the existing 32.3km, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-13 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 8)

Option 9, illustrated in Figure 3-14, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- Two 47km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cables between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- Retention of the existing 500 mm<sup>2</sup>, 33 kV subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a 132 kV feeder comprising 33 km and 5.6 km of subsea cable and OHL between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-14 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 9)

# 3.3.11 Option 10: Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with larger cable and add a new larger subsea cable from Ardmore to Lochmaddy with new OHL from Lochmaddy – Clachan

Option 10, illustrated in Figure 3-15, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 47km,300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 33km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Lochmaddy and a 16km overhead line between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.





# 3.3.12 Option 11: Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with larger cable and add a new larger subsea cable from Ardmore to Lochmaddy with new OHL from Lochmaddy – Clachan plus new subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 11, illustrated in Figure 3-16. is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 47km,300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 33km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Lochmaddy and a 16km overhead line between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)
- Retention of the existing 32.3km, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



#### Figure 3-16 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 11)

# 3.3.13 Option 12 : Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with larger cable and add a new larger subsea cable from Ardmore to Lochmaddy with new OHL from Lochmaddy – Clachan plus new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 12, illustrated in Figure 3-17, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 47km,300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 33km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Lochmaddy and a 16km overhead line between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)
- Retention of the existing 500 mm<sup>2</sup>, 33 kV subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a 132 kV feeder comprising 33 km and 5.6 km of subsea cable and OHL between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris. Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-17 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 12)

#### 3.3.14 Option 13: Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Ardmore – Lochmaddy subsea cable with new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan

Option 13, illustrated in Figure 3-18 shows is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 16.5 km OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 33km subsea cable between Ardmore and Lochmaddy and a 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Skye to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-18 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 13)

#### 3.3.15 Option 14 : Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Ardmore – Lochmaddy subsea cable with new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan plus new subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 14, illustrated in Figure 3-19 shows is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 16.5 km OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 33km subsea cable between Ardmore and Lochmaddy and a 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)
- Retention of the existing 32.3km, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Skye to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-19 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 14)

#### 3.3.16 Option 15: Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Ardmore – Lochmaddy subsea cable with new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan plus new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 15, illustrated in Figure 3-20 shows is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 16.5 km OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 33km subsea cable between Ardmore and Lochmaddy and a 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)
- Retention of the existing 500 mm<sup>2</sup>, 33 kV subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a 132 kV feeder comprising 33 km and 5.6 km of subsea cable and OHL between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Skye to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.

Figure 3-20 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 15)



Option 16, illustrated in Figure 3-21, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

• Two 33km,300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cables between Ardmore and Lochmaddy (North Uist) and two 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan.

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Skye to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.





#### 3.3.18 Option 17: Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan

Option 17, illustrated in Figure 3-22, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 16.5 km OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16 km OHL and 25 km subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Skye to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-22 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 17)

#### 3.3.19 Option 18 (Overground): Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan plus new subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 18, illustrated in Figure 3-23, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 16.5 km OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16 km OHL and 25 km subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)
- Retention of the existing 32.3km, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Skye to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-23 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 18)

#### 3.3.20 Option 19 (Underground): Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan plus new subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 19, illustrated in Figure 3-24, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 16.5 km OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16 km OHL and 25 km subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)
- Retention of the existing 32.3km, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Skye to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-24 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 19)

#### 3.3.21 Option 20: Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cable plus new OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan plus new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 20, illustrated in Figure 3-25, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 16 km OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16 km OHL and 25 km subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)
- Retention of the existing 500 mm<sup>2</sup>, 33 kV subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a 132 kV feeder comprising 33 km and 5.6 km of subsea cable and OHL between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Skye to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.

Figure 3-25 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 20)



#### 3.3.22 Option 21: Existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable

Option 21, illustrated in Figure 3-26, is the retention of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) and the addition of:

• A16.5km, OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 185mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)

New circuits are 33 kV and shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Harris.

Figure 3-26 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 21)



#### 3.3.23 Option 22: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable

Option 22, illustrated in Figure 3-27, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 47km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A16.5km, OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.





#### 3.3.24 Option 23: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable plus new subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 23, illustrated in Figure 3-28, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 47km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A16.5km, OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- Retention of the existing 32.3km, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-28 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 23)

#### 3.3.25 Option 24: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable plus new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 12B, illustrated in Figure 3-29, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 47km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A16.5km, OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- Retention of the existing 500 mm<sup>2</sup>, 33 kV subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a 132 kV feeder comprising 33 km and 5.6 km of subsea cable and OHL between Ardmore and Harris

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-29 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 24)

# 3.3.26 Option 25: Existing Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and additional Harris – Lochmaddy subsea cabe with OHL from Lochmaddy to Clachan.

Option 25, illustrated in Figure 3-30, is the retention of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) and the addition of:

• A 16 km OHL and 25 km OHL and subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.



Figure 3-30 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 25)

# 3.3.27 Option 26: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional Ardmore to Harris and new Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL

Option 26, illustrated in Figure 3-31, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 47 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- An 16 km OHL and 25 km OHL and subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)
- Retention of the existing 32.3 km, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris.

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.



Figure 3-31 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 26)

#### 3.3.28 Option 27: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and additional Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL

Option 27, illustrated in Figure 3-32 is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 47km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16.5km, OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16 km OHL and a 25 km subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-32 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 27)

#### 3.3.29 Option 28: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and additional Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL plus new subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 28, illustrated in Figure 3-33 is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 47km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16.5km, OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16 km OHL and a 25 km subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)
- Retention of the existing 32.3km, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris. The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.

Cradvastadh Cradv

Figure 3-33 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 28)

#### 3.3.30 Option 29: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and additional Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL plus new 132kV subsea/OHL from Admore to Harris

Option 29, illustrated in Figure 3-34 is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 47km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16.5km, OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 300mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16 km OHL and a 25 km subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)
- Retention of the existing 500 mm<sup>2</sup>, 33 kV subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a 132 kV feeder comprising 33 km and 5.6 km of subsea cable and OHL between Ardmore and Harris .The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-34 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 29)

This option which is complimentary to Option 3 and considers the Harris network supply arrangements is illustrated in Figure 3-35. The option considers the retention of the existing 32.3 km, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris.

• A larger 185mm2 (capacity: -21.94MVA as per SSEN) subsea cable using a similar route between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist).



Figure 3-35 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 30)

Option 31, illustrated in Figure 3-36, considers the retention of the existing 32.3km, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-36 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 31)

# 3.3.33 Option 32: New 132kV feeder and the retention of existing 33kV feeder from Ardmore – Harris subsea cables

Option 17 builds on Option 13A and considers the retention of the existing 500 mm<sup>2</sup>, 33 kV subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a 132 kV feeder comprising 33 km and 5.6 km of subsea cable and OHL between Ardmore and Harris. If this option is taken forward the operating arrangement of the 132 kV and 33 kV system would need to be finalised (effects of paralleling). The 132 kV feeder is illustrated in Figure 3-37.

• Retention of the existing 32.3 km, 500 mm2 subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm2 subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris.

Note:- The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was normally open during the winter scenarios when the HVDC source was operational.



Figure 3-37 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides (Option 32)

### 4.2 Results summary

Table 4-1 Summary of Load Flow results for Summer and Winter Scenarios by Option

	Summer Scenario		Winter Scenario	
Option Number	Normal Operating Scenario	Contingency Scenario	Normal Operating Scenario	Contingency Scenario
1	✓	×	×	×
2	✓	×	×	×
3	✓	×	✓	×
4	✓	✓	✓	×
5	✓	✓	✓	×
6	✓	✓	✓	×
7	✓	✓	✓	×
8	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	✓	✓	✓	×
11	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	✓	✓	✓	×
14	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	✓	×	✓	×
17	✓	✓	✓	×
18	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	×	✓	✓	×
22	✓	✓	✓	×
23	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	✓	✓	✓	✓
25	×	✓	✓	×

# Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment

Phase 1: Optioneering Studies Report

Option Number	Summer Scenario		Winter Scenario	
	Normal Operating Scenario	Contingency Scenario	Normal Operating Scenario	Contingency Scenario
26	✓	✓	✓	✓
27	✓	✓	✓	×
28	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	✓	×	✓	×
31	✓	✓	✓	×
32	✓	✓	✓	×

#### Note: ✓ Scenario is feasible

#### X Scenario is not feasible.

#### Table 4-2 Summary of Load Flow results for Summer and Winter Scenarios by Option

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks with
Option-1	1) The existing subsea cable loaded up to 42% of rated capacity i.e. 14MVA.	1) The existing 95mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan was loaded up to 134% of its rated capacity, i.e., 14MVA.	i) Additional, 1x 1 MVA a and Laxay substations re
	<ul> <li>2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>3) Laxay Transformer is loaded to 125% of capacity.</li> <li>4) During the outage of existing cable from Loch Carnan to Ardmore, Loch Carnan will be islanded.</li> <li>5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.4MW and Stornoway - 2.8 MW</li> <li>This option is not feasible under N-1 Contingency operating and there</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2) Voltage levels at 35 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Storhoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>3) 1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 MVA transformers at Gilsa and Laxay were loaded up to 123% and 183% of their rated capacity, respectively.</li> <li>4) During the outage of the existing cable from Loch Carnan to Ardmore, Loch Carnan will be islanded.</li> <li>5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 1.2 MW and Stornoway: 5.4 MW</li> <li>This option is not viable under normal operating conditions and N-1 contingency operating conditions for the subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan.</li> </ul>	<b>Flexibility Services (fron</b> For the winter scenario, p Carnan zone to avoid the
Option-2	<ul> <li>1) The existing subsea cable loaded up to 37% of rated capacity i.e.16MVA.</li> <li>2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>3) Laxay Transformer is loaded up to 125% of capacity.</li> <li>4) During the outage of new cable from Loch Carnan to Ardmore, Loch Carnan will be</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>The new 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan was loaded up to 117% of its rated capacity, i.e., 16MVA.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 MVA transformers at Gilsa and Laxay were loaded up to 122% and 183% of their rated capacity, respectively.</li> <li>During the outage of the existing cable from Loch Carnan to Ardmore, Loch Carnan will be islanded.</li> </ol>	i) Additional, 1x 1 MVA a and Laxay substations re <b>Flexibility Services (fron</b> For the winter scenario, p Carnan zone to avoid the

### **Jacobs**

#### respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

and 1x 2.5 MVA transformers are considered for Gisla espectively to prevent transformers overload.

#### m local generation or demand):

procurement of ~5MVA flexibility services in the Loch e cable overloading.

and 1x 2.5 MVA transformers are considered for Gisla espectively to prevent transformers overload.

#### m local generation or demand):

procurement of ~3MVA flexibility services in the Loch e cable overloading.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks with
	islanded. 5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.4MW and Stornoway - 2.8 MW This option is not feasible under N-1 Contingency operating conditions.	4) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 1.2 MW and Stornoway: 5.2 MW This option is not viable under normal operating conditions and n-1 contingency operating conditions for the subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan.	
Option-3	<ol> <li>The 185 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable loaded up to 24% of its rated capacity i.e.21.94 MVA.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>Laxay Transformer is Loaded up to 125% of capacity.</li> <li>During the outage of the new cable from Loch Carnan to Ardmore, Loch Carnan will be islanded.</li> <li>Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.3MW and Stornoway - 2.8 MW</li> <li>This option is not feasible under N-1 Contingency operating conditions.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The 185mm<sup>2</sup> cable from Ardmore to Loch Carron subsea cable was loaded up to 84% of its rated capacity i.e. 21.94MVA.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones within the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>Transformers at Gisla and Laxay substations were overloaded.</li> <li>All the bus voltages and equipment loadings were within acceptable limits with the following recommendations:         <ol> <li>S.5 MVAr and 0.5 MVAr fixed capacitor banks were considered for 11 kV Clachan and 11 kV ii) 1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 MVA transformers were considered for Gisla and Laxy substations respectively.</li> <li>During the outage of the new cable from Loch Carnan to Ardmore, Loch Carnan is islanded.</li> <li>Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.8MW and Stornoway - 3.9MW</li> <li>However, in the event of an N-1 contingency for the subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan, this option is not viable.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	i) 2.5 MVAr and 0.7 MV and 11 kV Gisla substat ii) 1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 substations respectively
Option-4	<ol> <li>The existing and new subsea cables are loaded up to 27% and 23% of their rated capacity respectively.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>Laxay Transformer is Loaded up to 125% than its capacity.</li> <li>During the outage of the new cable from Loch Carnan to Ardmore, the existing cable is loaded up to 42% of rating.</li> <li>Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.2MW and Stornoway - 2.8 MW</li> <li>This option is feasible under both normal and N-1 Contingency operating conditions. However, during</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The existing and new 95mm2 subsea cables from Ardmore to Loch Carnan were loaded up to 71% and 62% of their rated capacity, respectively.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones within the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>Transformers at Gisla and Laxay substations were overloaded.</li> <li>All the bus voltages and equipment loadings were within acceptable limits, with the following recommendations:</li> <li>2 MVAr and 0.5 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for the 11 kV Clachan and 11 kV Gisla substations.</li> <li>1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 MVA transformers were considered for Gisla and Laxay substations.</li> <li>In the event of an N-1 contingency for the 95mm2 new subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan, the other run of the 95mm2 subsea cable will be overloaded up to 135% of its rating.</li> <li>Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.74 MW and Stornoway: 3.46 MW This option is not feasible under the N-1 contingency operating condition.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>i) 2 MVAr and 0.5 MVAr Clachan and 11 kV Gisla the acceptable limits.</li> <li>ii) 1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 substations respectively</li> <li>Flexibility Services (from For the winter scenario, Carnan zone to avoid th</li> </ul>

respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

/Ar fixed capacitors were considered for 11 kV Clachan tions. 5 MVA transformers are considered for Gisla and Laxay

5 MVA transformers are considered for Gisla and Laxay y.

r fixed capacitor banks were considered for 11 kV a substations to maintain the system voltages within

5 MVA transformers are considered for Gisla and Laxay y to prevent transformers overload.

#### om local generation or demand):

, procurement of ~5MVA flexibility services in the Loch he cable overloading.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
	contingencies at Ardmore substation will impact reliability of power supply in both regions.		
	a para appy a consignation		
Option-5	1) The existing and new subsea cables are loaded up to	1) The existing $95$ mm <sup>2</sup> and $185$ mm <sup>2</sup> new subsea cables from Ardmore to Loch Carnan were	i) 2.5 MVAr and 0.5 MV
	24% and 18.7% of its rated capacity respectively.	loaded up to 58% and 49% of their rated capacity, i.e., 14MVA and 21.9MVA, respectively.	Clachan and 11 KV Gisla
	the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the	zones within the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks	i) 1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5
	acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103%	with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.	substations respectively
	for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV	3) Transformers at Gisla and Laxay substations were overloaded.	
	networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.	4) All the bus voltages and equipment loadings were within acceptable limits, with the	Flexibility Services (fro
	3) Laxay Transformer is loaded up to 125% of capacity.	following recommendations:	For the winter scenario,
	4) During the outage of the new cable from Loch Carnan to	i) 2.5 MVAr and 0.5 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 11 kV Clachan and 11 kV	Carnan zone to avoid th
	Ardmore, the existing cable is loaded up to 42% of rating.	Gisla substations.	
	5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.2MW and Stornoway	II) 1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 MVA transformers were considered for Gisla and Laxay substations.	
	- 2.8 IVIV	S) In the event of an N-1 contingency for the 185mm subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch	
	This option is feasible under both normal and N-1	rating.	
	Contingency operating conditions. However, during	6) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.74 MW and Stornoway: 3.01 MW	
	contingencies at Ardmore substation will impact reliability	This option is not feasible under the N-1 contingency operating condition.	
	of power supply in both regions.		
Option-6	1) The existing and new subsea cables are loaded up to	1) The feeders from Ardmore to Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Clachan were loaded up to	i) 1x 1 MVA, 1x 2.5 MVA
	26% and 10.7% of rated capacity respectively.	64% and 47% of their rated capacity, respectively.	Laxay and Clachan (Cla
	2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in		transformers overload.
	the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the		

#### h respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

/Ar fixed capacitor banks were considered for 11 kV la substations to maintain the system voltages within

MVA transformers are considered for Gisla and Laxay y to prevent transformers overload.

#### om local generation or demand):

, procurement of ~5MVA flexibility services in the Loch ne cable overloading.

A, 1x6.3 MVA transformers are considered for Gisla, achan3B to Clachan1A) substations to prevent

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
	<ul> <li>acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>3) Laxay Transformer is Loaded up to 125% of capacity.</li> <li>4) During the outage of the new cable from Ardmore to Clachan, the existing cable is loaded up to 42% of rating.</li> <li>5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.2MW and Stornoway - 2.9 MW</li> <li>This option is feasible under both normal and N-1 Contingency operating conditions. However, during contingencies at Ardmore substation will impact reliability of power supply in both regions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones within the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>3) Transformers at Gisla and Laxay substations were overloaded.</li> <li>4) All the bus voltages and equipment loadings were within acceptable limits, with the following recommendations:</li> <li>i) 1x 1 MVA, 1x 2.5 MVA, and 1x 6.3 MVA transformers were considered for Gisla, Laxay, and Clachan (Clachan 3B to Clachan 1A) substations.</li> <li>ii) 2.0 MVAr and 0.5 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 11 kV Clachan and 11 kV Gisla substations.</li> <li>5) In the event of an N-1 contingency for the 185 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Maddy, the other run of the 95 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable will be overloaded up to 135% of rating.</li> <li>6) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.86 MW and Stornoway: 2.47 MW</li> <li>This option is not feasible under the N-1 contingency operating condition.</li> </ul>	ii) 2.0 MVAr and 0.5 M Clachan and 11 kV Gis the acceptable limits. <b>Flexibility Services (fro</b> For the winter scenario Carnan zone to avoid t
Option-7	<ul> <li>1) The new subsea cables are each loaded up to 16.9% of rated capacity.</li> <li>2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>3) Laxay transformer is loaded up to 125% of capacity.</li> <li>4) During the outage of one cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan, the other cable is loaded up to 27% of its rating.</li> <li>5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.1MW and Stornoway - 2.9 MW.</li> <li>This option is feasible under normal and N-1 Contingency operating conditions. However, during contingencies at Ardmore substation will impact reliability of power supply in both regions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Caran and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>1) 2Rx3Cx300 mm<sup>2</sup> cables were considered at Ardmore to Loch Caran.</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV</li> <li>Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>4) During the normal operation scenario, the two feeders from Ardmore to Loch Carnan were loaded up to 36% of rating, respectively.</li> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 1.16 MW and Stornoway: 5.25 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source (for the PSSE convergence, an additional 12 MVAr reactive power was intended at Harris substation).</li> <li>i) The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was loaded up to 126% of rating.</li> <li>6) During the outage of the feeder single circuit from Ardmore to Loch Carnan,</li> <li>i) The other circuit feeder from Ardmore to Loch Carnan was loaded up to 72% of rating,</li> <li>ii) The 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was loaded up to 101%.</li> <li>This option is not feasible under the N-1 contingency operating condition (In the event of 33 kV HVDC outage condition).</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 for its rating, respective overloading are as followerloading are as followerloadin</li></ol>

#### th respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

VAr fixed capacitor banks were considered for 11 kV la substations to maintain the system voltages within

#### om local generation or demand):

o, procurement of ~5MVA flexibility services in the Loch the cable overloading.

MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 126% ely. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the lows:

- 1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at A to 80 MVA.
- 2: Install an additional 80 MVA transformer in parallel.3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and2/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.
- C outage, the feeder from Ardmore to Harris was rating. The proposed reinforcement options to ng are as follows:
- 1: It is recommended to increase the additional run of a cable from Ardmore to Harris.
- 2: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris.
- ing at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

BMVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

om Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

#### om local generation or demand):

b, procurement of ~ 30MVA flexibility services in the bid the cable overloading

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks with
Option-8		<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Caran and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>1) 2Rx3Cx300 mm<sup>2</sup> cables were considered at Ardmore to Loch Caran.</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>4) During the normal operation scenario, the two feeders from Ardmore to Loch Carnan were loaded up to 36% of rating, respectively.</li> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 1.16 MW and Stornoway: 5.25 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source.</li> <li>i) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was over loaded.</li> <li>Note: <i>In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeder between Harris to Ardmore should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be fed from Ardmore. Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris from Ardmore.</i></li> </ul>	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 M of its rating, respectively overloading are as folloo Reinforcement option 1 Ardmore from 60 MVA f Reinforcement option 2 Reinforcement option 3 procure a 60 MVA, 132, 2) The transformer ratin 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8M 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kM 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from 10 MVA.</li> </ol>
Option-9		<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Caran and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>1) 2Rx3Cx300 mm<sup>2</sup> cables were considered at Ardmore to Loch Caran.</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>4) During the normal operation scenario, the two feeders from Ardmore to Loch Carnan were loaded up to 36% of rating, respectively.</li> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 1.16 MW and Stornoway: 5.25 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>The transformer ratin 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8M</li> <li>33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV</li> <li>3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from</li> <li>MVA.</li> </ol>

#### respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 126% y. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the ows:

I: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at to 80 MVA.

 Install an additional 80 MVA transformer in parallel.
 Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and /33 kV transformer at Harris substation.

ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for V Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

n Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for V Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wi
		<ul> <li>i) all voltages were within acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed.</li> <li>Note: In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeder between Harris to Ardmore should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be fed from Ardmore.</li> <li>Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris from Ardmore.</li> <li>This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.</li> </ul>	
Option- 10	<ul> <li>1) The new subsea cables from Ardmore to Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Clachan are loaded up to 17.8% and 11.6% of its rating i.e. 21.94 MVA respectively.</li> <li>2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>3) Laxay Transformer is loaded up to 125% of capacity.</li> <li>4) During the outage of the new cable from Ardmore to Clachan, the other cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan is loaded up to 24% of its rating</li> <li>5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.2MW and Stornoway - 2.9 MW</li> <li>This option is feasible under normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions. However, during contingencies at Ardmore substation will impact reliability of power supply in both regions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generators were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceed the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5 MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 MVAr, and 2 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV.</li> <li>Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>4) During the normal operation scenario, the feeders from Ardmore to Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Clachan were loaded up to 33% and 38% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.86 MW and Stornoway: 4.79 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source (for the PSSE convergence, an additional 12 MVAr reactive power was used at Harris substation).</li> <li>i) The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was loaded to 185 % of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>ii) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 72% of rating.</li> <li>6) During the outage of the feeder single circuit from Ardmore to Clachan,</li> <li>i) The coch Carnan 33/33 kV, 21 MVA voltage regulator was overloaded up to 72% of rating.</li> <li>ii) The Loch Carnan 33/33 kV, 21 MVA voltage regulator was overloaded up to 101%.</li> <li>7) During the outage of the feeder from Admore to Loch Carnan, the feeder from Ardmore to Clachan3b was loaded up to 72% of its rating; all bus voltages are within the acceptable limit</li></ul>	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60         <ul> <li>of its rating, respective</li> <li>overloading are as followerloading are as followerloa</li></ul></li></ol>
		<ul> <li>7) During the outage of the feeder from Admore to Loch Carnan, the feeder from Ardmore to Clachan3b was loaded up to 72% of its rating,; all bus voltages are within the acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed.</li> <li>8) During the outage of the feeder from Admore to Clachan3b Loch Carnan, the feeder from Ardmore to Loch Carnan was loaded up to 72% of its rating; all bus voltages were within acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed.</li> <li>This option is not feasible under the N-1 contingency operating condition (In the event of 33 kV HVDC outage condition).</li> </ul>	<b>Flexibility Service</b> For the winter sce Stornoway zone t

#### th respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 125% ely. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the lows:

1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at A to 80 MVA.

2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in

3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and 132/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.

OC outage, the feeder from Ardmore to Harris was its rating, respectively. The proposed reinforcement

overloading are as follows:

1: It is recommended to increase the additional run of a cable from Ardmore to Harris.

2: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris.

ing at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

#### om local generation or demand)

b, procurement of ~ 30MVA flexibility services in the bid the cable overloading.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
Option-11		In this analysis, all generators were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below: Voltage Levels: Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceed the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively. 1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA. 2) 3.5 MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 MVAr, and 2 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV. Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations. 3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA. 4) During the normal operation scenario, the feeders from Ardmore to Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Clachan were loaded up to 33% and 38% of its rating, respectively. i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.86 MW and Stornoway: 4.79 MW 5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source. i) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was over loaded. <b>Note:</b> <i>In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeder between</i> <i>Harris to Ardmore should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be fed from Ardmore.</i> <i>Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris</i> <i>from Ardmore.</i> This ootion is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 M of its rating, respectively overloading are as follo Reinforcement option 1 Ardmore from 60 MVA Reinforcement option 2 parallel.</li> <li>Reinforcement option 3 procure the 60 MVA, 13 2) The transformer ratin 5 MVA.</li> <li>3)3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8M 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 k</li> <li>A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from 10 MVA.</li> </ol>
Option-12		<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generators were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceed the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5 MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 MVAr, and 2 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV. Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>4) During the normal operation scenario, the feeders from Ardmore to Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Clachan were loaded up to 33% and 38% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.86 MW and Stornoway: 4.79 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source.</li> <li>i) All voltages were within acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed. Note: In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeder between Harris to Ardmore should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be fed from Ardmore. Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>The transformer ratin 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8M</li> <li>33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV</li> <li>3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from 10 MVA.</li> </ol>

#### h respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 125% ly. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the ows:

1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at to 80 MVA.

2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in

3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and 32/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.

ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

AVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for V Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for V Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to
OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
		<i>from Ardmore</i> . This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.	
Option-13	<ul> <li>1) The new subsea cable from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Clachan are loaded up to 48% and 25% of its rating i.e. 21.94 MVA respectively.</li> <li>2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>3) Laxay transformer is loaded up to 125% than its capacity.</li> <li>4) During the outage of the new cable from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan, the other cable from Ardmore to Clachan is loaded up to 25% of its rating.</li> <li>5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 3.2MW and Stornoway - 2.8 MW. The losses in Loch Carnan increases due to power flow from Dunvegan - Loch Carnan - Ardmore i.e. power circulation between Dunvegan and Loch Carnan.</li> <li>This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions. However, power circulation between Dunvegan and Ardmore makes losses in Loch Carnan region very high and may not be feasible from economic point of view.</li> <li>To avoid power circulation, opening of one cable is considered without paralleling and observations is given below:</li> <li>a) When the Ardmore to Clachan cable is opened at the Clachan end, the power is fed through Dunvegan and cable is loaded up to 25% of its rated capacity also losses are reduced in Loch Carnan.</li> <li>b) When the Dunvegan to Ardmore cable is opened at the Dunvegan end then all power is fed through Ardmore grid, by the Ardmore to Clachan subsea cable loaded to 25%, this tends to reduce losses.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5 MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 MVAr, and 2 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV.</li> <li>Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA.</li> <li>4) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded to 175%; consequently an additional transformer was considered.</li> <li>5) During the normal operation scenario, the feeders from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Clachan were loaded up to 36% and 35% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.86 MW and Stornoway: 4.79 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation.</li> <li>i) The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was loaded up to 194% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>ii) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 113% of its rating.</li> <li>6) During the outage of the feeder single circuit from Ardmore to Clachan,</li> <li>i) The other circuit feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan, the other circuit feeder from Ardmore to Clachan was loaded up to 72% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>ii) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 73% of its rating.</li> <li>c) During the outage of the feeder single circuit from</li></ul>	<ul> <li>1) The 132/33 kV, 60 N of its rating, respectivel overloading are as follo Reinforcement option 3 parallel.</li> <li>Reinforcement option 3 procure the 60 MVA, 13 2) In the Event of HVDO loaded up to 194% of i options to mitigate the Reinforcement option 3 both OHTL and subsea Reinforcement option 3 3)The transformer ratin 5 MVA.</li> <li>4)3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8N 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 k 3A substations.</li> <li>5)The cable rating from MVA.</li> <li>Flexibility Services (from For the winter scenario) Stronoway zone to avoid</li> </ul>
Option-14		In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below: <b>Voltage Levels:</b> Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for	1) The 132/33 kV, 60 M of its rating, respectivel overloading are as follo Reinforcement option

#### h respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

- MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 113% ely. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the .ows:
- 1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at A to 80 MVA.
- 2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in
- 3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and 32/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.
- C outage, the feeder from Ardmore to Harris was its rating, respectively. The proposed reinforcement e overloading are as follows:
- 1: It is recommended to increase the additional run of a cable from Ardmore to Harris.
- 2: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris. ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to
- MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert
- m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10

#### om local generation or demand):

, procurement of ~ 30MVA flexibility services in the id the cable overloading.

MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 113% ely. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the ows:

1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at A to 80 MVA.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks with
		<ul> <li>networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5 MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 MVAr, and 2 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV. Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA.</li> <li>4) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded to 175%; consequently an additional transformer was considered.</li> <li>5) During the normal operation scenario, the feeders from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Clachan were loaded up to 36% and 35% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.86 MW and Stornoway: 4.79 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source</li> <li>i) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was over loaded.</li> <li>6) During the outage of the feeder single circuit from Ardmore to Clachan,</li> <li>i) The other circuit feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan was loaded up to 73% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>ii) The 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded up to 101%.</li> <li>Note: In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeder between Harris to Ardmore should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be fed from Ardmore. Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris from Ardmore.</li> </ul>	Reinforcement option 2 parallel. Reinforcement option 3 procure the 60 MVA, 13 2)The transformer ratin 5 MVA. 3)3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8M 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 k <sup>1</sup> 3A substations. 4)The cable rating from MVA.
		This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.	
Option-15		<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5 MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 MVAr, and 2 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV. Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA.</li> <li>4) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded to 175%; consequently an additional transformer was considered.</li> <li>5) During the normal operation scenario, the feeders from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan and Ardmore to Clachan were loaded up to 36% and 35% of its rating, respectively.</li> </ul>	1)The transformer ratin 5 MVA. 2)3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8M 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV 3A substations. 3)The cable rating from MVA.

#### respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in

3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and 32/33 kV transformer at Harris substation. ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for V Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10

ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for V Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wi
		<ul> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.86 MW and Stornoway: 4.79 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source</li> <li>i) All voltages were within acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed.</li> <li>6) During the outage of the feeder single circuit from Ardmore to Clachan,</li> <li>i) The other circuit feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Caranan was loaded up to 73% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>ii) The 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded up to 101%.</li> <li>Note: In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeder between Harris to Ardmore should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be fed from Ardmore.</li> <li>Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris from Ardmore.</li> </ul>	
Option- 16	<ul> <li>1) The new subsea cables are each loaded up to 13% of its rating i.e. 21.94 MVA.</li> <li>2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>3) Laxay Transformer is Loaded up to 125% than its capacity.</li> <li>4) During the outage of one cable from Ardmore to Clachan, the other cable is loaded up to 25% of its rating.</li> <li>5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.3 MW and Stornoway - 2.8 MW</li> <li>This option is feasible under both normal and N-1 Contingency operating conditions. However, during contingencies at Ardmore S/S, the supply to the whole island group will be lost. To increase the reliability beyond Admore via T Network back to Edinbane is required.</li> <li>However, this option is not viable if Lochmaddy has a single cable landing point.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.</li> <li>In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5 MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 MVAr, and 2 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV.</li> <li>Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA.</li> <li>4) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded to 175%; consequently, an additional transformer was considered.</li> <li>5) During the normal operation scenario, the feeders from Ardmore to Clachan were loaded up to 36% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 1.78 MW and Stornoway: 4.48 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source (for the PSSE convergence, an additional 12 MVAr reactive power was intended at Harris substation).</li> <li>i) The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was loaded up to 184% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>ii) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 126% of its rating.</li> <li>6) During the outage of the one feeder from Ardmore to Clachan, the other circuit feeder from Ardmore to Clachan was loaded up to 72% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>ii) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loa</li></ul>	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 of its rating, respective overloading are as foll Reinforcement option Ardmore from 60 MVA Reinforcement option parallel.</li> <li>Reinforcement option procure the 60 MVA, 12</li> <li>In the Event of HVD loaded up to 184% of options to mitigate the Reinforcement option both OHTL and subsea Reinforcement option 3) The transformer rat 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from 10 MVA.</li> <li>The transformer at loaded to 175%; cons</li> </ol>

#### ith respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

) MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 126% rely. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the llows:

n 1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at A to 80 MVA.

2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in

3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and 132/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.

DC outage, the feeder from Ardmore to Harris was f its rating, respectively. The proposed reinforcement ne overloading are as follows:

n 1: It is recommended to increase the additional run of a cable from Ardmore to Harris.

1 2: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris. ting at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

om Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was sequently an additional transformer was considered.

#### rom local generation or demand):

io, procurement of ~ 30MVA flexibility services in the roid the cable overloading.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks w
Option 17	1) The 105 mm <sup>2</sup> subset exhibition Dumuseum to Look	In this analysis, all comparations up to fear issist to she Correspond Starrage and	1) In the Event of LIV/D
Option-17	1) The 185 mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable from Dunvegan to Loch	In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones,	I) In the Event of HVD
	of its rating is 21.07 MVA respectively	Voltage Leveler	loaded up to 192% of
	Of its fatting i.e. 21.94 MVA respectively. 2) Voltage levels at $22 I/V$ and $11 I/V$ substation busges in	Voltage Levels.	Deinforcement ention
	2) Vollage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in	voltage levels at 53 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway	keinforcement option
	the Loch Carnan and Stornoway 20nes exceeded the	zones exceeded the acceptable timits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 105% for	Doth OFFIL and Subsec
	for notworks with 22 W/W connections and 22 W/	recubicly To maintain the accentable limits we concernently required reactive neuron	2)The transformer rati
	not vertes of their nominal valtage, respectively	respectively. To maintain the acceptable timits, we consequently required reactive power	
	2) Evicting OLU, from Harris to Harris landing point is	compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Caman Zones of 16 MVAF and 6 MVAF,	$\mathcal{D}$ IVIVA.
	5) Existing OFL from Harris to Harris tanding point is	1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA	3)01/1VAI, 0 MIVAI, 8/1
	line at CDAMS2P substation is loaded up to 101% of its	1) The transformer facing at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.	33 KV Clachan 3A, 33
	rating in 12 MVA	2) OMVAL, O MVAL, OMVAL, and 2MVAL fixed capacitors were considered for 55 kV clacital	A Subsidiions.
	All avay transformer is loaded up to 125% than its	2) The cable rating from Called to Cicle substation was considered from 7 to 1040/4	4) The cable falling from
	4) Laxay transformer is toaded up to 125% that its	4) During normal operation the 22/22 kV/ 21MV/A voltage regulator at Loch Carpan was	E)The transformer at (
	Capacity.	4) During normal operation, the 55/55 kV, 2 mVA voltage regulator at Loch Carrian was	boded to 175%; cons
	S) burning the outage of cable from Durivegan to Loch	i) Decien wise losses: Loch Carnan: 2.06 MW and Stornoway: E.80 MW	() The transformer at
	to 20% of its rating and it is foasible condition	E) During the outgoe of the 22 k/ H/DC infeed at Harris substation source (for the DSSE	b) The transformer at
	6) Pagion-wise losses: Losh Carnan - 4,7 MW and	s) During the outage of the 55 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source (for the PSSE	from 62 to 8 MV/A
	6) Region-wise losses. Loch Carnan - 4.7 MW and Storpoway - 6.2 MW. The losses in Loch Carpan increases	i) The feeder from Ardmare to Harris was leaded up to 192% of its rating, respectively	7) The 22/22 WV 21M
	due to newer flow from Dunyegon - Loch Carnon - Ardmore	Note: In order to manage the newer flows when the HVDC is operational the feeders between	7) The 33/33 KV, 2 HV
	is nower sinculation between Dunyegan and Loch Carnan	Harris to Ardmore and Harris to Clashan should be run open and the Islas of Llist should be	rating from 21 to 25
	Ret in N. 1 contineency condition it is	fad from Ardmore	rating from 21 to 25 i
	forsible	Jed Jiom Arumore.	Elovibility Convisos /fr
	The newer circulation between Dunyagan to Ardmore via	from Ardmore	Flexibility Services (If
	Lech Carpan network during normal operating conditions	If the connection between Herris and Cleshen is used in nerallel with the feeder from Ardmore	Stornoway zono to ave
	con be avoided by considering opening of one of the colla	to Harris the resulting low impadance nath results in power being exported from Harris to	Stornoway zone to ave
	as given below:	Clocker heres the connection between Herris and Clocker should only be used if there is an	
	as given below.	Clachan, hence the connection between Harris and Clachan should only be used if there is an	
	a) when Harris to Clachan cable is opened at Clachan end	outage of the supply at Aramore of a failure of the Aramore to Loch Caman circuit.	
	ite rated capacity with losses in Loch Carpan reduced	6) During the outpop of the feeder from Dunyagan to Loch Carpan	
	h) When Dupyeesen to Lech Carpan cable is enough at	b) During the outage of the reeder from Durivegan to Loch Carnan,	
	D) when Durivegan to Loch Carnan cable is opened at	1) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan TA) substation was toaded up to	
	Durivegan end all power is led through from Harris via	ii) The transformer at Clacker (Clacker 24 to Clacker 14) substation was loaded up to	
	to 27%, with reduced losses in Loch Corpor	115% of its rating	
	$to \ge 1.70$ with reduced tosses in Loch Carnan.	i i 570 Ul its latility.	
	conditions (with the both sizewite are in parallel)	This option is not fossible under the N-1 contingency operating condition (in the event of	
	conditions. (with the both circuits are in parallel)	22 kV HVDC outcon condition)	
		ן אי דיטכ טענגעפ נטועונטד <i>ו</i> .	

#### ith respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

DC outage, the feeder from Ardmore to Harris was f its rating, respectively. The proposed reinforcement ne overloading are as follows:

n 1: It is recommended to increase the additional run of a cable from Ardmore to Harris.

n 2: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris. ting at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

IVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

om Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10

Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was sequently an additional transformer was considered. t Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was f its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating

MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded ng, respectively. It is recommended to increase the MVA.

#### rom local generation or demand):

io, procurement of ~ 30MVA flexibility services in the oid the cable overloading.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wi
Option-18		<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5MVAr, 6MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr capacitor fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV. Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA.</li> <li>4) During normal operation, the 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was loaded up to 101%.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>The transformer rat 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating fro 10 MVA.</li> <li>The 33/33 kV, 21M up to 101% of its ratin rating from 21 to 25 N</li> <li>The transformer at loaded to 171%; conse</li> <li>The transformer at loaded up to 110% of from 6.3 to 8 MVA.</li> </ol>
		<ul> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 2.06 MW and Stornoway: 5.82 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source:</li> <li>i) The feeders from Ardmore to Harris were loaded up to 89% of their rating.</li> </ul>	
		Note: In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeders between Harris to Ardmore and Harris to Clachan should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be fed from Ardmore. Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris from Ardmore. If the connection between Harris and Clachan is used in parallel with the feeder from Ardmore	
		to Harris the resulting low impedance path results in power being exported from Harris to Clachan, hence the connection between Harris and Clachan should only be used if there is an outage of the supply at Ardmore or a failure of the Ardmore to Loch Carnan circuit.	
		<ul> <li>In the event of a Dunvegan Source outage condition, the Harris to Clachan feeder should be energized.</li> <li>6) During the outage of the feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan: <ul> <li>i) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up to 171%; consequently, an additional transformer was considered.</li> <li>ii) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up to 110% of its rating.</li> <li>iii) Total 72 MW of real power is imported from Harris HVDC infeed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

#### ith respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

ting at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 8 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

om Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded ng, respectively. It is recommended to increase the MVA.

Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was sequently an additional transformer was considered. Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was f its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wi
Option-19		<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5MVAr, 6MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr capacitor fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV. Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA.</li> <li>4) During normal operation, the 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was loaded up to 101%.</li> <li>i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 2.06 MW and Stornoway: 5.82 MW</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source:</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>The transformer rat 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating fro 10 MVA.</li> <li>The 33/33 kV, 21M up to 101% of its ratin rating from 21 to 25 N</li> <li>The transformer at loaded to 171%; conse</li> <li>The transformer at loaded up to 110% of from 6.3 to 8 MVA.</li> </ol>
		<ul> <li>i) The feeders from Ardmore to Harris were loaded up to 89% of their rating.</li> <li>Note: In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeders between Harris to Ardmore and Harris to Clachan should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be fed from Ardmore.</li> <li>Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris from Ardmore.</li> <li>If the connection between Harris and Clachan is used in parallel with the feeder from Ardmore to Harris the resulting low impedance path results in power being exported from Harris to Clachan, hence the connection between Harris and Clachan should only be used if there is an outage of the supply at Ardmore or a failure of the Ardmore to Loch Carnan circuit.</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>In the event of a Dunvegan Source outage condition, the Harris to Clachan feeder should be energized.</li> <li>6) During the outage of the feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan: <ul> <li>i) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up to 171%; consequently, an additional transformer was considered.</li> <li>ii) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up to 110% of its rating.</li> <li>iii) Total 72 MW of real power is imported from Harris HVDC infeed.</li> </ul> </li> <li>This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.</li> </ul>	

#### ith respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

ting at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 8 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

om Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded ng, respectively. It is recommended to increase the MVA.

Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was sequently an additional transformer was considered. Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was f its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
Option-20		In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones,	1) The transformer rati
		and the observations are summarised below:	5  MVA
		Voltage Levels:	2) 6MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MV
		voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway	33 KV Clachan 3A, 33 K
		20hes exceeded the acceptable timits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 105% for potworks with 32 kV/IV connections and 32 kV networks of their nominal voltage	3A SUDSIGUONS.
		respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power	
		compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 6 MVAr	4) The 33/33 kV 21M
		respectively	up to $101\%$ of its rating
		1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.	25 MVA.
		2) 6MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan	5) The transformer at C
		3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.	loaded to 171%; conse
		3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10MVA.	6) The transformer at C
		4) During normal operation, the 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was	loaded up to 110% of i
		overloaded up to 101%:	from 6.3 to 8 MVA.
		i) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 2.06 MW and Stornoway: 5.80 MW	
	-	5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at the Harris substation source:	
		i) The feeder from 132 kV Ardmore to Harris was loaded up to 63% of its rating.	
		ii) The 60 MVA, 132/33 kV transformer was loaded up to 85% of its rating.	
		Note: In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeders between	
		Harris to Ardmore and Harris to Clachan should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be	
		fed from Ardmore.	
		Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris	
		from Ardmore.	
		If the connection between Harris and Clachan is used in parallel with the feeder from Ardmore	
		to Harris the resulting low impedance path results in power being exported from Harris to	
		Clachan, hence the connection between Harris and Clachan should only be used if there is an	
		outage of the supply at Ardmore or a failure of the Ardmore to Loch Carnan circuit.	
		6) During the outage of the feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan:	
		i) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up to	
		171%; consequently, an additional transformer was considered.	
		ii) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up to	
		110% of its rating.	
		iii) Total 72 MW of real power is imported from Harris HVDC infeed.	
		This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.	
Option-21	1) The existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan		i) 2MVAr and 0.5 MVAr
	is loaded up to 135% of its rated capacity i.e. 14 MVA and		Clachan and 11 kV Gisl

#### h respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

ing at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

IVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

VA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded ag. It is recommended to increase the rating from 21 to

Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was equently an additional transformer was considered. Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating

r fixed capacitor banks were considered for 11 kV la substations to maintain the system voltages within

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
	new subsea cable from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan is loaded	1) The existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan was loaded up to 58% of its	the acceptable limits.
	up to 69% of its rating i.e. 21.94 MVA.	derated capacity, i.e., 14 MVA, and the new feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan was	ii) 1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5
	2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in	loaded up to 50% of its rated capacity.	substations to prevent
	the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the	2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway	
	acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103%	zones within the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks	Flexibility Services (fro
	for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV	with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.	For the winter scenario
	networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.	3) Transformers at Gisla and LAXAY substations are overloaded.	Carnan zone to avoid th
	3) Laxay transformer is loaded up to 125% of its capacity.	4) All the bus voltages and equipment loadings are within acceptable limits, with the	
	4) During the outage of the cable from Dunvegan to Loch	following recommendations:	
	Carnan, the other cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan is	i) 2MVAr and 0.5 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for the 11 kV Clachan and 11 kV	
	loaded up to 42% of its rating.	Gisla substations.	
	5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 4.7 MW and	ii) 1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 MVA transformers are considered for Gisla and LAXAY substations.	
	Stornoway - 2.8 MW. The losses in Loch Carnan increases	5) During the outage of the cable from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan, the other cable from	
	due to power flow from Dunvegan - Loch Carnan - Ardmore	Ardmore to Loch Carnan was loaded up to 135% of its rating.	
	i.e. power circulation between Dunvegan and Loch Carnan.	6) Losses by region: Loch Carnan (1 MW) and Stornoway (2.89 MW).	
	This option is not feasible under normal operating	According to the load flow study's results, this option is viable for normal operating	
	conditions. (with the both circuits are in parallel)	conditions.	
	But in N-1 contingency condition it is feasible	This option is not feasible under N-1 contingency operating conditions.	
Option-22	1) The new subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan and	In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones,	1) The 132/33 kV, 60 l
	Dunvegan to Loch Carnan is loaded up to 79% and 65% of	and the observations are summarised below:	of its rating, respective
	its rated capacity i.e. 21.94MVA respectively.	Voltage Levels:	overloading are as follo
	2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in	Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway	Reinforcement option
	the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the	zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for	Ardmore from 60 MVA
	acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103%	networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage,	Reinforcement option 2
	for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV	respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power	parallel.
	networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.	compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr,	Reinforcement option
	3) Laxay transformer is loaded up to 125% of its capacity.	respectively.	procure the 60 MVA, 1
	4) During the outage of cable from Dunvegan to Loch	1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.	2) In the Event of HVD
	Carnan, the other cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan is	2) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 MVAr, and 2 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV.	loaded up to 190% of i
	loaded up to 27% of its rating.	Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.	options to mitigate the
	5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 3.1 MW and	3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered to be 7 to 10 MVA.	Reinforcement option
	Stornoway - 2.8 MW. The losses in Loch Carnan increase	4) During normal operation, losses by region: Loch Carnan (2.01 MW) and Stornoway (5.88	both OHTL and subsea
	due to power flow from Dunvegan - Loch Carnan - Ardmore	MW).	Reinforcement option
	i.e. power circulation between Dunvegan and Loch Carnan.		

#### th respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

5 MVA transformers are considered for Gisla and Laxay transformers overload.

#### om local generation or demand):

b, procurement of ~5MVA flexibility services in the Loch the cable overloading.

MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 112% ely. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the ows:

1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at A to 80 MVA.

2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in

3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and 132/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.

C outage, the feeder from Ardmore to Harris was

its rating, respectively. The proposed reinforcement e overloading are as follows:

1: It is recommended to increase the additional run of a cable from Ardmore to Harris.

2: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
	This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions. However, power circulation between Dunvegan and Ardmore makes losses in Loch Carnan region very high and may not be feasible from economic point of view. To avoid power circulation, opening of one cable is considered without paralleling and observations is given below: a)When Admore to Loch Carnan cable is opened the Dunvegan to Loch Carnan cable is loaded up to 25% of its rated capacity and losses are reduced in Loch Carnan	<ul> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source (for the PSSE convergence, an additional 12 MVAr reactive power was intended at Harris substation).</li> <li>i) The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was loaded up to 190% of its rating, respectively.</li> <li>ii) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 112% of its rating.</li> <li>6) During the outage of the feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan, all voltages were within acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed.</li> <li>7) During the outage of the feeder from Ardmore to Loch Carnan, all voltages were within the acceptable limits, and the 33/33 kV voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded up to 101%.</li> <li>This option is not feasible under the N-1 contingency operating condition (In the event of 22 kV HVDC outage condition).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3) The transformer ratio</li> <li>5 MVA.</li> <li>4) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8</li> <li>33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 k</li> <li>3A substations.</li> <li>4) The cable rating from</li> <li>10 MVA.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>b) When Dunvegan Loch Carnan cable is opened at</li> <li>Dunvegan end then Admore to Loch Carnan subsea cable is</li> <li>loaded up to 22% of its rating and losses are minimum in</li> <li>Loch Carnan.</li> </ul>		For the winter scenario, Stornoway zone to avoi
Option-23		<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 MVAr, and 2 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV.</li> <li>Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered to be 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>4) During normal operation, losses by region: Loch Carnan (2.01 MW) and Stornoway (5.88 MW).</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source</li> <li>i) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 112% of its rating.</li> <li>6) During the outage of the feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan, all voltages were within acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed.</li> <li>7) During the outage of the feeder from Ardmore to Loch Carnan, all voltages were within the acceptable limits, and the 33/33 kV voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded up to 101%.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 M of its rating, respectivel overloading are as follo Reinforcement option 1 Ardmore from 60 MVA Reinforcement option 2 parallel.</li> <li>Reinforcement option 3 procure the 60 MVA, 13 2) The transformer ratin 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8M 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 k 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from 10 MVA.</li> </ol>

#### h respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

ing at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

#### om local generation or demand):

, procurement of ~ 30MVA flexibility services in the id the cable overloading.

MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 112% ly. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the ows:

1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at to 80 MVA.

2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in

3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and 32/33 kV transformer at Harris substation. ing at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
Option-24		In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below: Voltage Levels: Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively. 1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA. 2) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8 MVAr, and 2 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV. Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations. 3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered to be 7 to 10 MVA. 4) During normal operation, losses by region: Loch Carnan (2.01 MW) and Stornoway (5.88 MW). 5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source i) All voltages were within acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed. 6) During the outage of the feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan, all voltages were within acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed. 7) During the outage of the feeder from Ardmore to Loch Carnan, all voltages were within the acceptable limits, and the 33/33 kV voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded up to 101%. This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.	<ol> <li>The transformer ratin 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8M</li> <li>33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 k</li> <li>3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from 10 MVA.</li> </ol>
Option-25	<ol> <li>The existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan is loaded up to 26% of its rated capacity i.e. 14 MVA and new subsea cable from Harris to Clachan is loaded up to 11% of its rating i.e. 21.94 MVA.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.All the bus voltages are within the acceptable limits of ±6%.</li> <li>Laxay transformer is loaded up to 125% than its capacity.</li> <li>During the outage of cable from Harris to Clachan, the other cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan is loaded up to 42% of its rating. Also during the outage of existing cable from Ardmore to Harris, power to Stornoway is supplied via HVDC source near it</li> <li>Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.2 MW and</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan was loaded up to 68% of its rated capacity, i.e., 14 MVA, and the feeder from Harris to Clachan was loaded up to 52% of its rated capacity, respectively.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>Transformers at Gisla and Laxay substations were overloaded.</li> <li>All the bus voltages and equipment loadings were within acceptable limits, with the following recommendations:         <ul> <li>S.5MVAr and 0.5 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 11 kV Clachan and 11 kV Gisla substations.</li> <li>During the outage of the feeder from Harris to Clachan, the other feeder from Ardmore to Loch Carnan was loaded up to 136% of its rating. Also, during the outage of the existing feeder from Ardmore to Harris, power will be supplied to the Stornoway network through the HVDC source at the Harris substation.</li> <li>Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.9 MW and Stornoway: 2.7 MW.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	i) 2.5MVAr and 0.5 MVA Clachan and 11 kV Gisla the acceptable limits. ii) 1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 substations to prevent t <b>Flexibility Services (fro</b> For the winter scenario, Carnan zone to avoid th

#### h respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

ing at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for V Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

Ar fixed capacitor banks were considered for 11 kV a substations to maintain the system voltages within

5 MVA transformers are considered for Gisla and Laxay transformers overload.

#### om local generation or demand):

, procurement of ~5MVA flexibility services in the Loch he cable overloading.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
	Stornoway - 2.8 MW. This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions. The reliability of power supply to both Loch Carnan and Stornoway is improved due to two sources of power i.e., HVDC supply to Harris grid and 33kV supply from Ardmore grid substation.	This option is not feasible under the N-1 contingency operating condition.	
Option-26	<ol> <li>The new subsea cables from Ardmore to Loch Carnan and Harris to Clachan are loaded up to 17% and 10% of their rated capacity i.e. 21.94 MVA respectively.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>Laxay transformer is loaded up to 125% of its capacity.</li> <li>During the outage of cable from Harris to Clachan, the other cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan is loaded up to 24% of its rating. Also, during the outage of existing cable from Ardmore to Harris, power to Stornoway is supplied via HVDC source at Harris substation.</li> <li>Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.2 MW and Stornoway - 2.8 MW.</li> <li>The two subsea cables from Ardmore to Harris are loaded to up to 45% and 45% of their rated capacity respectively.</li> <li>This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 contingency operating conditions in both Loch Carnan and Stornoway regions. Reliability of power supply to both Loch Carnan and Stornoway is enhanced due two sources of power i.e., HVDC supply at Harris and Ardmore grid substation.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarized below:</li> <li>Voltage Levels:</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, consequently required reactive power compensation at Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones as 16 MVAr and 6 MVAr respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 6MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33kV Battery point 1A and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA.</li> <li>4) During normal operation, 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was over loaded upto 101%.</li> <li>i) Losses by region: Loch Carnan (1.15 MW) and Stornoway (6.16 MW).</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source (for the PSSE convergence, an additional 12 MVAr reactive power was intended at Harris substation).</li> <li>i) The feeders from Ardmore to Harris were loaded up to 88% of their rating.</li> <li>ii) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 123% of its rating.</li> <li>Note: In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeders between Harris to Ardmore and Harris to Clachan should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be fed from Ardmore.</li> <li>Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris from Ardmore.</li> <li>If the connection between Harris and Clachan is used in parallel with the feeder from Ardmore.</li> <li>If the connection between Harris and Clachan should only be used if there is an outage of the supply at Ardmore or a</li></ul>	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 M of its rating. The propose are as follows:</li> <li>Reinforcement option 12 Ardmore from 60 MVA Reinforcement option 22 Reinforcement option 32 procure a 60 MVA, 132</li> <li>The transformer ratin 5 MVA.</li> <li>6MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MV 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 k</li> <li>A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from 10 MVA.</li> <li>The 33/33 kV, 21MV up to 101% of its rating rating from 21 to 25 MV</li> </ol>
		consequently an additional transformer was considered.	

#### h respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 123% sed reinforcement options to mitigate the overloading

1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at to 80 MVA.

2: Install an additional 80 MVA transformer in parallel.
3: Install a 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and
2/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.

ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

IVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

VA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded ag, respectively. It is recommended to increase the AVA.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
		ii) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was 115% loaded.	
		iii) Total 72 MW real power is import from Harris HVDC infeed.	
		This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.	
Option 27	1) The new subset cable from Ardmore to Loch Carpan	In this analysis all congrations were out of convise at Lesh Carpan and Stornoway zones	1) In the Event of H\/D
0001-27	Dunyedan to Loch Carnan and Harris to Clachan are loaded	and the observations are summarised below:	loaded up to 192% of
	up to 58% 63% and 22% of its rated capacity i.e.	Voltage Levels:	options to mitigate the
	21.94MVA respectively.	Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway	Reinforcement option
	2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in	zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for	both OHTL and subsea
	the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the	networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage,	Reinforcement option
	acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103%	respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power	2) The transformer rati
	for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV	compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr,	5 MVA.
	networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.	respectively.	3) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8
	3) Laxay Transformer is loaded up to 125% than its	1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.	33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 k
	capacity.	2) 3.5MVAr, 6MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV.	3A substations.
	4) During the outage of cable from Clachan to Harris, the	Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.	<ol><li>The cable rating from</li></ol>
	other cable from Ardmore to Loch Carnan is loaded up to	3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA.	10 MVA.
	79% of its rating. 5) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 2.4	4) During normal operation, the 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was	5) The transformer at (
	MW and Stornoway - 2.8 MW. The losses in Loch Carnan	overloaded up to 101%.	loaded to 175%; conse
	increases due to power flow from Dunvegan - Loch Carnan -	I) Losses by region: Loch Carnan (1.28 MW) and Stornoway (5.17 MW).	6) The transformer at (
	Ardmore i.e. power circulation between Dunvegan and Loch	5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source (for the PSSE	from 6.2 to 8 MV/A
	Carnan. This option is foosible under both permat as well as N-1	i) The feeder from Ardmore to Harris was leaded up to 192% of its rating, respectively	110111 6.5 LO 8 MIVA.
	Contingency operating conditions. However, power	The receiver from Ardinore to Harris was toaded up to 192% of its rating, respectively.	Flexibility Services (fro
	circulation between Dunyegan and Ardmore makes losses	<b>Note:</b> In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeders between	For the winter scenario
	in Loch Carnan region very high and may not be feasible	Harris to Ardmore and Harris to Clachan should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be	Stornoway zone to avo
	from economic point of view.	fed from Ardmore.	
	To avoid power circulation the opening of two cable without	Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris	
	paralleling is considered as follows:	from Ardmore.	
	a) When Admore to Loch Carnan and Harris to Clachan	If the connection between Harris and Clachan is used in parallel with the feeder from Ardmore	
	cables are opened then the Dunvegan to Loch Carnan cable	to Harris the resulting low impedance path results in power being exported from Harris to	
	is loaded with 25% of its capacity and reduces the losses in	Clachan, hence the connection between Harris and Clachan should only be used if there is an	
	Loch Carnan.	outage of the supply at Ardmore or a failure of the Ardmore to Loch Carnan circuit.	
	b) When Dunvegan to Loch Carnan and Harris to Clachan		
	cables are opened then the Admore to Loch Carnan subsea	6) During the outage of the feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan:	
	cable is loaded to 24% of its rated capacity and reduces the	i) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was 175% loaded;	
	losses in Loch Carnan.	consequently an additional transformer was considered.	
		ii) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan 3A to Clachan 1A) substation was 115% loaded.	
		III) The total 72 MW of real power is imported from Harris HVDC infeed.	

#### th respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

OC outage, the feeder from Ardmore to Harris was its rating, respectively. The proposed reinforcement e overloading are as follows:

1: It is recommended to increase the additional run of a cable from Ardmore to Harris.

2: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris. ing at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

BMVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was equently an additional transformer was considered. Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating

#### om local generation or demand)

b, procurement of ~ 30MVA flexibility services in the bid the cable overloading.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit	
		This option is not feasible under the N-1 contingency operating condition (In the event of 33 kV HVDC outage condition).		
Option-28		In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below:	1) The transformer rations 5 MVA.	
		Voltage Levels: Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power	<ol> <li>3.5MVAF, 6 MVAF, 87</li> <li>33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 k</li> <li>3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from</li> <li>10 MVA</li> </ol>	
		<ul> <li>compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5MVAr, 6MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV.</li> </ul>	4) The transformer at C loaded to 175%; conse 5) The transformer at C loaded up to 115% of i	
		<ul> <li>Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA.</li> <li>4) During normal operation, the 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded up to 101%.</li> </ul>	from 6.3 to 8 MVA.	
		<ul> <li>i) Losses by region: Loch Carnan (1.28 MW) and Stornoway (5.17 MW).</li> <li>5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source</li> <li>i) All voltages were within acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed.</li> </ul>		
		<b>Note:</b> In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeders between Harris to Ardmore and Harris to Clachan should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be fed from Ardmore.		
		Inder an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Aramore feeder should be closed feeding Harris from Ardmore. If the connection between Harris and Clachan is used in parallel with the feeder from Ardmore to Harris the resulting low impedance path results in power being exported from Harris to		
		Clachan, hence the connection between Harris and Clachan should only be used if there is an outage of the supply at Ardmore or a failure of the Ardmore to Loch Carnan circuit.		
		6) During the outage of the feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan: i) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was 175% loaded; consequently an additional transformer was considered.		

h respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

ing at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

3MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was equently an additional transformer was considered. Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks wit
		ii) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was 115% loaded.	
		iii) The total 72 MW of real power is imported from Harris HVDC infeed.	
		This option is feasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.	
Option-29		In this analysis, all generations were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones,	1) The transformer rati
		and the observations are summarised below:	5 MVA.
		Voltage Levels:	2) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8M
		Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway	33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 k
		zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for	3A substations.
		networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage,	3) The cable rating from
		respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power	10 MVA.
		compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr,	4) The transformer at C
		respectively.	loaded to 175%; conse
		1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.	5) The transformer at C
		2) 3.5MVAr, 6MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV.	loaded up to 115% of it
		Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point TA, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.	from 6.3 to 8 MVA.
		A) During normal operation, the 22/22 kV/ 21MV/A voltage regulator at Loch Carpan was	
		4) During normal operation, the 55/55 kV, 2 mVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was	
		i) Losses by region: Loch Carpan (1.28 MW) and Stornoway (5.17 MW)	
		5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source	
		i) All voltages were within acceptable limits, and no equipment overloading was observed.	
		<b>Note:</b> In order to manage the power flows when the HVDC is operational the feeders between	
		Harris to Ardmore and Harris to Clachan should be run open and the Isles of Uist should be	
		fed from Ardmore.	
		Under an outage of the HVDC to Harris the Ardmore feeder should be closed feeding Harris	
		from Ardmore.	
		If the connection between Harris and Clachan is used in parallel with the feeder from Ardmore	
		to Harris the resulting low impedance path results in power being exported from Harris to	
		Clachan, hence the connection between Harris and Clachan should only be used if there is an	
		outage of the supply at Ardmore or a failure of the Ardmore to Loch Carnan circuit.	
		6) During the outage of the feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan:	
		i) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was 175% loaded;	
		consequently an additional transformer was considered.	
		II) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was 115% loaded.	
		III) The total 72 MW of real power is imported from Harris HVDC infeed.	
		I his option is reasible under both normal as well as N-1 Contingency operating conditions.	

h respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

m Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was equently an additional transformer was considered. Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating

#### Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment Phase 1: Optioneering Studies Report

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks with
Option-30	<ol> <li>The existing 500mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable loaded up to 52% of its rated capacity i.e., 35 MVA.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>Laxay transformer is loaded up to 125% than its capacity.</li> <li>During the outage of this cable from Ardmore to Stornoway, Stornoway will be islanded.</li> <li>Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.3MW and Stornoway - 2.9 MW</li> <li>This option is not feasible under N-1 Contingency operating conditions.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The 500-mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris was loaded up to 22% of its rated capacity.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>Transformers at Gisla and Laxay substations were overloaded.</li> <li>All the bus voltages and equipment loadings were within acceptable limits, with the following recommendations:         <ol> <li>1x 185 mm<sup>2</sup> cable was selected for the Ardmore to Loch Carnan subsea cable.</li> <li>2.5 MVAr and 0.5 MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 11 kV Clachan and 11 kV Gisla substations.</li> <li>1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 MVA transformers were considered for Gisla and Laxay substations.</li> <li>2x 3.5 MVAr switched and fixed shunt reactors at Loch Carron were taken out of service.</li> <li>During the outage of this cable from Ardmore to Harris, the Stornoway grid will be islanded.</li> <li>Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan: 0.8 MW and Stornoway: 3.9 MW</li> <li>This option is not feasible under N-1 contingency operating conditions.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	i) 1x 185 mm <sup>2</sup> cable wa cable. ii) 2.5 MVAr and 0.5 MV and 11 kV Gisla substati iii) 1x 1 MVA and 1x 2.5 substations. iv) 2x 3.5 MVAr switcher out of service.
Option-31	<ol> <li>The existing and new subsea cables are loaded up to 26% and 26% of its rated capacity respectively.</li> <li>Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>Laxay transformer is loaded up to 125% than its capacity.</li> <li>During the outage of the new cable from Ardmore to Harris, the existing cable is loaded up to 52% of its rating.</li> <li>Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.3MW and Stornoway - 2.5 MW</li> <li>This option is feasible under both normal and N-1</li> <li>Contingency operating conditions. However, to increase reliability beyond Admore via T Network back to Edinbane is required</li> </ol>	In this analysis, all generators were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below: Voltage Levels: Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 6 MVAr, respectively. 1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA. 2) 6MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations. 3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA. 4) During normal operation, 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was over loaded upto 101%. i) Losses by region: Loch Carnan (1.15 MW) and Stornoway (6.16 MW). 5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source (for the PSSE convergence, an additional 12 MVAr reactive power was intended at Harris substation). i) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 109% of its rating. ii) The feeders from Ardmore to Harris were loaded up to 73% of their rating. 6) During the outage of Ardmore to Loch Carnan feeder the complete Loch Carnan zone will be islanded. This option is not feasible under N-1 contingency operating conditions.	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 M of its rating, respectively overloading are as follor Reinforcement option 1 Ardmore from 60 MVA f Reinforcement option 2 parallel. Reinforcement option 3 procure the 60 MVA, 13</li> <li>The transformer ratin 5 MVA.</li> <li>6MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MV 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from MVA.</li> <li>The 33/33 kV, 21MV up to 101% of its rating rating from 21 to 25 MV</li> </ol>

# Jacobs

#### respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

as selected for the Admore to Loch Carron subsea

VAr fixed capacitors were considered for 11 kV Clachan tions.

MVA transformers are considered for Gisla and Laxay

ed and fixed shunt reactors at Loch Carron are taken

MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 109% ly. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the ows:

1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at to 80 MVA.

2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in

3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and 32/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.

ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

VAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for V Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to  $10\,$ 

VA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded g, respectively. It is recommended to increase the VA.

OPTIONS	Summer Minimum Scenario	Winter Maximum Scenario	Remarks with
Option-32	<ul> <li>1)The 132kV feeder from Ardmore to Harris is loaded up to 31% of its rated capacity with existing 33kV cable kept open.</li> <li>2) Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively.</li> <li>3) Laxay transformer is loaded up to 125% of capacity.</li> <li>4) Region-wise losses: Loch Carnan - 0.3MW and Stornoway - 2.2MW</li> <li>This option is feasible under both normal and N-1 contingency operating conditions in both Loch Carnan and Stornoway regions. Existing 33kV cable from Ardmore to Harris is kept open during normal operating conditions and it is closed when there is outage on new 132kV cable from</li> </ul>	In this analysis, all generators were out of service at Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones, and the observations are summarised below: Voltage Levels: Voltage levels at 33 kV and 11 kV substation buses in the Loch Carnan and Stornoway zones exceeded the acceptable limits, ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage, respectively. To maintain the acceptable limits, we consequently required reactive power compensation at the Stornoway and Loch Carnan zones of 16 MVAr and 3.5 MVAr, respectively. 1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA. 2) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations. 3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was considered from 7 to 10MVA. 4) During normal operation, 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was over loaded up to 101%. i) Losses by region: Loch Carnan (1.18 MW) and Stornoway (6.16 MW). 5) During the outage of the 33 kV HVDC infeed at Harris substation source (for the PSSE	<ol> <li>The transformer ratin 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8M</li> <li>33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV</li> <li>3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from 10 MVA.</li> <li>The 33/33 kV, 21MV/ up to 101% of its rating, rating from 21 to 25 MV</li> </ol>
	Ardmore to Harris.	<ul> <li>convergence, an additional 12 MVAr reactive power was intended at Harris substation).</li> <li>i) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 67% of its rating.</li> <li>ii) The 132 kV feeder from Ardmore to Harris was loaded up to 50% of its rating.</li> <li>6) During the outage of Ardmore to Loch Carnan feeder the complete Loch Carnan zone will be islanded</li> <li>This option is not feasible under N-1 contingency operating conditions.</li> </ul>	

#### respect to the 2050 demand/ generation

ng at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to

NVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for V Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert

n Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to

'A voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded , respectively. It is recommended to increase the /A.

### 4.2.1 Load flow results summary profiles

Appendix B contains the full load flow results for the options. The following sections contain a summary of voltage and equipment loading for each option and summer and winter conditions using a "box and whisker" chart. The charts can be interpreted as in the Figure 4-1 below. The box covers the interquartile interval where 50% of the data lie.

Upper Quartile Outlier (Maximum value) Mean Lower Quartile Outlier (Minimum value)

Figure 4-1 Box and Whisker chart interpretation

The load flow summary voltages profile for the summer minimum is shown in the Figure 4-2. It is observed that all bus voltages are within acceptable limits, except for options 1 and 2 ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage.





Jacobs

## 4.2.3 Summary voltage profile for the winter maximum

The load flow summary voltages profile for the winter maximum is shown in the Figure 4-3. It is observed that all bus voltages are within acceptable limits, except for options 1 and 2 ranging from 94% to 101.2% and 103% for networks with 33 kV/LV connections and 33 kV networks of their nominal voltage. Figure 4-3 Load flow summary voltages profile for the winter maximum



## 4.2.4 Summary cable loadings for the summer minimum

The load flow cable loading percentage summary for the summer minimum is shown in Figure 4-4. All cable loadings are below 100% of their rated capacity.

CABLE LOADING\_SUMMER MINIMUM 80 70 60 Cable Loadings in % 50 40 30 20 10 0 1 Axis Title Summer \_Option1 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option2 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option3 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option4 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option5 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option6 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option7 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option10 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option13 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option16 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option17 Cable Loading in % Summer Option-21 Cable Loading in % Summer Option-22 Cable Loading in % Summer Option-25 Cable Loading in % Summer Option-26 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option 27 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option30 Cable Loading in % Summer \_Option31 Cable Loading in %

Figure 4-4 Load flow summary cable loading for summer minimum

### 4.2.5 Summary cable loadings for the winter maximum

The load flow cable loading percentage summary for the winter maximum is shown in Figure 4-5. All cable loadings are below 100% of their rated capacity, except for options 1 and 2.

Figure 4-5 Load flow summary cable loading for winter maximum



### 4.2.6 Summary transformer loadings for the summer minimum

The load flow transformer loading percentage summary for the summer minimum is shown in the Figure 4-6. All transformer loadings are below 100% of their rated capacity.



Figure 4-6 Load flow transformer loading summary for the summer minimum.

## 4.2.7 Summary transformer loadings for the winter maximum

The load flow transformer loading percentage summary for the winter maximum is shown in the Figure 4-7. All transformer loadings are below 100% of their rated capacity.



Figure 4-7 Load flow transformer loadings summary for the winter maximum

Jacobs



## 5. SHORT CIRCUIT ANALYSIS

## 5.1 Definition of Terms

IEC standards use the following definitions, which are relevant in the short cicuit calculations.

- Initial Symmetrical Short circuit current (I"k) This is the rms value of the AC symmetrical component of an available short circuit current applicable at the instant of short circuit if the impedance remains at zerotime value.
- **Peak Short Circuit Current (ip)** This is the maximum possible instantaneous value of the available short circuit current.
- Symmetrical Short Circuit Breaking Current (Ib) This is the rms value of an integral cycle of the symmetrical AC component of the available short circuit current at the instant of contact separation of the first pole of a switching device.
- Steady-State Short Circuit Current (lk) This is the rms value of the short circuit current, which remains
  after the decay of the transient phenomena.

The primary objective for performing a maximum short circuit study is to assess whether the equipment short circuit ratings are adequate. The short circuit study is performed using IEC 60909 calculation module available within PSS/E software. The maximum short circuit currents have been evaluated based on IEC 60909 calculations. The peak short circuit current calculation is based on method C.

It is important to note that there exists a situation wherein momentary paralleling occurs when the transfer from one incomer to the other takes place for redundant transformer switchgear (secondary selective configuration). The maximum short circuit study does not consider this momentary paralleling due to the very short duration of such paralleling (not greater than 1 second) and a fault occurring during such transfers of very short duration being very rare.

## 5.2 Short Circuit Study Methodology

The short circuit case studies have been performed to check the existing SSEN switchgear ratings as per the switchgear rating provided by the SSEN [10].

For maximum ultimate short circuit calculations, all existing, new, and future planned system and loads (i.e., all future substation circuits and transformers, generation & loads) were considered.

The short circuit current computations were based on: -

- IEC 60909 calculations for 33 kV and 11 kV switchgears/CB's, which will be based on IEC 62271-100 standard.
- According to IEC 60909, voltage factor C was considered as tabulated in Table 5-1. Table 5-1

#### Table 5-1 IEC 60909 Voltage factor C

	Voltage factor c for the calculation					
Nominal system Voltage Un	Maximum short circuit currents c <sub>max</sub>	Minimum short circuit currents c <sub>min</sub>				
High voltage >1KV to 230kV	1.1	1				
High voltage > 230kV	1.1	1				

## 5.3 Short Circuit Study Cases

To assess the maximum short circuit level to select the HV switchgear short circuit duty, the short circuit studies have been carried out based on the worst-case options with respect to number of generation sources and cable arrangement.

# 5.3.1 Case-1: Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with two larger cables (Option 7)

Case-1, illustrated in Figure 5-1, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

• Two 48km,185mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cables between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.

Figure 5-1 Case1: Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides



Case-2, illustrated in Figure 5-2, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 48km, 185mm<sup>2</sup> cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- An 16 km OHL and 25 km OHL and subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist)

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Ardmore to Harris.



Figure 5-2 Case-2 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides

Jacobs

## 5.3.3 Case-3: New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable and additional Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL (Option 14)

Case-3, illustrated in Figure 5-3, is the decommission of the existing 95mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist) with:

- A 48km, 185mm<sup>2</sup> cable between Ardmore and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A16.5km, OHL between Dunvegan and Loch Pooltiel and a 38.5 km, 185mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Loch Pooltiel and Loch Carnan (South Uist)
- A 16 km OHL and 25 km OHL subsea cable between Harris and Lochmaddy and 16 km OHL between Lochmaddy and Clachan (North Uist).

The new circuits are 33 kV and are shown with a dashed green line. The green solid line is the existing subsea cable from Skye to Harris.



Figure 5-3 Case-3 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides

### 5.3.4 Case-4: Existing and new Ardmore – Harris subsea cables (Option 16)

Case 4, illustrated in Figure 5-4, considers the retention of the existing 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris and the addition of a second 500 mm<sup>2</sup> subsea cable between Ardmore and Harris



Figure 5-4 Overview of connections from Skye to the Hebrides

Jacobs

## 5.4 Summary of short circuit results

## 5.4.1 Case-1 Short Circuit study results

The maximum short circuit currents calculated at 33 kV and 11 kV switchgear/CB, as summarised for Case-1 Summer\_Min and Winter\_Max scenarios, are tabulated in Table 5-2 and Table 5-3.

PASS: Switchgear short circuit rating > actual fault current

FAIL: Switchgear short circuit rating< actual fault current

#### Table 5-2 Case 1: Short Circuit Results for Summer Scenario

	Case 1- Summer_Min													
			Spec	cified	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase to ground fault							
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Switchgear, Short Circuit Values		Calculated values f	rom ETAP IEC 60909	Calculated values f	Remarks						
			IP	lk"	IP	lk''	IP	lk"						
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms						
20930	ARMO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	20.73	7.97	1.80	1.07	PASS					
84001	LOCHCA3B	33.00	78.75	31.50	4.51	2.15	5.01	2.27	PASS					
84004	DRIMOR3C	33.00	20.00	8.00	2.88	1.53	2.26	1.15	PASS					
84005	DRIMOR1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	5.28	2.54	6.52	3.06	PASS					
84008	AIRD3C	33.00	33.41	13.10	2.39	1.32	1.62	0.98	PASS					
84009	AIRD1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	6.17	2.96	8.26	3.87	PASS					
84012	CLACHN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	2.31	1.18	1.82	0.90	PASS					
84013	CLACHN1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	6.66	3.36	5.64	2.56	PASS					
84016	POLLAC3C	33.00	30.00	12.00	1.25	0.75	0.86	0.53	PASS					
84017	POLLAC1A	11.00	32.75	13.10	3.02	1.70	4.12	2.25	PASS					
84018	LOCHCA1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	11.08	5.11	15.04	6.82	PASS					
84021	LOCHCAR3W	33.00	62.50	25.00	4.34	2.09	4.95	2.25	PASS					
85608	Laxay3B	33.00	46.00	18.40	2.66	1.61	1.47	0.81	PASS					

## Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment Phase 1: Optioneering Studies Report

					Case 1- Summer	_Min				
			Spec	cified	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase			
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Switchge Circuit	ear, Short Values	Calculated values f	Calculated values from ETAP IEC 60909		Calculated values from ETAP IEC 60909		
			IP	lk''	IP	lk''	IP	lk"		
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms		
85609	Laxay1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	6.09	3.41	8.14	4.42	PASS	
85612	ARNMWF3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	6.04	3.02	4.61	2.65	PASS	
85614	CALLAD1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.20	2.38	5.54	3.03	PASS	
85616	Gisla3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	1.01	0.63	0.56	0.38	PASS	
85617	Gisla1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	2.63	1.51	3.50	1.95	PASS	
85619	ARNISH3B	33.00	50.00	20.00	6.64	3.11	6.38	3.07	PASS	
85620	ARNISH1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	10.85	4.56	13.21	5.42	PASS	
85621	BATTER3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	7.99	3.64	9.17	4.17	PASS	
85622	BATTER1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	18.65	8.14	24.88	10.71	PASS	
85624	COLL3A	33.00	25.00	10.00	3.62	2.03	2.35	1.45	PASS	
85625	COLL1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.31	3.49	9.05	4.20	PASS	
85627	BARVAS1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	9.58	4.35	12.05	5.40	PASS	
85628	STORNO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.59	3.80	10.02	4.38	PASS	
85636	STOCKI3A	33.00	20.00	8.00	3.45	1.48	0.01	0.01	PASS	
85637	STOCKI1A	11.00	43.75	17.50	5.71	2.43	6.97	2.95	PASS	
85638	TARBER3A	33.00	43.75	17.50	2.29	1.19	0.01	0.01	PASS	
85639	TARBET1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.45	2.14	5.65	2.65	PASS	
85640	CALLAN3D	33.00	25.00	10.00	1.85	1.15	1.10	0.73	PASS	
85645	GALSN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	5.25	2.38	4.66	2.07	PASS	
85646	PENTRD3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	7.50	3.47	9.33	4.18	PASS	
85651	HARG3-	33.00	25.00	10.00	7.91	3.74	1.70	1.08	PASS	
85654	PENTRD3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	7.85	3.57	9.75	4.28	PASS	

#### Table 5-3 Case 1: Short Circuit Results for winter Scenario

	Case-1 Winter_Max													
	Substation	Voltage	Specified Switchgear, Short Circuit Values		Three Phase t	Three Phase to ground fault		Single Phase to ground fault						
Bus No					Calculated values f	Calculated values from ETAP IEC 60909		Calculated values from ETAP IEC 60909						
			IP	lk''	IP	lk''	IP	lk"						
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms						
20930	ARMO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	20.46	7.85	1.80	1.07	PASS					
84001	LOCHCA3B	33.00	78.75	31.50	4.01	1.96	0.51	0.21	PASS					
84004	DRIMOR3C	33.00	20.00	8.00	2.71	1.44	0.52	0.21	PASS					
84005	DRIMOR1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.95	2.40	5.94	2.84	PASS					
84008	AIRD3C	33.00	33.41	13.10	2.18	1.22	0.41	0.19	PASS					
84009	AIRD1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	6.01	2.89	7.46	3.55	PASS					
84012	CLACHN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	2.12	1.10	0.48	0.20	PASS					
84013	CLACHN1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	5.84	3.02	1.68	1.17	PASS					
84016	POLLAC3C	33.00	30.00	12.00	1.21	0.72	0.34	0.16	PASS					
84017	POLLAC1A	11.00	32.75	13.10	2.94	1.65	3.95	2.16	PASS					
84018	LOCHCA1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	10.07	4.75	13.11	6.14	PASS					
84021	LOCHCAR3W	33.00	62.50	25.00	3.85	1.90	0.51	0.21	PASS					
85608	Laxay3B	33.00	46.00	18.40	2.79	1.68	0.94	0.52	PASS					
85609	Laxay1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	6.32	3.50	8.22	4.43	PASS					
85612	ARNMWF3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	6.15	3.08	4.58	2.62	PASS					
85614	CALLAD1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.22	2.39	5.54	3.03	PASS					
85616	Gisla3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	1.01	0.63	0.56	0.38	PASS					
85617	Gisla 1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	2.83	1.69	3.98	2.33	PASS					
85619	ARNISH3B	33.00	50.00	20.00	6.78	3.18	6.31	3.03	PASS					
85620	ARNISH1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	10.97	4.61	13.12	5.39	PASS					

## Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment Phase 1: Optioneering Studies Report

					Case-1 Winter_I	Max			
			Spe	cified	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase		
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Switchgear, Short Circuit Values		Calculated values f	rom ETAP IEC 60909	Calculated values f	Remarks	
			IP	lk"	IP	lk''	IP	lk"	
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	
85621	BATTER3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.19	3.74	9.00	4.09	PASS
85622	BATTER1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	19.02	8.31	23.91	10.30	PASS
85624	COLL3A	33.00	25.00	10.00	3.73	2.07	2.31	1.42	PASS
85625	COLL1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.71	3.64	8.54	3.96	PASS
85627	BARVAS1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	9.63	4.37	11.93	5.35	PASS
85628	STORNO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.83	3.90	9.84	4.30	PASS
85636	STOCKI3A	33.00	20.00	8.00	3.46	1.49	0.01	0.01	PASS
85637	STOCKI1A	11.00	43.75	17.50	5.72	2.43	6.91	2.92	PASS
85638	TARBER3A	33.00	43.75	17.50	2.30	1.19	0.01	0.01	PASS
85639	TARBET1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.46	2.14	5.63	2.65	PASS
85640	CALLAN3D	33.00	25.00	10.00	1.86	1.16	1.10	0.73	PASS
85645	GALSN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	5.28	2.40	4.32	1.92	PASS
85646	PENTRD3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	7.67	3.55	9.19	4.11	PASS
85651	HARG3-	33.00	25.00	10.00	7.96	3.75	1.70	1.08	PASS
85654	PENTRD3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.04	3.66	9.59	4.21	PASS

**Jacobs** 

## 5.4.2 Case-2 Short Circuit study results

The maximum short circuit currents calculated at 33 kV and 11 kV switchgear/CB, as summarised for Case-2 Summer\_Min and Winter\_Max scenarios, are tabulated in Table 5-4 and Table 5-5.

PASS: Switchgear short circuit rating > actual fault current

FAIL: Switchgear short circuit rating< actual fault current

 Table 5-4 Case 2: Short Circuit Results for Summer Scenario

	Case-2 Summer_Min													
		Voltage	Spec	cified	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase to ground fault							
Bus No	Substation		Switchge Circuit	ear, Short Values	Calculated values f	Calculated values from ETAP IEC 60909		Calculated values from ETAP IEC 60909						
			IP	lk''	IP	lk''	IP	lk"						
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms						
20930	ARMO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	30.13	12.91	6.63	3.02	PASS					
84001	LOCHCA3B	33.00	78.75	31.50	3.96	2.19	4.53	2.32	PASS					
84004	DRIMOR3C	33.00	20.00	8.00	2.81	1.61	2.64	1.48	PASS					
84005	DRIMOR1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	5.22	2.65	6.42	3.14	PASS					
84008	AIRD3C	33.00	33.41	13.10	2.55	1.48	1.66	1.03	PASS					
84009	AIRD1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	6.72	3.46	8.82	4.36	PASS					
84012	CLACHN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	3.70	2.11	2.88	1.57	PASS					
84013	CLACHN1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	8.94	4.72	6.51	2.96	PASS					
84016	POLLAC3C	33.00	30.00	12.00	1.22	0.75	0.85	0.53	PASS					
84017	POLLAC1A	11.00	32.75	13.10	2.95	1.71	4.02	2.25	PASS					
84018	LOCHCA1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	9.97	5.27	13.50	6.90	PASS					
84021	LOCHCAR3W	33.00	62.50	25.00	3.84	2.13	4.48	2.29	PASS					
85608	Laxay3B	33.00	46.00	18.40	2.86	1.78	1.50	0.83	PASS					
85609	Laxay1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	6.44	3.67	8.46	4.65	PASS					
85612	ARNMWF3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	7.46	3.86	4.99	2.92	PASS					

## Outer Hebrides Whole System Assessment Phase 1: Optioneering Studies Report

					Case-2 Summer_	Min				
			Spe	cified	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase			
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Switchge Circuit	ear, Short : Values	Calculated values f	Calculated values from ETAP IEC 60909		Calculated values from ETAP IEC 60909		
			IP	lk''	IP	lk''	IP	lk"		
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms		
85614	CALLAD1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.36	2.50	5.68	3.14	PASS	
85616	Gisla3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	1.02	0.65	0.56	0.38	PASS	
85617	Gisla 1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	2.68	1.55	3.54	1.99	PASS	
85619	ARNISH3B	33.00	50.00	20.00	8.69	4.10	7.24	3.50	PASS	
85620	ARNISH1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	12.44	5.16	14.38	5.84	PASS	
85621	BATTER3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	11.10	5.07	11.02	4.99	PASS	
85622	BATTER1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	23.47	10.15	29.02	12.34	PASS	
85624	COLL3A	33.00	25.00	10.00	3.92	2.26	2.41	1.50	PASS	
85625	COLL1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.61	3.67	9.28	4.33	PASS	
85627	BARVAS1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	10.03	4.60	12.41	5.59	PASS	
85628	STORNO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	12.35	5.38	12.29	5.29	PASS	
85636	<b>STOCKI3A</b>	33.00	20.00	8.00	5.63	2.26	0.01	0.01	PASS	
85637	STOCKI1A	11.00	43.75	17.50	7.24	2.98	8.06	3.34	PASS	
85638	TARBER3A	33.00	43.75	17.50	3.11	1.66	0.01	0.01	PASS	
85639	TARBET1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	5.35	2.57	6.36	2.97	PASS	
85640	CALLAN3D	33.00	25.00	10.00	1.94	1.23	1.12	0.75	PASS	
85645	GALSN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	5.55	2.57	4.78	2.14	PASS	
85646	PENTRD3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	10.07	4.71	11.18	4.99	PASS	
85651	HARG3-	33.00	25.00	10.00	86.09	33.59	10.04	4.16	FAIL	
85654	PENTRD3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	10.75	4.90	11.80	5.14	PASS	

#### Table 5-5 Case 2: Short Circuit Results for Winter Scenario

	Case-2 Winter_Max													
			Specified S	Switchgear,	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase to ground fault							
Puc No	Substation	Voltage	Short Circ	cuit Values	Calculated values f	rom ETAP IEC 60909	Calculated values f	rom ETAP IEC 60909	Bomarko					
DUS NU	Substation		IP	lk"	IP	lk''	IP	lk"	Remarks					
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms						
20930	ARMO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	28.39	11.76	12.98	6.23	PASS					
84001	LOCHCA3B	33.00	78.75	31.50	4.34	2.40	1.34	0.71	PASS					
84004	DRIMOR3C	33.00	20.00	8.00	3.03	1.75	1.24	0.67	PASS					
84005	DRIMOR1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	5.29	2.69	6.41	3.20	PASS					
84008	AIRD3C	33.00	33.41	13.10	2.84	1.65	0.89	0.52	PASS					
84009	AIRD1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.43	3.74	9.27	4.58	PASS					
84012	CLACHN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	4.93	2.76	2.54	1.31	PASS					
84013	CLACHN1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	11.84	6.21	2.66	1.84	PASS					
84016	POLLAC3C	33.00	30.00	12.00	1.25	0.78	0.59	0.35	PASS					
84017	POLLAC1A	11.00	32.75	13.10	3.02	1.75	4.10	2.32	PASS					
84018	LOCHCA1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	10.76	5.63	14.48	7.51	PASS					
84021	LOCHCAR3W	33.00	62.50	25.00	4.15	2.32	1.32	0.71	PASS					
85608	Laxay3B	33.00	46.00	18.40	2.96	1.82	0.95	0.53	PASS					
85609	Laxay1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	6.60	3.71	8.63	4.73	PASS					
85612	ARNMWF3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	7.32	3.76	5.06	2.98	PASS					
85614	CALLAD1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.35	2.49	5.72	3.16	PASS					
85616	Gisla3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	1.03	0.65	0.56	0.38	PASS					
85617	Gisla1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	2.87	1.73	4.04	2.39	PASS					
	Case-2 Winter_Max													
--------	-------------------	---------	---	-------	---------------------	---------------------------------------	-------	---------------------------------------	---------	--	--	--		
	Cubatation		Specified Switchgear, Short Circuit Values		Three Phase	Three Phase to ground fault		Single Phase to ground fault						
Buc No		Voltage			Calculated values f	Calculated values from ETAP IEC 60909		Calculated values from ETAP IEC 60909						
DUS NU	Substation		IP	lk"	IP	lk''	IP	lk"	Remarks					
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms						
85619	ARNISH3B	33.00	50.00	20.00	8.47	3.97	7.42	3.60	PASS					
85620	ARNISH1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	12.29	5.10	14.64	5.94	PASS					
85621	BATTER3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	10.75	4.88	11.41	5.19	PASS					
85622	BATTER1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	23.01	9.92	29.14	12.42	PASS					
85624	COLL3A	33.00	25.00	10.00	3.98	2.27	2.39	1.49	PASS					
85625	COLL1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.95	3.78	8.81	4.11	PASS					
85627	BARVAS1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	10.00	4.58	12.41	5.61	PASS					
85628	STORNO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	11.92	5.16	12.82	5.53	PASS					
85636	STOCKI3A	33.00	20.00	8.00	5.19	2.09	0.01	0.01	PASS					
85637	STOCKI1A	11.00	43.75	17.50	6.99	2.88	8.30	3.43	PASS					
85638	TARBER3A	33.00	43.75	17.50	2.97	1.56	0.01	0.01	PASS					
85639	TARBET1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	5.21	2.49	6.54	3.06	PASS					
85640	CALLAN3D	33.00	25.00	10.00	1.94	1.22	1.12	0.75	PASS					
85645	GALSN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	5.53	2.56	4.46	2.00	PASS					
85646	PENTRD3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	9.79	4.55	11.62	5.20	PASS					
85651	HARG3-	33.00	25.00	10.00	37.24	15.06	38.61	15.08	FAIL					
85654	PENTRD3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	10.42	4.72	12.28	5.36	PASS					

**Jacobs** 

### 5.4.3 Case-3 Short Circuit study results

The maximum short circuit currents calculated at 33 kV and 11 kV switchgear/CB, as summarised for Case-3 Summer\_Min and Winter\_Max scenarios, are tabulated in Table 5-6 and Table 5-7 Table 5-5.

PASS: Switchgear short circuit rating > actual fault current

FAIL: Switchgear short circuit rating< actual fault current

 Table 5-6 Case 3: Short Circuit Results for Summer\_Min Scenario

	Case-3 Summer_Min											
			Spec	cified	Three Phase	Three Phase to ground fault		Single Phase to ground fault				
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Switchge Circuit	ear, Short Values	Calculated values f	rom ETAP IEC 60909	Calculated values f	Remarks				
			IP	lk"	IP	lk''	IP	lk"				
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms				
20930	ARMO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	21.82	10.36	6.10	3.02	PASS			
84001	LOCHCA3B	33.00	78.75	31.50	5.24	2.83	5.61	2.79	PASS			
84004	DRIMOR3C	33.00	20.00	8.00	3.67	1.91	3.23	1.65	PASS			
84005	DRIMOR1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	5.59	2.86	6.84	3.36	PASS			
84008	AIRD3C	33.00	33.41	13.10	3.26	1.71	2.00	1.11	PASS			
84009	AIRD1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.28	3.74	9.55	4.71	PASS			
84012	CLACHN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	4.73	2.38	3.44	1.70	PASS			
84013	CLACHN1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	9.61	5.18	6.01	3.09	PASS			
84016	POLLAC3C	33.00	30.00	12.00	1.51	0.82	1.01	0.55	PASS			
84017	POLLAC1A	11.00	32.75	13.10	3.14	1.81	4.26	2.39	PASS			
84018	LOCHCA1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	12.51	6.34	16.85	8.27	PASS			
84021	LOCHCAR3W	33.00	62.50	25.00	5.75	2.73	6.32	2.75	PASS			
85608	Laxay3B	33.00	46.00	18.40	2.73	1.75	1.39	0.83	PASS			
85609	Laxay1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	6.19	3.63	8.19	4.62	PASS			
85612	ARNMWF3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.23	3.69	5.63	2.88	PASS			

	Case-3 Summer_Min												
			Spec	cified	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase						
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Switchgear, Short Circuit Values		Calculated values f	rom ETAP IEC 60909	Calculated values f	Remarks					
			IP	lk"	IP	lk''	IP	lk"					
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms					
85614	CALLAD1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.16	2.48	5.47	3.12	PASS				
85616	Gisla3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	1.09	0.65	0.63	0.38	PASS				
85617	Gisla1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	2.44	1.54	3.26	1.98	PASS				
85619	ARNISH3B	33.00	50.00	20.00	9.62	3.89	8.18	3.43	PASS				
85620	ARNISH1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	12.22	5.05	14.23	5.77	PASS				
85621	BATTER3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	12.16	4.75	12.35	4.84	PASS				
85622	BATTER1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	22.78	9.73	28.51	12.05	PASS				
85624	COLL3A	33.00	25.00	10.00	4.29	2.23	2.72	1.50	PASS				
85625	COLL1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.42	3.64	9.11	4.31	PASS				
85627	BARVAS1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	9.98	4.56	12.39	5.56	PASS				
85628	STORNO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	13.48	5.02	13.75	5.12	PASS				
85636	<b>STOCKI3A</b>	33.00	20.00	8.00	5.85	2.07	0.01	0.01	PASS				
85637	STOCKI1A	11.00	43.75	17.50	6.94	2.87	7.84	3.27	PASS				
85638	TARBER3A	33.00	43.75	17.50	3.38	1.55	0.01	0.01	PASS				
85639	TARBET1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	5.18	2.49	6.22	2.92	PASS				
85640	CALLAN3D	33.00	25.00	10.00	1.85	1.22	1.10	0.75	PASS				
85645	GALSN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	6.25	2.54	5.28	2.13	PASS				
85646	PENTRD3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	11.08	4.44	12.52	4.84	PASS				
85651	HARG3-	33.00	25.00	10.00	37.96	14.13	10.72	4.29	FAIL				
85654	PENTRD3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	11.80	4.60	13.21	4.98	PASS				

#### Table 5-7 Case 3: Short Circuit Results for Winter\_Max Scenario

	Case-3 Winter_Max										
			Specified	Switchgoor	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase	to ground fault			
	Substation	Voltage	Short Circ	cuit Values	Calculated values from ETAP IEC		Calculated valu				
Bus No		, ontage			60909		60	Remarks			
			IP	lk"	IP	lk''	IP	lk''			
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms			
20930	ARMO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	25.01	10.32	8.56	4.28	PASS		
84001	LOCHCA3B	33.00	78.75	31.50	5.08	2.67	1.25	0.66	PASS		
84004	DRIMOR3C	33.00	20.00	8.00	3.25	1.84	1.17	0.62	PASS		
84005	DRIMOR1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	5.50	2.75	6.62	3.24	PASS		
84008	AIRD3C	33.00	33.41	13.10	2.90	1.69	0.84	0.49	PASS		
84009	AIRD1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.48	3.73	9.30	4.54	PASS		
84012	CLACHN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	4.12	2.33	1.93	1.02	PASS		
84013	CLACHN1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	10.50	5.66	2.61	1.81	PASS		
84016	POLLAC3C	33.00	30.00	12.00	1.30	0.80	0.57	0.34	PASS		
84017	POLLAC1A	11.00	32.75	13.10	3.12	1.79	4.22	2.36	PASS		
84018	LOCHCA1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	12.22	6.08	16.22	7.97	PASS		
84021	LOCHCAR3W	33.00	62.50	25.00	4.83	2.57	1.24	0.65	PASS		
85608	Laxay3B	33.00	46.00	18.40	2.96	1.81	0.95	0.53	PASS		
85609	Laxay1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	6.60	3.71	8.60	4.70	PASS		
85612	ARNMWF3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	7.31	3.75	5.02	2.95	PASS		
85614	CALLAD1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.35	2.49	5.70	3.15	PASS		
85616	Gisla3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	1.03	0.65	0.56	0.38	PASS		
85617	Gisla1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	2.87	1.73	4.04	2.38	PASS		
85619	ARNISH3B	33.00	50.00	20.00	8.44	3.95	7.31	3.53	PASS		

	Case-3 Winter_Max										
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Specified Switchgear, Short Circuit Values		Three Phase Calculated valu 60	to ground fault es from ETAP IEC 909	Single Phase Calculated valu 60	Remarks			
			IP	lk"	IP	lk''	IP	lk''			
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms			
85620	ARNISH1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	12.26	5.08	14.49	5.88	PASS		
85621	<b>BATTER3A</b>	33.00	62.50	25.00	10.70	4.85	11.15	5.05	PASS		
85622	BATTER1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	22.93	9.88	28.58	12.15	PASS		
85624	COLL3A	33.00	25.00	10.00	3.97	2.26	2.38	1.49	PASS		
85625	COLL1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.95	3.78	8.79	4.10	PASS		
85627	BARVAS1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	9.99	4.58	12.37	5.58	PASS		
85628	STORNO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	11.85	5.12	12.49	5.37	PASS		
85636	STOCKI3A	33.00	20.00	8.00	5.14	2.07	0.01	0.01	PASS		
85637	STOCKI1A	11.00	43.75	17.50	6.96	2.86	8.15	3.37	PASS		
85638	TARBER3A	33.00	43.75	17.50	2.96	1.55	0.01	0.01	PASS		
85639	TARBET1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	5.20	2.49	6.45	3.01	PASS		
85640	CALLAN3D	33.00	25.00	10.00	1.94	1.22	1.12	0.75	PASS		
85645	GALSN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	5.53	2.55	4.45	1.99	PASS		
85646	PENTRD3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	9.75	4.52	11.36	5.06	PASS		
85651	HARG3-	33.00	25.00	10.00	34.95	14.12	31.36	12.39	FAIL		
85654	PENTRD3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	10.38	4.69	11.99	5.21	PASS		

**Jacobs** 

### 5.4.4 Case-4 Short Circuit study results

The maximum short circuit currents calculated at 33 kV and 11 kV switchgear/CB, as summarised for Case-4 Summer\_Min and Winter\_Max scenarios, are tabulated in Table 5-8Table 5-4 and.Table 5-9.

PASS: Switchgear short circuit rating > actual fault current

FAIL: Switchgear short circuit rating< actual fault current

 Table 5-8 Case 4: Short Circuit Results for Summer\_Min Scenario

	Case-4 Summer_Min											
			Spee	cified	Three Phase	Three Phase to ground fault		Single Phase to ground fault				
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Switchgear, Short Circuit Values		Calculated values f	rom ETAP IEC 60909	Calculated values f	Remarks				
			IP	lk"	IP	lk''	IP	lk"				
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms				
20930	ARMO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	21.02	8.11	1.78	1.06	PASS			
84001	LOCHCA3B	33.00	78.75	31.50	3.48	1.74	4.14	1.97	PASS			
84004	DRIMOR3C	33.00	20.00	8.00	2.49	1.32	2.44	1.30	PASS			
84005	DRIMOR1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.83	2.34	6.06	2.87	PASS			
84008	AIRD3C	33.00	33.41	13.10	2.10	1.15	1.53	0.92	PASS			
84009	AIRD1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	5.58	2.71	7.56	3.58	PASS			
84012	CLACHN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	2.07	1.06	1.73	0.86	PASS			
84013	CLACHN1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	6.01	3.03	5.31	2.42	PASS			
84016	POLLAC3C	33.00	30.00	12.00	1.16	0.69	0.83	0.51	PASS			
84017	POLLAC1A	11.00	32.75	13.10	2.84	1.59	3.90	2.13	PASS			
84018	LOCHCA1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	8.93	4.31	12.39	5.89	PASS			
84021	LOCHCAR3W	33.00	62.50	25.00	3.39	1.70	4.10	1.95	PASS			
85608	Laxay3B	33.00	46.00	18.40	2.71	1.65	1.48	0.82	PASS			
85609	Laxay1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	6.17	3.47	8.24	4.49	PASS			
85612	ARNMWF3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	6.37	3.20	4.72	2.73	PASS			

	Case-4 Summer_Min											
			Spec	cified	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase					
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Switchgear, Short Circuit Values		Calculated values f	rom ETAP IEC 60909	Calculated values f	Remarks				
			IP	lk''	IP	lk''	IP	lk"				
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms				
85614	CALLAD1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.24	2.41	5.59	3.06	PASS			
85616	Gisla3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	1.01	0.64	0.56	0.38	PASS			
85617	Gisla1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	2.64	1.52	3.52	1.96	PASS			
85619	ARNISH3B	33.00	50.00	20.00	7.12	3.32	6.66	3.20	PASS			
85620	ARNISH1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	11.26	4.71	13.60	5.55	PASS			
85621	BATTER3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.68	3.94	9.74	4.41	PASS			
85622	BATTER1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	19.82	8.60	26.20	11.20	PASS			
85624	COLL3A	33.00	25.00	10.00	3.69	2.09	2.36	1.47	PASS			
85625	COLL1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.39	3.54	9.12	4.25	PASS			
85627	BARVAS1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	9.70	4.41	12.17	5.46	PASS			
85628	STORNO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	9.41	4.12	10.72	4.64	PASS			
85636	STOCKI3A	33.00	20.00	8.00	3.89	1.64	0.01	0.01	PASS			
85637	STOCKI1A	11.00	43.75	17.50	6.09	2.56	7.33	3.07	PASS			
85638	TARBER3A	33.00	43.75	17.50	2.48	1.29	0.01	0.01	PASS			
85639	TARBET1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.68	2.24	5.88	2.75	PASS			
85640	CALLAN3D	33.00	25.00	10.00	1.87	1.17	1.11	0.74	PASS			
85645	GALSN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	5.32	2.43	4.70	2.09	PASS			
85646	PENTRD3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.08	3.73	9.90	4.41	PASS			
85651	HARG3-	33.00	25.00	10.00	10.67	4.90	1.74	1.07	PASS			
85654	PENTRD3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.50	3.84	10.38	4.53	PASS			



#### Table 5-9 Case 4: Short Circuit Results for Winter\_Max Scenario

	Case-4 Winter_Max											
			Spe	cified	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase					
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Switchg Circuit	ear, Short Values	Calculated values f	from ETAP IEC 60909	Calculated values f	Remarks				
			IP	lk''	IP	lk''	IP	lk"				
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms				
20930	ARMO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	20.81	8.00	1.78	1.06	PASS			
84001	LOCHCA3B	33.00	78.75	31.50	2.99	1.55	0.49	0.20	PASS			
84004	DRIMOR3C	33.00	20.00	8.00	2.27	1.21	0.50	0.20	PASS			
84005	DRIMOR1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.42	2.18	5.34	2.62	PASS			
84008	AIRD3C	33.00	33.41	13.10	1.86	1.04	0.40	0.18	PASS			
84009	AIRD1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	5.31	2.60	6.57	3.23	PASS			
84012	CLACHN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	1.85	0.97	0.46	0.20	PASS			
84013	CLACHN1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	5.12	2.68	1.63	1.13	PASS			
84016	POLLAC3C	33.00	30.00	12.00	1.10	0.66	0.33	0.16	PASS			
84017	POLLAC1A	11.00	32.75	13.10	2.72	1.53	3.65	2.02	PASS			
84018	LOCHCA1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.82	3.93	10.23	5.15	PASS			
84021	LOCHCAR3W	33.00	62.50	25.00	2.90	1.52	0.49	0.20	PASS			
85608	Laxay3B	33.00	46.00	18.40	2.84	1.72	0.94	0.52	PASS			
85609	Laxay1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	6.39	3.56	8.32	4.51	PASS			
85612	ARNMWF3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	6.47	3.26	4.69	2.70	PASS			
85614	CALLAD1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.26	2.42	5.58	3.06	PASS			
85616	Gisla3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	1.02	0.64	0.56	0.38	PASS			
85617	Gisla1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	2.84	1.70	3.99	2.34	PASS			
85619	ARNISH3B	33.00	50.00	20.00	7.25	3.39	6.58	3.16	PASS			
85620	ARNISH1A	11.00	62.50	25.00	11.37	4.75	13.52	5.52	PASS			
85621	BATTER3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.88	4.03	9.56	4.33	PASS			

	Case-4 Winter_Max											
			Spe	cified	Three Phase	to ground fault	Single Phase					
Bus No	Substation	Voltage	Switchgear, Short Circuit Values		Calculated values f	rom ETAP IEC 60909	Calculated values f	Remarks				
			IP	lk"	IP	lk''	IP	lk"				
		kV	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms	kAp	kArms				
85622	BATTER1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	20.16	8.75	25.18	10.78	PASS			
85624	COLL3A	33.00	25.00	10.00	3.79	2.13	2.33	1.44	PASS			
85625	COLL1A	11.00	33.41	13.10	7.78	3.68	8.61	4.00	PASS			
85627	BARVAS1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	9.74	4.43	12.06	5.42	PASS			
85628	STORNO3-	33.00	62.50	25.00	9.64	4.22	10.53	4.56	PASS			
85636	STOCKI3A	33.00	20.00	8.00	3.89	1.64	0.01	0.01	PASS			
85637	STOCKI1A	11.00	43.75	17.50	6.09	2.55	7.26	3.04	PASS			
85638	TARBER3A	33.00	43.75	17.50	2.48	1.29	0.01	0.01	PASS			
85639	TARBET1A	11.00	46.00	18.40	4.68	2.24	5.86	2.74	PASS			
85640	CALLAN3D	33.00	25.00	10.00	1.88	1.18	1.11	0.74	PASS			
85645	GALSN3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	5.35	2.45	4.36	1.94	PASS			
85646	PENTRD3B	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.24	3.81	9.76	4.34	PASS			
85651	HARG3-	33.00	25.00	10.00	10.69	4.90	1.74	1.07	PASS			
85654	PENTRD3A	33.00	62.50	25.00	8.68	3.93	10.22	4.46	PASS			



### 5.5 Short circuit study summary

- Maximum fault level values for 3-phase and 1-phase ground faults reported are calculated in consideration of the IEC 60909 C-factor (voltage correction factor) of 1.1. The significance of using C-Factor is to accommodate variations in voltage at the respective bus.
- A short-circuit study was conducted for different scenarios for both summer minimum and winter maximum cases, as specified in Section 5.3.
- 3 phase and 1 phase to ground short circuit results are reported in Table 5-2, to Table 5-9.
- Based on the short circuit study results, it has been observed that all calculated fault currents for both 33 kV and 11 kV substations in all investigated scenarios fall below the corresponding switchgear's short circuit current ratings, with the exception of case 2 and case 3 short circuit results at the 33 kV Harris substation.
- Based on the short circuit study analysis, it is suggested that in the event of a future connection of an HVDC system to the 33 kV Harris substation, an upgrade of the 33 kV Harris switchgear's withstand symmetrical short circuit rating from 10 kA to 25 kA should be considered to ensure safe and reliable operation.



### 6. OPTION ASSESSMENT

A number of reinforcement options were considered in the load flow and short circuit analysis for the whole power system in the Hebrides considering new connections to Skye, connections between the Isle of Lewis and Harris and the Isles of Uist and support from the future HVDC link from the Isle of Lewis and Harris to the mainland.

Both summer and winter scenarios were considered to ensure the varying demand and support from local generation combinations were all accounted for. Contingency N-1 analysis was also undertaken.

The amount of flexibility that would need to be procured to prevent the need for reinforcement by 2050 is detailed in Table 4-2 and is large, hence it is not proposed that flexibility is used as an enduring solution. However, it is possible that smaller amounts of flexibility could be used to defer the need for the reinforcement by a few years and it is suggested that this is considered in the next stage of the study with the CBA assessment.

No switchgear short circuit ratings were exceeded with the exception of the Harris 10 kA equipment which is likely to be exceeded once the HVDC is in service and for which detailed analysis should be undertaken once final network arrangements are known to consider the need for replacement with 25 kA equipment.

The options which are acceptable for both summer and winter conditions in 2050 under both normal and contingency operating arrangements, proposed subsea cables and overhead transmission lines which are recommended for further consideration and cost benefit assessment are summarised in Table 6-1.

Option No	Description	Number of Sources on Skye / mainland
Option-8	Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with two larger cables (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ) Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (500mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL (150mm CU conductor with a thermal rating of 29.8 MVA))	Two sources: <ul> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>
Option-9	Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with two larger cables (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ) Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (132kV, 185mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL 175mm <sup>2</sup> CU conductor.)	Two sources: <ul> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>
Option-11	Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with larger cable (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ) and add a new larger size cable / OHL Ardmore – Clachan (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL)	Two sources: <ul> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>



Option No	Description	Number of Sources on Skye / mainland
	Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (500mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL (150mm CU conductor with a thermal rating of 29.8 MVA))	
Option-12	Replace Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable with larger cable (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ) and add a new larger size cable / OHL Ardmore – Clachan (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (132kV, 185mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL 175mm <sup>2</sup> CU conductor.)	Two sources: • Ardmore, Skye • Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)
Option-14	Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) and Ardmore – Clachan subsea cable / OHL Clachan (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (500mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL (150mm CU conductor with a thermal rating of 29.8 MVA))	<ul> <li>Three sources:</li> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Dunvegan, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>
Option-15	Remove Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable and replace with Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) and Ardmore – Clachan subsea cable / OHL Clachan (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (132kV, 185mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL 175mm <sup>2</sup> CU conductor.)	<ul> <li>Three sources:</li> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Dunvegan, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>
Option-18	Feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan substations (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ,150mm OHL and 300mm <sup>2</sup> underground cable) Feeder from Harris – Clachan substations (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (500mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL (150mm CU conductor with a thermal rating of 29.8 MVA))	<ul> <li>Three sources:</li> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Dunvegan, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>



Option No	Description	Number of Sources on Skye / mainland		
Option-19	Feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan substations (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> , and 300mm <sup>2</sup> underground cable) Feeder from Harris – Clachan substations (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (500mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL (150mm CU conductor with a thermal rating of 29.8 MVA))	<ul> <li>Three sources:</li> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Dunvegan, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>		
Option -20	Feeder from Dunvegan to Loch Carnan substations (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) Feeder from Harris – Clachan substations (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (132kV, 185mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL 175mm <sup>2</sup> CU conductor).	<ul> <li>Three sources:</li> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Dunvegan, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>		
Option-23	New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable (Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ) and additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (500mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL (150mm CU conductor with a thermal rating of 29.8 MVA))	<ul> <li>Three sources:</li> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Dunvegan, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>		
Option-24	New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable (Subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ) and additional Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (132kV, 185mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL 175mm <sup>2</sup> CU conductor).	<ul> <li>Three sources:</li> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Dunvegan, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>		
Option-26	Feeders from Ardmore – Loch Carnan substations (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ) Additional feeder from Ardmore to Harris subsea cable (subsea cable 500mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL)	Two sources: Ardmore, Skye Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)		



Option No	Description	Number of Sources on Skye / mainland
	Feeder from Harris – Clachan substations (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL)	
Option-28	New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ) Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) and additional Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL(subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL). Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (500mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL (150mm CU conductor with a thermal rating of 29.8 MVA))	<ul> <li>Three sources:</li> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Dunvegan, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>
Option-28	New Ardmore – Loch Carnan subsea cable, additional (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> ) Dunvegan – Loch Carnan OHL/subsea cable (subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL) and additional Harris – Clachan subsea cable / OHL(subsea cable 300mm <sup>2</sup> and 150mm OHL). Additional feeder from Ardmore – Harris substations (132kV, 185mm <sup>2</sup> subsea cable & OHL 175mm <sup>2</sup> CU conductor).	<ul> <li>Three sources:</li> <li>Ardmore, Skye</li> <li>Dunvegan, Skye</li> <li>Mainland Scotland (HVDC source)</li> </ul>

The overall security of supply to the islands will depend on the option selected, the dependency on the Skye network arrangements and the use of the HVDC link or a new subsea cable to the mainland. It is noted that the options using two separate sources of supply (Skye and the HVDVC link ) should provide inherent higher reliability to the Hebrides.

Suggested local upgrades to the distribution systems on the Islands have been identified as tabulated in Table 6-2.

Table 6-2 CBA issued Option wise local upgrades.

Option Number	Recommendations
Option-8	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 126% of its rating, respectively. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the overloading are as follows:</li> <li>Reinforcement option 1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at Ardmore from 60 MVA to 80 MVA.</li> <li>Reinforcement option 2: Install an additional 80 MVA transformer in parallel.</li> <li>Reinforcement option 3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and procure a 60 MVA, 132/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.</li> </ol>



Option Number	Recommendations			
	<ul> <li>2) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>3) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>4) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>2) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV</li> </ul>			
Option-9	Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations. 3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.			
Option-11	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 125% of its rating, respectively. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the overloading are as follows: Reinforcement option 1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at Ardmore from 60 MVA to 80 MVA. Reinforcement option 2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in parallel. Reinforcement option 3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and procure the 60 MVA, 132/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.</li> <li>The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>3)3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> </ol>			
Option-12	<ol> <li>The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> </ol>			
Option-14	1) The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 113% of its rating, respectively. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the overloading are as follows:			



Option Number	Recommendations			
	Reinforcement option 1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at Ardmore			
	from 60 MVA to 80 MVA.			
	Reinforcement option 2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in parallel.			
	Reinforcement option 3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and			
	procure the 60 MVA, 132/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.			
	2)The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5			
	MVA.			
	3)3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV			
	Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A			
	substations.			
	4)The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.			
	1)The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5			
Option-15	2)3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV			
	Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A			
	substations.			
	3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.			
	1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5			
	NVA. 2) 2 EMV/Ar 6 MV/Ar 9MV/Ar and 2MV/Ar fixed canacitors were considered for 22 W/			
	2) 5.5MVAI, 6 MVAI, 6MVAI, and 2MVAI fixed capacitors were considered for 55 kV			
	substations			
	3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10			
	MVA.			
Option-18	4) The 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded up to			
	101% of its rating, respectively. It is recommended to increase the rating from 21			
	to 25 MVA.			
	5) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded to			
	171%; consequently, an additional transformer was considered.			
	6) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up			
	to 110% of its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating from 6.3 to 8 MVA.			
	1) The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5			
	MVA.			
	2) 3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV			
	Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A			
	substations.			
Option-19	3) The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10			
	MVA.			
	4) The 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded up to			
	101% of its rating, respectively. It is recommended to increase the rating from 21			
	to 25 MVA.			
	5) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded to			



Option Number	Recommendations				
	171%; consequently, an additional transformer was considered. 6) The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up to 110% of its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating from 6.3 to 8 MVA.				
Option-20	<ol> <li>The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>6MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>The 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded up to 101% of its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating from 21 to 25 MVA.</li> <li>The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded to 171%; consequently an additional transformer was considered.</li> <li>The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up to 110% of its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating from 6.3 to 8 MVA.</li> </ol>				
Option-23	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 113% of its rating, respectively. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the overloading are as follows: Reinforcement option 1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at Ardmore from 60 MVA to 80 MVA. Reinforcement option 2: Install the additional 80 MVA transformer in parallel. Reinforcement option 3: Install the 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and procure the 60 MVA, 132/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.</li> <li>The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>3)3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>4)The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> </ol>				



Option Number	Recommendations				
Option-24	<ol> <li>The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> </ol>				
Option-26	<ol> <li>The 132/33 kV, 60 MVA transformer at Ardmore was loaded up to 123% of its rating. The proposed reinforcement options to mitigate the overloading are as follows:</li> <li>Reinforcement option 1: Increase the 132/33 kV transformer rating at Ardmore from 60 MVA to 80 MVA.</li> <li>Reinforcement option 2: Install an additional 80 MVA transformer in parallel.</li> <li>Reinforcement option 3: Install a 132 kV circuit from Ardmore to Harris and install a 60 MVA, 132/33 kV transformer at Harris substation.</li> <li>The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>6MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>The 33/33 kV, 21MVA voltage regulator at Loch Carnan was overloaded up to 101% of its rating, respectively. It is recommended to increase the rating from 21 to 25 MVA.</li> </ol>				



Option Number	Recommendations
Option-28	<ol> <li>The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded to 175%; consequently an additional transformer was considered.</li> <li>The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up to 115% of its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating from 6.3 to 8 MVA.</li> </ol>
Option-29	<ol> <li>The transformer rating at COLL substation was increased from 2.5 MVA to 5 MVA.</li> <li>3.5MVAr, 6 MVAr, 8MVAr, and 2MVAr fixed capacitors were considered for 33 kV Clachan 3A, 33 kV Gisla 3A, 33 kV Battery Point 1A, and 33 kV Tarbert 3A substations.</li> <li>The cable rating from Callad to Gisla substation was increased from 7 to 10 MVA.</li> <li>The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3B to Clachan1A) substation was loaded to 175%; consequently an additional transformer was considered.</li> <li>The transformer at Clachan (Clachan3A to Clachan1A) substation was loaded up to 115% of its rating. It is recommended to increase the rating from 6.3 to 8 MVA.</li> </ol>

In the case of the compensation requirements, it is recommended further consideration is given to the specific running arrangements in the area and other the options to manage reactive power flows such as the availability of bus section breakers to open the system.

**APPENDIX** 

# APPENDIX-A PSS/E OVERVIEW DIAGRAM



## **APPENDIX-B**

# PSS/E OPTION RESULTS FOR SUMMER MINIMUM AND WINTER MAXIMUM



The attached maximum.

# APPENDIX-C Sub-Sea Cable Data Sheets



Page 8

#### 4.5.4 3-phase power cable, 18/30(36)kV

Conductor X-section [mm2]	50	70	95	120	150	185	240	300
Conductor diameter [mm]	8,2	9,9	11,5	12,9	14,3	16,0	18,4	20,5
Phase diameter [mm]	31,8	33,5	35,3	36,8	38,5	40,1	42,8	46,0
Screen cross sectional area [mm2]	21,6	23,0	24,2	25,3	26,4	27,8	29,6	31,3
Lay up diameter (3xUNIT-P) [mm]	69,4	73,0	76,9	80,1	83,8	87,3	93,1	100,0
Armour steel wires diameter [mm]	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Diameter over armour [mm]	77,8	81,4	85,3	88,6	92,2	95,7	101,5	108,4
Nominal outer diameter [mm]	83,8	87,4	91,3	94,6	98,2	101,7	107,5	114,4
Outer diameter tolerance [mm]	± 2.8	± 2.9	± 3,0	± 3.1	± 3.2	± 3.4	± 3.5	± 3.8
Conductor DC resistance [Ω/km]	0,387	0,268	0,193	0,153	0,124	0,099	0,075	0,060
Screen DC resistance [Ω/km]	0,796	0,748	0,711	0,680	0,652	0,619	0,581	0,550
Armour DC resistance [Ω/km]	0,24	0,23	0,22	0,21	0,20	0,19	0,18	0,17
Max current rating [A]	183	226	270	306	342	384	440	491
Short circuit current for 1s, conductor [kA]	7,6	10,5	14,2	17,8	22,2	27,3	35,3	44
Short circuit current for 1s, screen [kA]	3,4	3,6	3,8	4,0	4,1	4,3	4,6	4,8
Capacitance per phase [µF/km]	0,146	0,163	0,178	0,192	0,205	0,221	0,244	0,263
Dielectrical loss [W/m]	0,060	0,066	0,073	0,078	0,084	0,09	0,099	0,107
Charging current [A/km]	0,83	0,92	1,01	1,08	1,16	1,25	1,38	1,49
AC resistance [Ω/km]	0,492	0,341	0,246	0,195	0,158	0,127	0,097	0,078
Inductance per phase [mH/km]	0,460	0,432	0,413	0,398	0,387	0,372	0,357	0,350
Reactance [Ω/km]	0,144	0,136	0,130	0,125	0,121	0,117	0,112	0,110
Impedance [Ω/km]	0.507+0.144i	0.357+0.136i	0.262+0.13i	0.212+0.125i	0.176+0.121i	0.145+0.117i	0.116+0.112i	0.098+0.11i
Cable weight in air [kg/m]	10,9	12,0	13,4	14,6	16,0	17,5	20,1	22,9
Cable weight in water [kg/m]	6,0	6,7	7,5	8,2	9,1	10,1	11,8	13,4
Min bending diameter [m]	2,3	2,4	2,6	2,7	2,8	2,9	3,0	3,3
Safe handling load [kN]	204	221	245	264	289	314	357	401

CONFIDENTIAL. All rights reserved. Passing on or copying of this document, use and communication of its content are not permitted without prior written authorization from Nexans Norway AS.

### CURRENT RATING FOR XLPE SUBMARINE CABLE SYSTEMS

The XLPE cable should at least have a conductor cross section adequate to meet the system requirements for power transmission capacity. The cost of energy losses can be reduced by using larger conductor.

Load losses in XLPE cables are primarily due to the ohmic losses in the conductor and the metallic screen. XLPE cables can be loaded continuously to a conductor temperature of 90°C.

The dielectric losses of XLPE insulation are present also at no load. Those losses depend on the operation voltage applied and shall be considered above 100 kV.

Dielectric losses in XLPE cables are lower than for EPR and fluid-filled cables.

The current rating of submarine cables follows the same rules as for land cables. However there are some differences:

- Three-core submarine cables usually have steel wire armour. Single-core cables have non-magnetic armour.
- Single-core cables can be laid separated or close. Close laying gives lower losses. Separation eliminates mutual heating but means higher losses in the armour. The induced current in the armour can be high, up to the same value as in the conductor.



Single-core cable with lead sheath and wire armour



Three-core cable with optic fibers, lead sheath and wire armour

Continuous current ratings for three-core submarine cables are given in Tables 33-34 and for single-core cables in Tables 35-36. The continuous current ratings are calculated according to IEC 60287 series of standards and with the following conditions:

- One three-core cable or one three-phase group of single-core cables
- Temperature in sea bed 20°C
- Laying depth in sea bed
   1.0 m
- Sea bed thermal resistivity
   1.0 K x m/W

Rating factors for sea bed temperature - see Tables 7-11 in the brochure "XLPE Land Cable Systems - User's guide".

# Current rating for three-core submarine cables with steel wire armour

Table 33						
10-90 kV XLPE 3-core cables						
Cross section	Copper conductor	Aluminium conductor				
mm²	А	А				
95	300	235				
120	340	265				
150	375	300				
185	420	335				
240	480	385				
300	530	430				
400	590	485				
500	655	540				
630	715	600				
800	775	660				
1000	005	700				

Table 34						
100-300 kV XLPE 3-core cables						
Cross section	Copper conductor	Aluminium conductor				
mm²	А	А				
300	530	430				
400	590	485				
500	655	540				
630	715	600				
800	775	660				
1000	825	720				

### CURRENT RATING FOR XLPE SUBMARINE CABLE SYSTEMS

### Current rating for single-core submarine cables

Table 35			Table 36			
Cross section Cu conductor	Rated voltage 10 - 90 kV		Cross section Cu	Rated voltage 100 - 420 kV		
	Wide spacing	Close spacing	conductor	Wide spacing	Close spacing	
mm²	А	A	mm²	А	A	
95	410	315	185	580	445	
120	465	355	240	670	505	
150	520	395	300	750	560	
185	585	435	400	845	620	
240	670	495	500	950	690	
300	750	545	630	1065	760	
400	840	610	800	1180	830	
500	940	670	1000	1290	895	
630	1050	740				
800	1160	805				
1000	1265	870				

Note 1: Calculations were performed assuming single layer of 5 mm copper armour wire.

Note 2: Aluminium cables (conductor made of aluminum and armouring made of aluminium alloy) will have a rating of 75 to 80 % for the same conductor area.

Note 3: The rating data given in the above tables should be regarded as indicative only.

Note 4: Cross sections larger than 1000 mm<sup>2</sup> can be offered on request.

### TECHNICAL DATA FOR XLPE SUBMARINE CABLE SYSTEMS

#### Three-core cables with lead sheath

Cross- section of con- ductor	Diameter of con- ductor	Insulation thickness	Diameter over insulation	Lead sheath thickness	Outer diameter of cable	Cable weight (Aluminium)	Cable weight (Copper)	Capaci- tance	Charging current per phase at 50 Hz	Inductance
mm²	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	kg/m	kg/m	µF/km	A/km	mH/km
Table 47										
			Three-co	ore cables, no	minal voltage	e 132 kV (Um	= 145 kV)			
185	15.8	18.0	54.2	2.1	165.0	41.4	44.9	0.13	3.0	0.47
240	18.1	17.0	54.5	2.1	166.0	41.8	46.3	0.14	3.4	0.44
300	20.4	16.0	54.8	2.1	167.0	42.4	48.0	0.16	3.8	0.42
400	23.2	15.0	55.6	2.1	168.0	43.6	51.1	0.18	4.3	0.40
500	26.2	15.0	59.0	2.3	176.0	48.6	58.0	0.20	4.6	0.38
630	29.8	15.0	62.6	2.4	185.0	53.3	65.2	0.21	5.1	0.37
800	33.7	15.0	66.5	2.5	194.0	59.0	74.0	0.23	5.6	0.36
1000	37.9	15.0	71.3	2.7	206.0	66.6	85.4	0.25	6.1	0.35
Table 48										

Three-core cables, nominal voltage 150 kV (Um = 170 kV)											
240	18.1	21.0	62.5	2.4	184.0	51.1	55.5	0.13	3.4	0.47	
300	20.4	20.0	62.8	2.4	185.0	51.7	57.3	0.14	3.7	0.44	
400	23.2	19.0	63.6	2.4	187.0	52.9	60.5	0.15	4.1	0.42	
500	26.2	18.0	65.0	2.5	190.0	55.7	65.1	0.17	4.7	0.40	
630	29.8	17.0	66.6	2.5	194.0	57.8	69.7	0.19	5.3	0.38	
800	33.7	17.0	70.5	2.7	204.0	64.7	79.8	0.21	5.7	0.37	
1000	37.9	17.0	75.3	2.8	215.0	71.6	90.5	0.23	6.3	0.36	

#### Table 49

Three-core cables, nominal voltage 220 kV (Um = 245 kV)											
500	26.2	24.0	77.6	2.9	219.0	71.8	81.3	0.14	5.7	0.43	
630	29.8	23.0	79.2	3.0	224.0	74.9	86.7	0.16	6.4	0.41	
800	33.7	23.0	83.1	3.1	234.0	80.2	95.3	0.17	6.9	0.40	
1000	37.9	23.0	87.3	3.1	241.0	85.1	104.0	0.19	7.4	0.38	

#### Table 50

Three-core cables, nominal voltage 275 kV (Um = 300 kV)											
500	26.2	26.0	81.6	2.9	229.0	75.3	84.7	0.14	6.8	0.44	
630	29.8	24.0	81.2	3.0	228.0	77.0	88.9	0.16	7.7	0.42	
800	33.7	24.0	85.1	3.1	237.0	82.5	97.6	0.17	8.3	0.40	
1000	37.9	24.0	89.3	3.1	247.0	87.4	106.3	0.18	9.0	0.39	



### 7. **REFERENCES**

- [1] SSEN provided the Lochcarnan and Stornoway grid PSS/e models for both summer minimum and winter maximum scenarios in an email dated July 17, 2023..
- [2] SSEN provided Dunvegan Grid parameters for modelling in PSS/e software in an email dated September 29, 2023..
- [3] "SSEN std TG-NET-OHL-010, LOAD RATINGS OF OVERHEAD LINES DATA SHEET".
- [4] "SSEN std TG-NET-OHL-104, ELECTRICAL CONSTANTS FOR OVERHEAD LINES DATA SHEET".
- [5] SSEN has provided DFES load demand and generation files in SharePoint dated July 19, 2023.
- [6] "SSEN provided the Clachan substation demand for modelling in PSS/e software in an email dated September 10, 2023.".
- [7] SSEN confirmed the revised load demand forcast at Clachan substation in an email dated September 17, 2023..
- [8] TG-NET-NPL-002,33 kV New Demand & Generation Connections Planning and Design Standard.
- [9] SSEN provided the additional outer herbrides options in an email dated September 29, 2023.
- [10] "SSEN provided the rated short circuit symmetrical and peak short circuit values for the substation located at Lochcarnan and Stornoway grids for short circuit analysis in an email dated September 30, 2023.".
- [11] SSEN provided typical generation impedance values for generators modelling in PSS/e software in an email dated August 23, 2023..
- [12] "SSEN provided the clachan substation demand for modelling in PSS/e software in an email dated September 10, 2023.".
- [13] National Grid GRID CODE, 2023.